"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău<br>Faculty of Sciences<br>Scientific Studies and Research<br>Series Mathematics and Informatics<br>Vol. 24(2014), No. 2, 5-24

# HYPERSURFACE FAMILY WITH A COMMON ISOGEODESIC 

ERGIN BAYRAM AND EMIN KASAP

Abstract. In this paper, we study the problem of finding a hypersurface family from a given spatial geodesic curve in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. We obtain the parametric representation for a hypersurface family whose members have the same curve as a given geodesic curve. Using the Frenet frame of the given geodesic curve, we present the hypersurface as a linear combination of this frame and analyze the necessary and sufficient conditions for that curve to be geodesic. We illustrate this method by presenting some examples.

## 1. Introduction

Geodesic is a well-known notion in differential geometry. A geodesic on a surface can be defined in many equivalent ways. Geometrically, the shortest path joining any two points of a surface is a geodesic. Geodesics are curves in surfaces that play a role analogous that of straight lines in the plane. A straight line doesn't bend to left or right as we travel along it [6].
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In recent years, there have been various researches on geodesics. Kumar et al. [20] presented a study on geodesic curves computed directly on NURBS surfaces and discrete geodesics computed on the equivalent tessellated surfaces. Wang et al. [26] studied the problem of constructing a family of surfaces from a given spatial geodesic curve and derived a parametric representation for a surface pencil whose members share the same geodesic curve as an isoparametric curve. Sanchez and Dorado [21] presented a practical method to construct polynomial surfaces from a polynomial geodesic or a family of geodesics, by prescribing tangent ribbons. Sprynski et al. [22] dealt with reconstruction of numerical or real surfaces based on the knowledge of some geodesic curves on the surface. Paluszny [19] considered patches that contain any given 3D polynomial curve as a pregeodesic (i.e. geodesic up to reparametrization). Given two pairs of regular space curves $r_{1}(u), r_{3}(u)$ and $r_{2}(v), r_{4}(v)$ that define a curvilinear rectangle, Farouki et al. [10] handled the problem of constructing a $C^{2}$ surface patch $\mathbf{R}(u, v)$ for which these four boundary curves correspond to geodesics of the surface. Farouki et al. [11] considered the problem of constructing polynomial or rational tensor-product Bézier patches bounded by given four polynomial or rational Bézier curves defining a curvilinear rectangle, such that they are geodesics of the constructed surface.

On the other hand, Wang et al. [26] tackled the problem of finding surfaces passing through a given geodesic. In 2011, the given curve was changed to a line of curvature and Li et al. [18] constructed a surface family from a given line of curvature. Bayram et al. [5] gave the necessary and sufficient conditions for a given curve to be an asymptotic on a surface.

However, while differential geometry of a parametric surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ can be found in textbooks such as in Struik [24], Willmore [28], Stoker [23], do Carmo [7], differential geometry of a parametric surface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be found in textbook such as in the contemporary literature on Geometric Modeling [9, 16]. Also, there is little literature on differential geometry of parametric surface family in $\mathbb{R}^{3}[2,8,17,26]$, but not in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Besides, there is an ascending interest on fourth dimension $[1$, 2, 8].

Furthermore, various visualization techniques about objects in Euclidean n -space $(n \geq 4)$ are presented $[3,4,14]$. The fundamental
step to visualize a 4 D object is projecting first into the 3 -space and then into the plane. In many real world applications, the problem of visualizing three-dimensional data, commonly referred to as scalar fields arouses. The graph of a function $\mathbf{f}(x, y, z): U \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $U$ is open, is a special type of parametric hypersurface with the parametrization $(x, y, z, \mathbf{f}(x, y, z))$ in 4 -space. There exists a method for rendering such a 3 -surface based on known methods for visualizing functions of two variables [13].

In this paper, we consider the four dimensional analogue problem of constructing a parametric representation of a surface family from a given spatial geodesic as in Wang et al. [26], who derived the necessary and sufficient conditions on the marching-scale functions for which the curve C is an isogeodesic, i.e., both a geodesic and a parameter curve, on a given surface. We express the hypersurface pencil parametrically with the help of the Frenet frame $\left\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}_{1}, \mathbf{B}_{2}\right\}$ of the given curve. We find the necessary and sufficient constraints on the marching-scale functions, namely, coefficients of Frenet vectors, so that both the geodesic and parametric requirements met. Finally, as an application of our method one example for each type of marching-scale functions is given.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us first introduce some notations and definitions. Bold letters such as $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{R}$ will be used for vectors and vector functions. We assume that they are smooth enough so that all the (partial) derivatives given in the paper are meaningful. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}: \mathbf{I} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ be an arc-length curve. If $\left\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}_{1}, \mathbf{B}_{2}\right\}$ is the moving Frenet frame along $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, then the Frenet formulas are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{T}^{\prime}=\kappa_{1} \mathbf{N},  \tag{1}\\
\mathbf{N}^{\prime}=-\kappa_{1} \mathbf{T}+\kappa_{2} \mathbf{B}_{1}, \\
\mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}=-\kappa_{2} \mathbf{N}+\kappa_{3} \mathbf{B}_{2}, \\
\mathbf{B}_{2}^{\prime}=-\kappa_{3} \mathbf{B}_{1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ denote the tangent, principal normal, first binormal and second binormal vector fields, respectively, $\kappa_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ the i-th curvature functions of the curve $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ [14].

From elementary differential geometry we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}(s)=\mathbf{T}(s),  \tag{2}\\
\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(s)=\kappa_{1}(s) \mathbf{N}(s), \\
\kappa_{1}(s)=\left\|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\| .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using Frenet formulas one can obtain the followings

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=-\kappa_{1}^{2} \mathbf{T}(s)+\kappa_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{N}(s)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)  \tag{3}\\
\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(i v)}(s)=-3 \kappa_{1} \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}(s)+\left(-\kappa_{1}^{3}+\kappa_{1}^{\prime \prime}-\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{2}\right) \mathbf{N}(s) \\
+\left(2 \kappa_{1}^{\prime} \kappa_{2}+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)+\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{3} \mathbf{B}_{2}(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The unit vectors $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{B}_{2}(s)=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(s) \otimes \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}(s) \otimes \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s) \otimes \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right\|},  \tag{4}\\
\mathbf{B}_{1}(s)=\mathbf{B}_{2}(s) \otimes \mathbf{T}(s) \otimes \mathbf{N}(s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\otimes$ is the vector product of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.
Since the vectors $\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{B}_{1}, \mathbf{B}_{2}$ are orthonormal, the second curvature $\kappa_{2}$ and the third curvature $\kappa_{3}$ can be obtained from (3) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa_{2}(s)=\frac{\mathbf{B}_{1}(s) \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)}{\kappa_{1}(s)},  \tag{5}\\
\kappa_{3}(s)=\frac{\left.\mathbf{B}_{2}(s) \bullet \alpha^{2 i v}\right)}{\kappa_{1}(s) \kappa_{2}(s)},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ' $\bullet$ ' denotes the standard inner product.
Let $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{4}\right\}$ be the standard basis for four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The vector product of the vectors $\mathbf{u}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} u_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} v_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{w}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ is defined by

$$
\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{3} & \mathbf{e}_{4} \\
u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} & u_{4} \\
v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} & v_{4} \\
w_{1} & w_{2} & w_{3} & w_{4}
\end{array}\right|
$$

[15, 27].
If $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are linearly independent then $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w}$ is orthogonal to each of these vectors.

## 3. Hypersurface family with a common isogeodesic

A curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ on a hypersurface $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}(s, t, q) \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is called an isoparametric curve if it is a parameter curve, that is, there exists a pair of parameters $t_{0}$ and $q_{0}$ such that $\mathbf{r}(s)=\mathbf{P}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)$. Given a
parametric curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$, it is called an isogeodesic of a hypersurface $\mathbf{P}$ if it is both a geodesic and an isoparametric curve on $\mathbf{P}$.

Let $C: \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}(s), L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}$, be a $C^{3}$ curve, where $s$ is the arclength. To have a well-defined principal normal, assume that $\mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \neq$ $0, L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}$.

Let $\mathbf{T}(s), \mathbf{N}(s), \mathbf{B}_{1}(s), \mathbf{B}_{2}(s)$ be the tangent, principal normal, first binormal, second binormal, respectively; and let $\kappa_{1}(s), \kappa_{2}(s)$ and $\kappa_{3}(s)$ be the first, the second and the third curvature, respectively. Since $\left\{\mathbf{T}(s), \mathbf{N}(s), \mathbf{B}_{1}(s), \mathbf{B}_{2}(s)\right\}$ is an orthogonal coordinate frame on $\mathbf{r}(s)$ the parametric hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q):\left[L_{1}, L_{2}\right] \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \times$ $\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ passing through $\mathbf{r}(s)$ can be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{r}(s)+(\mathbf{u}(s, t, q), \mathbf{v}(s, t, q), \mathbf{w}(s, t, q), \mathbf{x}(s, t, q))\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{T}(s) \\
\mathbf{N}(s) \\
\mathbf{B}_{1}(s) \\
\mathbf{B}_{2}(s)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{6}\\
L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}, T_{1} \leq s \leq T_{2}, Q_{1} \leq s \leq Q_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}(s, t, q), \mathbf{v}(s, t, q), \mathbf{w}(s, t, q)$ and $\mathbf{x}(s, t, q)$ are all $C^{4}$ functions. These functions are called the marching scale functions.

We try to find out the necessary and sufficient conditions for which a hypersurface $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ has the curve $C$ as an isogeodesic.

First, to satisfy the isoparametricity condition there should exist $t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ and $q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right]$ such that $\mathbf{P}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{r}(s), L_{1} \leq s \leq$ $L_{2}$, that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \equiv 0,  \tag{7}\\
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Secondly, the curve $C$ is a geodesic on the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ if and only if the principal normal $\mathbf{N}(s)$ of the curve and the normal $\hat{\mathbf{n}}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)$ of the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ are linearly dependent, that is, parallel along the curve $C$ [25]. The normal $\hat{\mathbf{n}}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)$ of the hypersurface can be obtained by calculating the vector product of the partial derivatives and using the Frenet formula as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(s, t, q)}{\partial s} & =\left(1+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(s, t, q)}{\partial s}-\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) \kappa_{1}(s)\right) \mathbf{T}(s) \\
& +\left(\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) \kappa_{1}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}(s, t, q)}{\partial s}-\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) \kappa_{2}(s)\right) \mathbf{N}(s) \\
& +\left(\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) \kappa_{2}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}(s, t, q)}{\partial s}-\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) \kappa_{3}(s)\right) \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) \kappa_{3}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}(s, t, q)}{\partial s}\right) \mathbf{B}_{2}(s) \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(s, t, q)}{\partial t} & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(s, t, q)}{\partial t} \mathbf{T}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}(s, t, q)}{\partial t} \mathbf{N}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}(s, t, q)}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}(s, t, q)}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}_{2}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(s, t, q)}{\partial q}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(s, t, q)}{\partial q} \mathbf{T}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}(s, t, q)}{\partial q} \mathbf{N}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}(s, t, q)}{\partial q} \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}(s, t, q)}{\partial q} \mathbf{B}_{2}(s) .
$$

Remark 1. Because,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \\
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

along the curve $C$, by the definition of partial differentiation we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} \equiv 0 \\
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{n}}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} \otimes \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \otimes \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \\
& =\phi_{1}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \mathbf{T}(s)-\phi_{2}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \mathbf{N}(s) \\
& +\phi_{3}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)-\phi_{4}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \mathbf{B}_{2}(s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, q_{0}\right)}{\partial \tau} \\
\partial q & \left.\frac{\partial t}{\partial q}, q_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right|=0, \\
& \phi_{2}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s_{0}} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial \partial} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}, \\
& \phi_{3}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, s_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}
\end{array}\right| \\
&=\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}, \\
& \phi_{4}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial s} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \\
0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}
\end{array}\right| \\
&=\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \| \mathbf{N}(s)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{3}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) & =\phi_{4}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \equiv 0, \phi_{2}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \neq 0  \tag{8}\\
t_{0} & \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, any hypersurface defined by (6) has the curve $C$ as an isogeodesic if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{u}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{v}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{w}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{x}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \equiv 0  \tag{9}\\
\phi_{3}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\phi_{4}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \equiv 0, \phi_{2}\left(s, t_{0}, q_{0}\right) \neq 0 \\
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is satisfied. We call the set of hypersurfaces defined by (6) and satisfying (9) an isogeodesic hypersurface family.

To develop the method further, and for simplification purposes, we analyze some types of marching-scale functions.

## 4. Marching-Scale functions of type I

Let marching-scale functions be

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{u}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{l}(s) \mathbf{U}(t, q), \\
\mathbf{v}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{m}(s) \mathbf{V}(t, q), \\
\mathbf{w}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{n}(s) \mathbf{W}(t, q), \\
\mathbf{x}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{p}(s) \mathbf{X}(t, q),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{l}(s), \mathbf{m}(s), \mathbf{n}(s), \mathbf{p}(s), \mathbf{U}(t, q), \mathbf{V}(t, q), \mathbf{W}(t, q), \mathbf{X}(t, q) \in C^{1}$ and $\mathbf{l}(s) \neq 0 \neq \mathbf{m}(s), \mathbf{n}(s) \neq 0 \neq \mathbf{p}(s), \forall s \in\left[L_{1}, L_{2}\right]$. Using (9), the necessary and sufficient conditions for which the curve $C$ is an isogeodesic on the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ can be given as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{U}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=0,  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, \mathbf{V}_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q_{0}}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q_{0}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}=0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t_{0}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}=0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}\left(t_{\left.0, q_{0}\right)}^{\partial q}\right.}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

With a closer investigation of (10), we should have $\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t}=0$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}=0$.

So, (10) can be simplified to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{U}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)=0,  \tag{11}\\
\frac{\left.\partial \mathbf{V}(t)_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial,}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial}=0, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}, q_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \neq 0 \\
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 5. Marching-scale functions of type II

Let marching-scale functions be

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) & =\mathbf{l}(s, t) \mathbf{U}(q), \\
\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) & =\mathbf{m}(s, t) \mathbf{V}(q), \\
\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) & =\mathbf{n}(s, t) \mathbf{W}(q), \\
\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) & =\mathbf{p}(s, t) \mathbf{X}(q),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{l}(s, t), \mathbf{m}(s, t), \mathbf{n}(s, t), \mathbf{p}(s, t), \mathbf{U}(q), \mathbf{V}(q), \mathbf{W}(q), \mathbf{X}(q) \in C^{1}$.
Also let us choose $\mathbf{V}\left(q_{0}\right)=\frac{d \mathbf{V}\left(q_{0}\right)}{d q}=\mathbf{U}\left(q_{0}\right)=\frac{d \mathbf{U}\left(q_{0}\right)}{d q}=0$. Using (9),
the curve $C$ is an isogeodesic on the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ if and only if the followings are satisfied

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{n}\left(s, t_{0}\right) \mathbf{W}\left(q_{0}\right)=\mathbf{p}\left(s, t_{0}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(q_{0}\right) \equiv 0,  \tag{12}\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}\left(s, t_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \mathbf{W}\left(q_{0}\right) \mathbf{p}\left(s, t_{0}\right) \frac{d \mathbf{X}\left(q_{0}\right)}{d q}-\mathbf{n}\left(s, t_{0}\right) \frac{d \mathbf{W}\left(q_{0}\right)}{d q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}\left(s, t_{0}\right)}{\partial t} \mathbf{X}\left(q_{0}\right) \neq 0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2} .
$$

## 6. Marching-Scale functions of type III

Let marching-scale functions be

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{u}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{l}(s, q) \mathbf{U}(t), \\
\mathbf{v}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{m}(s, q) \mathbf{V}(t), \\
\mathbf{w}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{n}(s, q) \mathbf{W}(t), \\
\mathbf{x}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{p}(s, q) \mathbf{X}(t),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{l}(s, q), \mathbf{m}(s, q), \mathbf{n}(s, q), \mathbf{p}(s, q), \mathbf{U}(t), \mathbf{V}(t), \mathbf{W}(t), \mathbf{X}(t) \in C^{1}$. Also let us choose $\mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{d \mathbf{V}\left(t_{0}\right)}{d t}=\mathbf{U}\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{d \mathbf{U}\left(t_{0}\right)}{d t}=0$. Using (9) we derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for which the curve $C$ is an isogeodesic on the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ as (13)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{n}\left(s, q_{0}\right) \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}\right)=\mathbf{p}\left(s, q_{0}\right) \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \\
\mathbf{n}\left(s, q_{0}\right) \frac{d \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}\right)}{d t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}\left(s, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}\left(s, q_{0}\right)}{\partial q} \mathbf{W}\left(t_{0}\right) \mathbf{p}\left(s, q_{0}\right) \frac{d \mathbf{X}\left(t_{0}\right)}{d t} \neq 0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
t_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right], q_{0} \in\left[Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right], L_{1} \leq s \leq L_{2}
$$

## 7. ExAMPLES

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{r}(s)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \cos (s), \frac{1}{2} \sin (s), \frac{1}{2} s, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s\right), 0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi$, be a curve parametrized by arc-length. For this curve,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}(s) & =\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s)=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sin (s), \frac{1}{2} \cos (s), \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \\
\mathbf{N}(s) & =(-\cos (s),-\sin (s), 0,0) \\
\mathbf{B}_{2}(s) & =\frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)}{\left\|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right\|}=\left(0,0, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3},-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{B}_{1}(s)=\mathbf{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{N}=\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin (s), \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos (s),-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6},-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\right)$.

Let us choose the marching-scale functions of type $I$, where

$$
\mathbf{l}(s)=\mathbf{m}(s)=\mathbf{n}(s)=\mathbf{p}(s) \equiv 1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{U}(t, q) & =\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(q-q_{0}\right), \mathbf{V}(t, q) \equiv 0, \mathbf{W}(t, q)=t-t_{0}, \mathbf{X}(t, q)=q-q_{0} \\
t_{0} & \in[0,1], q_{0} \in[0,1], 0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) & =\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(q-q_{0}\right) \\
\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) & \equiv 0 \\
\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) & =t-t_{0} \\
\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) & =q-q_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The hypersurface

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)= & \mathbf{r}(s)+\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) \mathbf{T}(s)+\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) \mathbf{N}(s)+ \\
& +\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)+\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) \mathbf{B}_{2}(s) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{1}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(q-q_{0}\right) \sin (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) \sin (s),\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{1}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(q-q_{0}\right) \cos (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) \cos (s), \\
& \frac{1}{2} s+\frac{1}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(q-q_{0}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\left(q-q_{0}\right), \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left(q-q_{0}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\left(t-t_{0}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq t \leq 1,0 \leq q \leq 1, t_{0} \in[0,1], q_{0} \in[0,1]$, is a member of the isogeodesic hypersurface family, since it satisfies (11).
By changing the parameters $t_{0}$ and $q_{0}$ we can adjust the position of the curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ on the hypersurface. Let us choose $t_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $q_{0}=0$. Now the curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ is again an isogeodesic on the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ and the equation of the hypersurface is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)(q+\sqrt{3}) \sin (s),\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)(q+\sqrt{3}) \cos (s), \\
& \frac{1}{2} s+\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) q-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} q, \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} s+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) q-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} q\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The projection of a hypersurface into 3-space generally yields a threedimensional volume. If we fix each of the three parameters, one at a time, we obtain three distinct families of 2-spaces in 4-space. The projections of these 2-surfaces into 3-space are surfaces in 3-space. Thus, they can be displayed by 3D rendering methods.
So, if we (parallel) project the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ into the $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{0}$
subspace and fix $q=\frac{1}{8}$ we obtain the surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{w}}\left(s, t, \frac{1}{8}\right)= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{1+8 \sqrt{3}}{16}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin (s)\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{1+8 \sqrt{3}}{8}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cos (s) \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2} s+\frac{1}{16}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{6}}{24}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ in 3-space illustrated in Fig. 1.
Example 2. Given the curve parameterized by arc-length $\mathbf{r}(s)=$ $\left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s), \frac{1}{2} \cos (s), 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s\right), 0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi$, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}(s) & =\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \cos (s),-\frac{1}{2} \sin (s), 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \\
\mathbf{N}(s) & =(-\sin (s),-\cos (s), 0,0) \\
\mathbf{B}_{2}(s) & =\frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)}{\left\|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right\|}=(0,0,-1,0), \\
\mathbf{B}_{1}(s) & =\mathbf{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{N}=\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos (s),-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin (s), 0,-\frac{1}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us choose the marching-scale functions of type II, where

$$
\mathbf{n}(s, t)=s+t+1, \mathbf{p}(s, t)=(s+1)\left(t-t_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{U}(q)=\mathbf{V}(q) \equiv 0, \mathbf{W}(q)=q-q_{0}, \mathbf{X}(q) \equiv 1
$$

So, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) & \equiv 0 \\
\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) & \equiv 0 \\
\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) & =(s+t+1)\left(q-q_{0}\right) \\
\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) & =(s+1)\left(t-t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (12), the hypersurface

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)=\mathbf{r}(s)+\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) \mathbf{T}(s)+\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) \mathbf{N}(s)+ \\
+\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)+\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) \mathbf{B}_{2}(s) \\
=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(s+t+1)\left(q-q_{0}\right) \cos (s),\right. \\
\frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(s+t+1)\left(q-q_{0}\right) \sin (s), \\
-(s+1)\left(t-t_{0}\right), \\
\left.\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s-\frac{1}{2}(s+t+1)\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right),
\end{array}
$$

$0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq t \leq 1,0 \leq q \leq 1$, is a member of the hypersurface family having the curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ as an isogeodesic.

Setting $t_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $q_{0}=0$ yields the hypersurface

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(s+t+1) q \cos (s)\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(s+t+1) q \sin (s) \\
& -(s+1)\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s-\frac{1}{2}(s+t+1) q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (parallel) projecting the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ into the subspace $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{0}$ and fixing $q=\frac{1}{500}$ we get the surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{w}}\left(s, t, \frac{1}{500}\right)= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{1000}(s+t+1) \cos (s)\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{1000}(s+t+1) \sin (s) \\
& \left.-(s+1)\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $0 \leq s \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ in 3-space, illustrated in Fig. 2.
Example 3. Let $\mathbf{r}(s)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s), \frac{1}{2} \cos (s), 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s\right), \pi \leq s \leq 3 \pi$, be an arc-length curve. One can easily show that, for this curve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}(s) & =\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \cos (s),-\frac{1}{2} \sin (s), 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right), \\
\mathbf{N}(s) & =(-\sin (s),-\cos (s), 0,0), \\
\mathbf{B}_{2}(s) & =\frac{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)}{\left\|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime}(s) \otimes \mathbf{r}^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right\|}=(0,0,-1,0), \\
\mathbf{B}_{1}(s) & =\mathbf{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{N}=\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos (s),-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin (s), 0,-\frac{1}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose the marching-scale functions of type III, where

$$
\mathbf{n}(s, q)=\sin \left(s\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right), \mathbf{p}(s, q)=s q^{2}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{U}(t)=\mathbf{V}(t) \equiv 0, \mathbf{W}(t) \equiv 1, \mathbf{X}(q)=t-t_{0}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) & \equiv 0 \\
\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) & \equiv 0 \\
\mathbf{w}(s, t, q) & =\sin \left(s\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right) \\
\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) & =s q^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from (13) if we take $q_{0} \neq 0$ then the curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ is an isogeodesic on the hypersurface

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)= & \mathbf{r}(s)+\mathbf{u}(s, t, q) \mathbf{T}(s)+\mathbf{v}(s, t, q) \mathbf{N}(s)+ \\
+ & \mathbf{w}(s, t, q) \mathbf{B}_{1}(s)+\mathbf{x}(s, t, q) \mathbf{B}_{2}(s) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos (s) \sin \left(s\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin (s) \sin \left(s\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right) \\
& -s q^{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right) \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s-\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(s\left(q-q_{0}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi \leq s \leq 3 \pi, 0 \leq t \leq 1,0 \leq q \leq 1$.
By taking $t_{0}=1$ and $q_{0}=1$ we have the following hypersurface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos (s) \sin (s(q-1)),\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin (s) \sin (s(q-1)), \\
& -s q^{2}(t-1) \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s-\frac{1}{2} \sin (s(q-1))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, if we (parallel) project the hypersurface $\mathbf{P}(s, t, q)$ into the $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0}$ subspace we get the surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{z}(s, q)= & \left(\frac{1}{2} \sin (s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos (s) \sin (s(q-1)),\right. \\
& \frac{1}{2} \cos (s)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin (s) \sin (s(q-1)), \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} s-\frac{1}{2} \sin (s(q-1))\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi \leq s \leq 3 \pi, 0 \leq q \leq 1$, in 3-space shown in Fig. 3.

## 8. Conclusion

We have introduced a method for finding a hypersurface family passing through the same given geodesic as an isoparametric curve. The members of the hypersurface family are obtained by choosing suitable marching-scale functions. For a better analysis of the method we investigate three types of marching-scale functions. Also, by giving an example for each type, the method is verified. Furthermore, with the help of the projecting methods, a member of the family is visualized in 3 -space with its isogeodesic.

However, there is still much work in this area. For 3 -space, one possible alternative is to consider the realm of implicit surfaces $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z, t)=$ 0 and try to find out the constraints for which a given curve $\mathbf{r}(s)$ is
an isogeodesic on $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z, t)=0$. Also, the analogue of the problem dealt in this paper may be considered for 2 -surfaces in 4 -space or another types of marching-scale functions may be investigated.
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## 10. Figures



Figure 1. Projection of a member of the hypersurface family with marching-scale functions of type I and its isogeodesic.


Figure 2. Projection of a member of the hypersurface family with marching-scale functions of type II and its isogeodesic.


Figure 3. Projection of a member of the hypersurface family with marching-scale functions of type III and its isogeodesic.
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