

”Vasile Alecsandri” University of Bacău  
Faculty of Sciences  
Scientific Studies and Research  
Series Mathematics and Informatics  
Vol. 28(2018), No. 1, 61-84

## SOME FORMS OF (1, 2)-CONTINUITY IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

TAKASHI NOIRI AND VALERIU POPA

**Abstract** In this paper, by using  $mg$ -closed sets [35] and  $M$ -continuity [41] in  $m$ -spaces, we obtain the unified definitions and properties for  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuity, (1,2)-semi-continuity, (1,2)-precontinuity, (1,2)- $\alpha$ -continuity, and (1,2)-semi-precontinuity in bitopological spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Semi-open sets, preopen sets,  $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -open sets play an important role in the researching of generalizations of continuity of functions and multifunctions in topological spaces and bitopological spaces. The notions of quasi-open sets [12], [46] or  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets [45] in bitopological spaces are introduced and investigated. The notions of quasi-continuity or  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuity, (1, 2)-semi-open sets and (1, 2)-semi-continuity, (1, 2)-preopen sets and (1, 2)-precontinuity, (1, 2)-semi-preopen sets and (1, 2)-semi-precontinuity or (1, 2)- $\beta$ -continuity are introduced in [12], [46] [18], [31], [19], [4], [44].

In [41] and [42], the present authors introduced and studied the notions of minimal structures,  $m$ -spaces and  $M$ -continuity.

---

**Keywords and phrases:**  $m$ -structure,  $mg$ -closed set,  $M$ -continuity,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuity, bitopological space.

**(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54A05, 54C08, 54E55.

The concept of generalized closed sets in topological spaces was introduced by Levine [21]. The notion of  $g$ -continuity is introduced and studied in [6], [7], [31] and other papers. Quite recently, Noiri [35] has introduced the notion of  $mg$ -closed sets. Recently, the present authors [9], [38] reduced the study of  $(1,2)^*$ -continuity between bitopological spaces to the study of  $m$ -continuity and  $M$ -continuity between topological spaces.

In the present paper we reduce the study of  $(1, 2)$ -continuity between bitopological spaces to the study of  $M$ -continuity between  $m$ -spaces. Properties of  $M$ -continuity are obtained in [41].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  are denoted by  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and  $\text{Int}(A)$ , respectively. We recall some generalized open sets in topological spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $\alpha$ -open [34] if  $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$ ,
- (2) semi-open [20] if  $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ ,
- (3) preopen [26] if  $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ ,
- (4)  $\beta$ -open [1] or semi-preopen [3] if  $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ ,

The family of all  $\alpha$ -open (resp. semi-open, preopen,  $\beta$ -open) sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\alpha(X)$  (resp.  $\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $\beta(X)$  or  $\text{SPO}(X)$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -closed [28] (resp. semi-closed [10], preclosed [26],  $\beta$ -closed [1] or semi-preclosed [3]) if the complement of  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -open (resp. semi-open, preopen,  $\beta$ -open).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . The intersection of all  $\alpha$ -closed (resp. semi-closed, preclosed,  $\beta$ -closed) sets of  $X$  containing  $A$  is called the  $\alpha$ -closure [28] (resp. semi-closure [10], preclosure [13],  $\beta$ -closure [2] or semi-preclosure [3]) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\alpha\text{Cl}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{sCl}(A)$ ,  $\text{pCl}(A)$ ,  $\beta\text{Cl}(A)$  or  $\text{spCl}(A)$ ).

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . The union of all  $\alpha$ -open (resp. semi-open, preopen,  $\beta$ -open) sets of  $X$  contained in  $A$  is called the  $\alpha$ -interior [28] (resp. semi-interior [10], preinterior [13],  $\beta$ -interior [2] or semi-preinterior [3]) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\alpha\text{Int}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{sInt}(A)$ ,  $\text{pInt}(A)$ ,  $\beta\text{Int}(A)$  or  $\text{spInt}(A)$ ).

Throughout the present paper,  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  always denote topological spaces and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  always denote bitopological spaces.

### 3. MINIMAL STRUCTURES AND $m$ -CONTINUITY

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the power set of  $X$ . A subfamily  $m_X$  of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is called a *minimal structure* (briefly  *$m$ -structure*) on  $X$  [41], [42] if  $\emptyset \in m_X$  and  $X \in m_X$ .

By  $(X, m_X)$ , we denote a nonempty set  $X$  with an  $m$ -structure  $m_X$  on  $X$  and call it an  $m$ -space. Each member of  $m_X$  is said to be  $m_X$ -open (briefly  *$m$ -open*) and the complement of an  $m_X$ -open set is said to be  $m_X$ -closed (briefly  *$m$ -closed*).

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. The families  $\alpha(X)$ ,  $\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $\text{PO}(X)$ , and  $\beta(X)$  are all  $m$ -structures on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $m_X$  an  $m$ -structure on  $X$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the  *$m$ -closure* of  $A$  and the  *$m$ -interior* of  $A$  are defined in [25] as follows:

- (1)  $\text{mCl}(A) = \cap\{F : A \subset F, X - F \in m_X\}$ ,
- (2)  $\text{mInt}(A) = \cup\{U : U \subset A, U \in m_X\}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . If  $m_X = \tau$  (resp.  $\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $\alpha(X)$ ,  $\beta(X)$ ), then we have

- (1)  $\text{mCl}(A) = \text{Cl}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{sCl}(A)$ ,  $\text{pCl}(A)$ ,  $\alpha\text{Cl}(A)$ ,  $\beta\text{Cl}(A)$  or  $\text{spCl}(A)$ ),
- (2)  $\text{mInt}(A) = \text{Int}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{sInt}(A)$ ,  $\text{pInt}(A)$ ,  $\alpha\text{Int}(A)$ ,  $\beta\text{Int}(A)$  or  $\text{spInt}(A)$ ).

**Lemma 3.1.** (Maki et al. [25]). *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $m_X$  a minimal structure on  $X$ . For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $\text{mCl}(X - A) = X - \text{mInt}(A)$  and  $\text{mInt}(X - A) = X - \text{mCl}(A)$ ,
- (2) If  $(X - A) \in m_X$ , then  $\text{mCl}(A) = A$  and if  $A \in m_X$ , then  $\text{mInt}(A) = A$ ,
- (3)  $\text{mCl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{mCl}(X) = X$ ,  $\text{mInt}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $\text{mInt}(X) = X$ ,
- (4) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\text{mCl}(A) \subset \text{mCl}(B)$  and  $\text{mInt}(A) \subset \text{mInt}(B)$ ,
- (5)  $A \subset \text{mCl}(A)$  and  $\text{mInt}(A) \subset A$ ,
- (6)  $\text{mCl}(\text{mCl}(A)) = \text{mCl}(A)$  and  $\text{mInt}(\text{mInt}(A)) = \text{mInt}(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . Then  $x \in \text{mCl}(A)$  if and only if  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $U \in m_X$  containing  $x$ .*

**Definition 3.3.** An  $m$ -structure  $m_X$  on a nonempty set  $X$  is said to have *property  $\mathcal{B}$*  [25] if the union of any family of subsets belong to  $m_X$  belongs to  $m_X$ .

**Remark 3.3.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, then  $\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $\alpha(X)$ , and  $\beta(X)$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

**Lemma 3.3.** (Popa and Noiri [43]). *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $m_X$  an  $m$ -structure on  $X$  satisfying property  $\mathcal{B}$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $A \in m_X$  if and only if  $\text{mInt}(A) = A$ ,
- (2)  $A$  is  $m_X$ -closed if and only if  $\text{mCl}(A) = A$ ,
- (3)  $\text{mInt}(A) \in m_X$  and  $\text{mCl}(A)$  is  $m_X$ -closed.

**Definition 3.4.** A subset  $A$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be *quasi-open* [12], [46] or  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open [17] if  $A = B \cup C$ , where  $B \in \tau_1$  and  $C \in \tau_2$ .

The complement of a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set is said to be  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed. The intersection of all  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets containing a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called the  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A)$ . The union of all  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets contained in  $A$  is called the  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\tau_1\tau_2\text{Int}(A)$ . The family of all  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $(1,2)\text{O}(X)$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $(1,2)$ -semi-open [17] if  $A \subset \tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(\tau_1\text{Int}(A))$ ,
- (2)  $(1,2)$ -preopen [17] if  $A \subset \tau_1\text{Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A))$ ,
- (3)  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open [17] if  $A \subset \tau_1\text{Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(\tau_1\text{Int}(A)))$ ,
- (4)  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen [19], [44] if  $A \subset \tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(\tau_1\text{Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A)))$ .

The complement of a  $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preopen,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) set is said to be  $(1,2)$ -semi-closed (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preclosed,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -closed,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed). The collection of all  $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preopen,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) sets of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is denoted by  $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ).

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space.

(1) The families  $(1,2)\text{O}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ , and  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$  are all minimal structures on  $X$  having property  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(2) We denote by  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  minimal structures on  $X$  determined

by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then, if  $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)SO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)PO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ , and  $(1,2)SPO(X)$ ), then we have  
 $(1,2)mCl(A) = \tau_1\tau_2Cl(A)$  (resp.  $(1,2)sCl(A)$ ,  $(1,2)pCl(A)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha Cl(A)$ ,  $(1,2)spCl(A)$ ),  
 $(1,2)mInt(A) = \tau_1\tau_2Int(A)$  (resp.  $(1,2)sInt(A)$ ,  $(1,2)pInt(A)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha Int(A)$ ,  $(1,2)spInt(A)$ ),

By (1) and Lemma 3.3, we have the following properties:

- (i)  $A$  is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-closed,  $(1,2)$ -preclosed,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -closed,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed) if and only if  $A = \tau_1\tau_2Cl(A)$  (resp.  $A = (1,2)sCl(A)$ ,  $A = (1,2)pCl(A)$ ,  $A = (1,2)\alpha Cl(A)$ ,  $A = (1,2)spCl(A)$ ),
- (ii)  $A$  is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-open,  $(1,2)$ -preopen,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) if and only if  $A = \tau_1\tau_2Int(A)$  (resp.  $A = (1,2)sInt(A)$ ,  $A = (1,2)pInt(A)$ ,  $A = (1,2)\alpha Int(A)$ ,  $A = (1,2)spInt(A)$ ).
- (3) By using Lemma 3.1, we obtain the relations between  $(1,2)mCl(A)$  and  $(1,2)mInt(A)$ .
- (4) By Lemma 3.2, we obtain the result of Lemma 8(iii) of [4].

#### 4. $mg$ -CLOSED SETS IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $g$ -closed [21] if  $Cl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in \tau$ ,
- (2)  $g\alpha$ -closed [24] if  $\alpha Cl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in \alpha(X)$ ,
- (3)  $sg$ -closed [5] if  $sCl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in SO(X)$ ,
- (4)  $pg$ -closed [36] if  $pCl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in PO(X)$ ,
- (5)  $spg$ -closed [35] if  $spCl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in SPO(X)$ ,

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $mg$ -closed [35] if  $mCl(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in m_X$ .

The complement of an  $mg$ -closed set is said to be  $mg$ -open. The collection of  $mg$ -open sets in  $(X, m_X)$  is denoted by  $mGO(X)$ . The  $mGO(X)$  is a minimal structure on  $X$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $m_X$  an  $m$ -structure on  $X$ . If  $m_X = \tau$  (resp.  $SO(X)$ ,  $PO(X)$ ,  $\alpha(X)$ ,  $SPO(X)$ ), then, an  $mg$ -closed set is a  $g$ -closed (resp.  $sg$ -closed,  $pg$ -closed,  $g\alpha$ -closed,  $spg$ -closed) set.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $(1,2)$ - $g$ -closed [19] if  $\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in (1,2)\text{O}(X)$ ,
- (2)  $(1,2)$ - $sg$ -closed [19] if  $(1,2)\text{sCl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in (1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,
- (3)  $(1,2)$ - $pg$ -closed [19] if  $(1,2)\text{pCl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in (1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,
- (4)  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed [19] if  $(1,2)\alpha\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in (1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,
- (5)  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed [19], [33] if  $(1,2)\text{spCl}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and  $U \in (1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ,

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed if  $A$  is  $mg$ -closed in  $(X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X))$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open if  $X - A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed. The collection of all  $(1,2)$ - $g$ -open (resp.  $(1,2)$ - $sg$ -open,  $(1,2)$ - $pg$ -open,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha g$ -open,  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open) sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $(1,2)\text{GO}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SGO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PGO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha\text{GO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPGO}(X)$ ).

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space.

(1) Let  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ), then an  $mg$ -closed set is  $(1,2)$ - $g$ -closed (resp.  $(1,2)$ - $sg$ -closed,  $(1,2)$ - $pg$ -closed,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha g$ -closed,  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed).

(2)  $(1,2)\text{GO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SGO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PGO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha\text{GO}(X)$  and  $(1,2)\text{SPGO}(X)$  are minimal structures on  $X$ . But they do not always satisfy property  $\mathcal{B}$  (see Example 22 of [45]).

**Lemma 4.1.** (Noiri [35]). Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space. For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:

- (1) If  $A$  is  $m$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $mg$ -closed,
- (2) If  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $A$  is  $mg$ -closed and  $m$ -open, then  $A$  is  $m$ -closed,
- (3) If  $A$  is  $mg$ -closed and  $A \subset B \subset m\text{Cl}(A)$ , then  $B$  is  $mg$ -closed.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:

- (1) If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed,

(2) If  $(1,2)mO(X)$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed and  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -open, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed,

(3) If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed and  $A \subset B \subset (1,2)mCl(A)$ , then  $B$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed.

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.1.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. For subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:*

(1) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $sp$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed,*

(2) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed and  $(1,2)$ - $sp$ -open, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $sp$ -closed,*

(3) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed and  $A \subset B \subset (1,2)spCl(A)$ , then  $B$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed.*

**Lemma 4.2.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space. Then for each  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $m$ -closed or  $\{x\}$  is  $mg$ -open.*

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then for each  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed or  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.2.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then for each  $x \in X$ , either  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)$ - $sp$ -closed or  $\{x\}$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open.*

**Lemma 4.3.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $mg$ -open if and only if  $F \subset mInt(A)$  whenever  $F \subset A$  and  $F$  is  $m$ -closed.*

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open if and only if  $F \subset (1,2)mInt(A)$  whenever  $F \subset A$  and  $F$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.3.

**Corollary 4.3.** *A subset  $A$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open if and only if  $F \subset (1,2)spInt(A)$  whenever  $F \subset A$  and  $F$  is  $(1,2)$ - $sp$ -closed.*

**Lemma 4.4.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space. For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:*

(1) *If  $A$  is  $m$ -open, then  $A$  is  $mg$ -open,*

(2) *If  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $A$  is  $mg$ -open and  $m$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $m$ -open,*

(3) *If  $A$  is  $mg$ -open and  $mInt(A) \subset B \subset A$ , then  $B$  is  $mg$ -open.*

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -open, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open,*
- (2) *If  $(1,2)mO(X)$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open and  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -open,*
- (3) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open and  $(1,2)m\text{Int}(A) \subset B \subset A$ , then  $B$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.4.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open,*
- (2) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open and  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed, then  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen,*
- (3) *If  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open and  $(1,2)sp\text{Int}(A) \subset B \subset A$ , then  $B$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open.*

**Lemma 4.5.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space, where  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$A$  is  $mg$ -closed;*
- (2)  *$m\text{Cl}(A) - A$  does not contain any nonempty  $m$ -closed set;*
- (3)  *$m\text{Cl}(A) - A$  is  $mg$ -open.*

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  having property  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed;*
- (2)  *$(1,2)m\text{Cl}(A) - A$  does not contain any nonempty  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed set;*
- (3)  *$(1,2)m\text{Cl}(A) - A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -open.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed;*
- (2)  *$(1,2)sp\text{Cl}(A) - A$  does not contain any nonempty  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed set;*
- (3)  *$(1,2)sp\text{Cl}(A) - A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -open.*

**Remark 4.3.** Corollary 4.5(2) is an improvement of Theorem 4.8 of [19].

**Lemma 4.6.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $mg$ -closed if and only if  $mCl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$  whenever  $A \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $F$  is  $m$ -closed.*

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then, a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed if and only if  $(1,2)mCl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$  whenever  $A \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $F$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.6.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then,  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed if and only if  $(1,2)spCl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$  whenever  $A \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $F$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed.*

**Lemma 4.7.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space, where  $m_X$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $mg$ -closed if and only if  $mCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in mCl(A)$ .*

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  with property  $\mathcal{B}$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then, a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed if and only if  $(1,2)mCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in (1,2)mCl(A)$ .*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.7.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ - $spg$ -closed if and only if  $(1,2)spCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in (1,2)spCl(A)$ .*

**Definition 4.5.** A subset  $A$  of an  $m$ -space  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be *locally  $m$ -closed* [35] if  $A = U \cap F$ , where  $U \in m_X$  and  $F$  is  $m$ -closed.

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $m_X = \tau$  (resp.  $SO(X)$ ,  $PO(X)$ ,  $\alpha(X)$ ,  $SPO(X)$ ), then a locally  $m$ -closed set is locally closed [14] (resp. semi-locally closed [47], locally pre-closed [35],  $\alpha$ -locally closed [15],  $\beta$ -locally closed [16]).

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space and  $m_X$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is locally  $m$ -closed;
- (2)  $A = U \cap mCl(A)$  for some  $U \in m_X$ ;
- (3)  $mCl(A) - A$  is  $m$ -closed;
- (4)  $A \cup (X - mCl(A)) \in m_X$ ;
- (5)  $A \subset mInt[A \cup (X - mCl(A))]$ .

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be *locally  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed* if  $A$  is locally  $m$ -closed in  $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$ .

Hence  $A$  is locally  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed if  $A = U \cap F$ , where  $U \in (1,2)mO(X)$  and  $F$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed.

**Remark 4.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)SO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)PO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)SPO(X)$ ). If a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is locally  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed, then  $A$  is locally  $(1,2)$ -closed (resp. locally  $(1,2)$ -semi-closed, locally  $(1,2)$ -preclosed, locally  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -closed, locally  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed).

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  with property  $\mathcal{B}$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then, for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is locally  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed;
- (2)  $A = U \cap (1,2)mCl(A)$  for some  $U \in (1,2)mO(X)$ ;
- (3)  $(1,2)mCl(A) - A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed;
- (4)  $A \cup [X - (1,2)mCl(A)] \in (1,2)mO(X)$ ;
- (5)  $A \subset (1,2)mInt[A \cup (X - (1,2)mCl(A))]$ .

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.8.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. Then, for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is locally  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed;
- (2)  $A = U \cap (1,2)spCl(A)$  for some  $U \in (1,2)SPO(X)$ ;
- (3)  $(1,2)spCl(A) - A$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed;
- (4)  $A \cup [X - (1,2)spCl(A)] \in (1,2)SPO(X)$ ;
- (5)  $A \subset (1,2)spInt[A \cup (X - (1,2)spCl(A))]$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** (Noiri [35]). *Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space and  $m_X$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $m$ -closed if and only if  $A$  is  $mg$ -closed and locally  $m$ -closed.*

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  with property  $\mathcal{B}$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then, a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed if and only if  $A$  is  $(1,2)$ - $mg$ -closed and locally  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -closed.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.9

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . Then,*

- (1)  $A$  is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed if and only if it is (1,2)- $g$ -closed and locally (1,2)-closed,
- (2)  $A$  is (1,2)-semi-closed if and only if it is (1,2)- $sg$ -closed and locally (1,2)-semi-closed,
- (3)  $A$  is (1,2)-preclosed if and only if it is (1,2)- $pg$ -closed and locally (1,2)-preclosed,
- (4)  $A$  is (1,2)- $\alpha$ -closed if and only if it is (1,2)- $\alpha g$ -closed and locally (1,2)- $\alpha$ -closed,
- (5)  $A$  is (1,2)-semi-preclosed if and only if it is (1,2)- $spg$ -closed and locally (1,2)-semi-preclosed.

### 5. $M$ -CONTINUITY IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 5.1.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be  $M$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  [41] if for each  $V \in m_Y$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists  $U \in m_X$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be  $M$ -continuous if it has this property at each point  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 5.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space.

(1) If  $m_X = \tau$  (resp.  $SO(X)$ ,  $PO(X)$ ,  $\alpha(X)$ ,  $SPO(X)$ ) and  $m_Y = \sigma$  and  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous, then  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is continuous (resp. semi-continuous [20], precontinuous [26],  $\alpha$ -continuous [28], semi-precontinuous [3] or  $\beta$ -continuous [1]).

(2) If  $m_X = SO(X)$  (resp.  $PO(X)$ ,  $\alpha(X)$ ,  $SPO(X)$  or  $\beta(Y)$ ) and  $m_Y = SO(Y)$  (resp.  $PO(Y)$ ,  $\alpha(Y)$ ,  $SPO(Y)$  or  $\beta(Y)$ ) and  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous, then  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is irresolute [11] (resp. pre-irresolute [27],  $\alpha$ -irresolute [23],  $\beta$ -irresolute [29]).

(3) If  $m_X = \tau$  and  $m_Y = SO(Y)$  (resp.  $\alpha(Y)$ ,  $\beta(Y)$ ) and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $M$ -continuous, then  $f$  is  $s$ -continuous [8] (resp. strongly  $\alpha$ -irresolute [22], strongly  $\beta$ -irresolute [32]).

(4) If  $m_X = SO(X)$  and  $m_Y = \alpha(Y)$  and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $M$ -continuous, then  $f$  is strongly semi-continuous [40].

**Theorem 5.1.** (Noiri and Popa [39]). For a function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous at  $x \in X$ ;
- (2)  $x \in m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$  for every  $V \in m_Y$  containing  $f(x)$ ;
- (3)  $x \in f^{-1}(m\text{Cl}(f(A)))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$  with  $x \in m\text{Cl}(A)$ ;
- (4)  $x \in f^{-1}(m\text{Cl}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$  with  $x \in m\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ ;
- (5)  $x \in m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$  with  $x \in$

$f^{-1}(\text{mInt}(B));$

(6)  $x \in f^{-1}(K)$  for every  $m_Y$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$  such that  $x \in \text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K))$ .

For a function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , we define  $D_M(f)$  as follows:

$$D_M(f) = \{x \in X : f \text{ is not } M\text{-continuous at } x\}.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** (Noiri and Popa [39]). *For a function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , the following properties hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_M(f) &= \bigcup_{G \in m_Y} \{f^{-1}(G) - \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(G))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{f^{-1}(\text{mInt}(B)) - \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(B)) - f^{-1}(\text{mCl}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{\text{mCl}(A) - f^{-1}(\text{mCl}(f(A)))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \{\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K)) - f^{-1}(K)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of  $m_Y$ -closed sets of  $Y$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). *For a function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V) = \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(V))$  for every  $V \in m_Y$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(K) = \text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K))$  for every  $m$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$ ;
- (4)  $f(\text{mCl}(A)) \subset \text{mCl}(f(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ;
- (5)  $\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{mCl}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;
- (6)  $f^{-1}(\text{mInt}(B)) \subset \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). *For a function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , where  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $m$ -open for every  $V \in m_Y$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $m$ -closed in  $X$  for every  $m$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be  $M^*$ -continuous [30] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $m$ -open in  $X$  for each  $m$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Remark 5.2.** (1) If  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is  $M^*$ -continuous, then it is  $M$ -continuous. By Example 3.4 of [30], every  $M$ -continuous function is not always  $M^*$ -continuous.

(2) If  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $M$ -continuity and  $M^*$ -continuity are equivalent.

**Definition 5.3.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be  $mg$ -continuous if  $f : (X, \text{mGO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is  $M^*$ -continuous.

Hence  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is  $mg$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $mg$ -closed in  $X$  for each  $m$ -closed set of  $Y$ .

**Definition 5.4.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be *locally mc-continuous* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is locally  $m$ -closed in  $X$  for each  $m$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , where  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ , is  $M$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $mg$ -continuous and locally  $mc$ -continuous.

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definitions 5.3 and 5.4 and Lemma 4.9.

**Definition 5.5.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to be *contra  $M^*$ -continuous* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $m$ -closed in  $X$  for each  $m$ -open set  $K$  of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** If a function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ , where  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ , is  $mg$ -continuous and contra  $M^*$ -continuous, then  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be any  $m$ -open set of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is  $mg$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $mg$ -open. Since  $f$  is contra  $M^*$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $m$ -closed. By Lemma 4.4,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $m$ -open. Then, by Corollary 5.1  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous.

## 6. $M$ -CONTINUITY IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 6.1.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous [18] or *quasi-continuous* [46] (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous,  $(1,2)$ -precontinuous,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -continuous,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous or  $(1,2)$ - $\beta$ -continuous) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-open,  $(1,2)$ -preopen,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) in  $X$  for every  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Definition 6.2.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute [37] (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preirresolute [18], [19],  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute [18],  $(1,2)$ - $\beta$ -irresolute [18]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preopen,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)$ - $\beta$ -open) in  $X$  for each  $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preopen,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -open,  $(1,2)$ - $\beta$ -open) set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Definition 6.3.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ - $M$ -continuous (resp.  $(1,2)$ - $M^*$ -continuous) if  $f$  :

$(X, (1, 2)\text{mO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1, 2)\text{mO}(Y))$  is  $M$ -continuous (resp.  $M^*$ -continuous), where  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$  (resp.  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y)$ ) is a minimal structure on  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  (resp.  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ ),

**Remark 6.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space.

(1) Since  $(1, 2)\text{O}(X)$  (resp.  $(1, 2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ) has property  $\mathcal{B}$ , if  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X) = (1, 2)\text{O}(X)$  (resp.  $(1, 2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ) and  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1, 2)\text{O}(Y)$ , then by Definition 6.3 we obtain Definition 6.1.

(2) If  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X) = (1, 2)\text{SO}(X)$  (resp.  $(1, 2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ) and  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1, 2)\text{SO}(Y)$  (resp.  $(1, 2)\text{PO}(Y)$ ,  $(1, 2)\alpha(Y)$ ,  $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(Y)$ ), then by Definition 6.3 we obtain Definition 6.2.

By Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.1, we obtain the following theorem and corollary.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces and  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$  and  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y)$   $m$ -spaces as in Definition 6.3. For a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is  $(1, 2)$ - $M$ -continuous;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V) = (1, 2)\text{mInt}(f^{-1}(V))$  for every  $(1, 2)$ - $m$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(K) = (1, 2)\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K))$  for every  $(1, 2)$ - $m$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$ ;
- (4)  $(1, 2)\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{mCl}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;
- (5)  $f((1, 2)\text{mCl}(A)) \subset (1, 2)\text{mCl}(f(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ;
- (6)  $f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{mInt}(B)) \subset (1, 2)\text{mInt}(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;

**Corollary 6.1.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces and  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$  and  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y)$   $m$ -spaces as in Definition 6.3, where  $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is  $(1, 2)$ - $M$ -continuous;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(1, 2)$ - $m$ -open in  $X$  for every  $(1, 2)$ - $m$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $(1, 2)$ - $m$ -closed in  $X$  for every  $(1, 2)$ - $m$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** For a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is (1,2)-semi-precontinuous;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V) \in (1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$  for each  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of  $Y$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(K)$  is (1,2)-semi-preclosed for each  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set  $K$  of  $Y$ ;
- (4)  $(1, 2)\text{spCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{Cl}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;
- (5)  $f((1, 2)\text{spCl}(A)) \subset \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{Cl}(f(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ;
- (6)  $f^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{Int}(B)) \subset (1, 2)\text{spInt}(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;

**Theorem 6.3.** For a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is (1,2)-semi-preirresolute;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V) \in (1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$  for each (1,2)-semi-preopen set of  $Y$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(K)$  is (1,2)-semi-preclosed for each (1,2)-semi-preclosed set  $K$  of  $Y$ ;
- (4)  $(1, 2)\text{spCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{spCl}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;
- (5)  $f((1, 2)\text{spCl}(A)) \subset (1, 2)\text{spCl}(f(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ;
- (6)  $f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{spInt}(B)) \subset (1, 2)\text{spInt}(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;

For a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , we define  $D_{(1,2)M}(f)$  as follows:

$$D_{(1,2)M}(f) = \{x \in X : f \text{ is not } (1,2)\text{-}M\text{-continuous at } x\}.$$

**Theorem 6.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces and  $(1, 2)mO(X)$  and  $(1, 2)mO(Y)$   $m$ -spaces as in Definition 6.3. Then, for a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(1,2)M}(f) &= \bigcup_{G \in (1,2)O(Y)} \{f^{-1}(G) - (1, 2)m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(G))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{Int}(B)) - (1, 2)m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{(1, 2)m\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B)) - f^{-1}((1, 2)m\text{Cl}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{(1, 2)m\text{Cl}(A) - f^{-1}((1, 2)m\text{Cl}(f(A)))\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 6.4.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be (1,2)- $g$ -continuous (resp. (1,2)- $sg$ -continuous, (1,2)- $pg$ -continuous, (1,2)- $\alpha g$ -continuous, (1,2)- $spg$ -continuous) if the inverse image of a  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed (resp. (1,2)- $s$ -closed, (1,2)- $p$ -closed, (1,2)- $\alpha$ -closed, (1,2)- $sp$ -closed) set of  $Y$  is (1,2)- $g$ -closed (resp. (1,2)- $sg$ -closed, (1,2)- $pg$ -closed, (1,2)- $\alpha g$ -closed, (1,2)- $spg$ -closed) set of  $X$ .

**Definition 6.5.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be *(1,2)-mg-continuous* if  $f : (X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)\text{mO}(Y))$  is *(1,2)-mg-continuous*, where  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$ ) is a minimal structure on  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  (resp.  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ ) as in Definition 6.3,

Hence a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is *(1,2)-mg-continuous* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is *(1,2)-mg-closed* in  $X$  for each *(1,2)-m-closed* set  $K$  of  $Y$ .

**Remark 6.2.** If  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ) and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1,2)\text{O}(Y)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SO}(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(Y)$ ), then by Definition 6.5, we obtain Definition 6.4.

**Definition 6.6.** A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be *locally mc-continuous* if  $f : (X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)\text{mO}(Y))$  is locally *mc-continuous*, where  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$ ) is a minimal structure on  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  (resp.  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ ) as in Definition 6.3.

Hence a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is locally *(1,2)-mc-continuous* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is locally *(1,2)-m-closed* in  $X$  for each *(1,2)-m-closed* set  $K$  of  $Y$ .

**Remark 6.3.** If  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ) and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1,2)\text{O}(Y)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SO}(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(Y)$ ) and  $f$  is locally *(1,2)-m-continuous*, then  $f$  is locally *(1,2)-c-continuous* (resp. locally *(1,2)-sc-continuous*, locally *(1,2)-pc-continuous*, locally *(1,2)- $\alpha$ c-continuous*, locally *(1,2)-spc-continuous*).

By Definitions 5.5 and 5.6 and Theorem 4.9, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces,  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$   $m$ -spaces as in Definition 6.3 and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is *(1,2)-M-continuous* if and only if  $f$  is *(1,2)-mg-continuous* and locally *(1,2)-mc-continuous*.*

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces,  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$   $m$ -spaces as in Definition 6.3 and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then for a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $f$  is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is *(1,2)-g-continuous* and

locally (1,2)-c-continuous,

(2)  $f$  is (1,2)-semi-continuous if and only if  $f$  is (1,2)-sg-continuous and locally (1,2)-sc-continuous,

(3)  $f$  is (1,2)-precontinuous if and only if  $f$  is (1,2)-pg-continuous and locally (1,2)-pc-continuous,

(4)  $f$  is (1,2)- $\alpha$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is (1,2)- $\alpha$ g-continuous and locally (1,2)- $\alpha$ c-continuous,

(5)  $f$  is (1,2)-sp-continuous if and only if  $f$  is (1,2)-spg-continuous and locally (1,2)-spc-continuous.

**Definition 6.7.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces,  $(1,2)mO(X)$  and  $(1,2)mO(Y)$   $m$ -spaces as in Definition 6.3. Then a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be *contra (1,2)- $M^*$ -continuous* if  $f : (X, (1,2)mO(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)mO(Y))$  is contra  $M^*$ -continuous.

Hence  $f$  is contra (1,2)- $M^*$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is (1,2)- $m$ -closed in  $X$  for each (1,2)- $m$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Remark 6.4.** If  $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)SO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)PO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)SPO(X)$ ) and  $(1,2)mO(Y) = (1,2)O(Y)$  (resp.  $(1,2)SO(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)PO(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(Y)$ ,  $(1,2)SPO(Y)$ ) and  $f$  is contra (1,2)- $M^*$ -continuous, then  $f$  is contra  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp. contra (1,2)-semi-continuous, contra (1,2)-precontinuous, contra (1,2)- $\alpha$ -continuous, contra (1,2)-semi-precontinuous).

By Definition 4.7 and Theorem 5.4, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.6.** *If a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is (1,2)-mg-continuous and contra (1,2)- $M^*$ -continuous, then it is (1,2)- $M$ -continuous.*

**Corollary 6.3.** *For a function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following properties hold:*

(1) *If  $f$  is (1,2)-g-continuous and contra (1,2)-continuous, then it is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous,*

(2) *If  $f$  is (1,2)-sg-continuous and contra (1,2)-semi-continuous, then it is (1,2)-semi-continuous,*

(3) *If  $f$  is (1,2)-pg-continuous and contra (1,2)-precontinuous, then it is (1,2)-precontinuous,*

(4) *If  $f$  is (1,2)- $\alpha$ g-continuous and contra (1,2)- $\alpha$ -continuous, then it is (1,2)- $\alpha$ -continuous,*

(5) *If  $f$  is (1,2)-spg-continuous and contra (1,2)-semi-precontinuous, then it is (1,2)-semi-precontinuous.*

## 7. PROPERTIES OF $M$ -CONTINUITY IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

We can obtain some properties of  $(1,2)$ -continuity by using the results of  $M$ -continuity established in [41].

**Definition 7.1.** An  $m$ -space  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be  $mT_2$  [41] if for any distinct points  $x, y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $U, V \in m_X$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 7.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . Then the space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(1,2)\text{-}mT_2$  if  $(X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X))$  is  $mT_2$ .

**Remark 7.1.** If  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ ) and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)\text{-}mT_2$ , then  $X$  is ultra- $T_2$  (resp. ultra semi- $T_2$ , ultra pre- $T_2$ , ultra  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ , ultra semi-pre- $T_2$  [18]).

**Lemma 7.1.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). *If  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is an  $M$ -continuous injection and  $(Y, m_Y)$  is  $mT_2$ , then  $(X, m_X)$  is  $mT_2$ .*

**Theorem 7.1.** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $(1,2)$ - $M$ -continuous injection and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(1,2)\text{-}mT_2$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)\text{-}mT_2$ .*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 7.2 and Lemma 7.1.

**Corollary 7.1.** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous,  $(1,2)$ -precontinuous,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -continuous,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous) injection and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is ultra- $T_2$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is ultra- $T_2$  (resp. ultra semi- $T_2$ , ultra pre- $T_2$ , ultra  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ , ultra semi-pre- $T_2$ ).*

**Corollary 7.2.** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a  $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preirresolute,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preirresolute) injection and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is ultra semi- $T_2$  (resp. ultra pre- $T_2$ , ultra  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ , ultra semi-pre- $T_2$ ), then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is ultra semi- $T_2$  (resp. ultra pre- $T_2$ , ultra  $\alpha$ - $T_2$ , ultra semi-pre- $T_2$ ).*

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space and  $K$  a subset of  $X$ .

(1)  $K$  is said to be  $m$ -compact [41] if every cover of  $K$  by sets of  $m_X$  has a finite subcover,

(2)  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be  $m$ -compact [41] if the subset  $X$  is  $m$ -compact.

**Definition 7.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$  a minimal structure on  $X$  determined by  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ .

(1) The space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact if  $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$  is  $m$ -compact,

(2) A subset  $K$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact if  $K$  is  $m$ -compact in  $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$ .

**Remark 7.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. If  $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)SO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)PO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)SPO(X)$ ) and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact, then  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ -compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact,  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact).

**Lemma 7.2.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). *Let  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  be an  $M$ -continuous function. then the following properties hold:*

(1) *If  $K$  is an  $m$ -compact set of  $X$ , then  $f(K)$  is  $m$ -compact in  $Y$ ,*

(2) *If  $f$  is surjective and  $(X, m_X)$  is  $m$ -compact, then  $(Y, m_Y)$  is  $m$ -compact.*

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  be defined as in Definition 6.3. If  $f$  is a  $(1,2)$ - $M$ -continuous, the following properties hold:*

(1) *If  $K$  is a  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact set of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $f(K)$  is a  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact set of  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ ,*

(2) *If  $f$  is surjective and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact.*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 7.4 and Lemma 7.2.

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous,  $(1,2)$ -precontinuous,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -continuous,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous) function. Then the following properties hold:*

(1) *If  $K$  is a  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact,  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact) set of  $X$ , then  $f(K)$  is a  $(1,2)$ -compact set of  $Y$ ,*

(2) *If  $f$  is surjective and  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ -compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact,  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact), then  $Y$  is  $(1,2)$ -compact.*

**Corollary 7.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preirresolute,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preirresolute) function. Then the following properties hold:*

(1) If  $K$  is a  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact) set of  $X$ , then  $f(K)$  is a  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact) set of  $Y$ ,

(2) If  $f$  is surjective and  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact), then  $Y$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp.  $(1,2)$ -precompact,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -compact,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact).

**Definition 7.5.** An  $m$ -space  $(X, m_X)$  is said to be  $m$ -connected [41] if  $X$  cannot be written as the union of two nonempty disjoint  $m$ -open sets of  $X$ .

**Definition 7.6.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  as in Definition 6.3. Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -connected if  $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$  is  $m$ -connected.

**Remark 7.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. If  $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)SO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)PO(X)$ ,  $(1,2)\alpha(X)$ ,  $(1,2)SPO(X)$ ) and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -connected, then  $X$  is  $(1,2)$ -connected (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-connected,  $(1,2)$ -preconnected,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -connected,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preconnected).

**Lemma 7.3.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). Let  $(X, m_X)$  be an  $m$ -space and  $m_X$  have property  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is an  $M$ -continuous surjection and  $(X, m_X)$  is  $m$ -connected, then  $(Y, m_Y)$  is  $m$ -connected.

**Theorem 7.3.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function and  $(1,2)mO(X)$  be defined as in Definition 6.3, where  $(1,2)mO(X)$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $f$  is a  $(1,2)$ - $M$ -continuous surjection and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -connected, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ - $m$ -connected.

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definition 7.6 and Lemma 7.3.

**Corollary 7.5.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous,  $(1,2)$ -precontinuous,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -continuous,  $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous) surjection and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ -connected (resp.  $(1,2)$ -semi-connected,  $(1,2)$ -preconnected,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -connected,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preconnected), then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ -connected.

**Corollary 7.6.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a  $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preirresolute,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -irresolute,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preirresolute) surjection and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(1,2)$ -semi-connected (resp.  $(1,2)$ -preconnected,  $(1,2)$ - $\alpha$ -connected,  $(1,2)$ -semi-preconnected), then

$(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is (1,2)-semi-connected (resp. (1,2)-preconnected, (1,2)- $\alpha$ -connected, (1,2)-semi-preconnected).

**Definition 7.7.** A function  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  is said to have a *strongly m-closed graph* (resp. *m-closed graph*) [41] if for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in m_X$  containing  $x$  and  $V \in m_Y$  containing  $y$  such that  $[U \times mCl(V)] \cap G(f) = \emptyset$  (resp.  $[U \times V] \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ ).

**Definition 7.8.** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function and (1,2)mO( $X$ ) and (1,2)mO( $Y$ ) be defined as in Definition 6.3. Then  $f$  is said to have a *strongly m-closed graph* (resp. *m-closed graph*) if  $f : (X, (1,2)mO(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)mO(Y))$  has a strongly  $m$ -closed graph (resp.  $m$ -closed graph).

**Lemma 7.4.** (Popa and Noiri [41]). *Let  $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$  be a function. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If  $f$  is  $M$ -continuous and  $(Y, m_Y)$  is  $mT_2$ , then  $f$  has a strongly  $m$ -closed graph,*
- (2) *If  $f$  is a surjective function with a strongly  $m$ -closed graph, then  $(Y, m_Y)$  is  $mT_2$ ,*
- (3) *If  $m_X$  has property  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $f$  is an  $M$ -continuous injection with an  $m$ -closed graph, then  $(X, m_X)$  is  $mT_2$ .*

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a function and (1,2)mO( $X$ ) be defined as in Definition 6.3. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If  $f$  is (1,2)- $M$ -continuous and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is (1,2)- $mT_2$ , then  $f$  has a strongly (1,2)- $m$ -closed graph,*
- (2) *If  $f$  is a surjective function with a strongly (1,2)- $m$ -closed graph, then  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is (1,2)- $mT_2$ ,*
- (3) *If (1,2)mO( $X$ ) has property  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $f$  is a (1,2)- $M$ -continuous injection with a (1,2)- $m$ -closed graph, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is (1,2)- $mT_2$ .*

**Proof.** The proof follows from Definitions 7.7 and 7.8 and Lemma 7.4.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud,  *$\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77–90.
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, R. A. Mahmoud and E. R. Lashin,  *$\beta$ -closure and  $\beta$ -interior*, J. Fac. Ed. Ain Shams Univ., **10** (1986), 235–245.
- [3] D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **38** (1986), 24–32.

- [4] S. Athisaya Panmani, R. Raja Rajeswari, M. Lellia Thivagar and E. Ekici, *On some characterizations of vividly and blurry (1, 2)- $\beta$ -irresolute mappings*, Filomat, **21**(2) (2007), 85–98.
- [5] P. Bhattacharyya and B. K. Lahiri, *Semi-generalized closed sets in topology*, Indian J. Math., **29**(3) (1987), 375–382.
- [6] M. Caldas, *On  $g$ -closed sets and  $g$ -continuous mappings*, Kyungpook Math. J., **33** (1993), 205–209.
- [7] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, *Notions via  $g$ -open sets*, Kochi J. Math., **2** (2007), 45–50.
- [8] D. E. Cameron and G. Woods,  *$S$ -continuity and  $s$ -open mappings* (preprint).
- [9] G. I. Chae, T. Noiri and V. Popa, *Quasi  $M$ -continuous functions in bitopological spaces*, Natur. Sci. Ulsan, **16**(1-2) (2007), 23-33.
- [10] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closure*, Texas J. Sci., **22** (1971), 99–112.
- [11] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math., **74** (1972), 233–254.
- [12] P. C. Dutta, *Contributions to Study of Bitopological Spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Pilan (India), 1971.
- [13] S. F. El-Deeb, I. A. Hasanein, A. S. Mashhour and T. Noiri, *On  $p$ -regular spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **27(75)** (1983), 311–315.
- [14] M. Ganster and I. L. Reilly, *Locally closed sets and continuous functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **12** (1989), 417–424.
- [15] Y. Granambal, *Study of Generalized Pre-regular Closed Sets*, Ph. D. Thesis, Bharatial Univ., Coimbatore, 1998.
- [16] Y. Granambal and K. Balachandran,  *$\beta$ -locally closed sets and  $\beta$ -LC-continuous functions*, Memoir Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. Math., **19** (1998), 1–17.
- [17] M. Lellis Thivagar, *Generalizations of pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous functions*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., **33** (1991), 55–63.
- [18] M. Lellis Thivagar and R. Raje Rajeswari, *On bitopological ultra spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **31** (2007), 993–1008.
- [19] M. Lellis Thivagar and R. Raje Rajeswari and E. Ekici, *On extension on semi-preopen sets in bitopological spaces*, Kochi J. Math., **3** (2008), 55–66.
- [20] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [21] N. Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **19** (1970), 89–96.
- [22] G. Lo Faro, *On strongly  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings*, J. Pure Appl. Math., **18** (1987), 146–151.
- [23] S. H. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur, *On  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings*, Tamkang J. Math., **11** (1980), 209–214.
- [24] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, *Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets in topology*, Fukuoka Univ. Ed. III, **42** (1993), 13–21.
- [25] H. Maki, K. C. Rao and A. Nagoor Gani, *On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., **49** (1999), 17–29.

- [26] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deep, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47–53.
- [27] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and I. A. Hasanein, *On pretopological spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **28(76)** (1984), 39–45.
- [28] A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein and S. N. El-Deeb,  *$\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mappings*, Acta Math. Hungar., **41** (1983), 213–218.
- [29] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef,  *$\beta$ -irresolute and  $\beta$ -topological invariants*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., **27** (1990), 285–291.
- [30] W. K. Min and Y. K. Kim,  *$M^*$ -continuity and product minimal structure on minimal structures*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **69(3)** (2011), 329–339.
- [31] B. M. Munshi and D. S. Bassan,  *$g$ -continuous mappings*, Vidya J. Gujarat Univ. B Sci., **24** (1981), 63–68.
- [32] A. A. Nasef and T. Noiri, *Strongly  $\beta$ -irresolute mappings*, J. Natur. Sci. Math., **36** (1996), 199–206.
- [33] G. I. Navalagi, M. Lellis Thivagar and R. Raja Rajeswari, *Generalized semi-preclosed sets in bitopological spaces*, Math. Forum., **27** (2004/2005), 63–76.
- [34] O. Njåstad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961–970.
- [35] T. Noiri, *A unified theory for modifications of  $g$ -closed sets*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **56** (2007), 171–184.
- [36] T. Noiri, H. Maki and J. Umehara, *Generalized preclosed functions*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math., **19** (1998), 13–20.
- [37] T. Noiri and V. Popa, *A new viewpoint in the study of irresoluteness forms in bitopological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory, **1(1)** (2006), 1–9.
- [38] T. Noiri and V. Popa, *A new viewpoint in the study of continuity forms in bitopological spaces*, Kochi J. Math., **2** (2007), 95–106.
- [39] T. Noiri and V. Popa, *A generalization of some forms of  $g$ -irresolute functions*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., **2(4)** (2009), 473–493.
- [40] V. Popa, *On characterizations of strongly semi-continuous functions*, Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău, **7(1997)**, 135–140.
- [41] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *On  $M$ -continuous functions*, Anal. Univ. "Dunărea de Jos" Galați, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor. (2), **18(23)** (2000), 31–41.
- [42] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *On the definitions of some generalized forms of continuity under minimal conditions*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math., **22** (2001), 9–18.
- [43] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *A unified theory of weak continuity for functions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **51** (2002), 439–464.
- [44] R. Raja Rajeswari and M. Lellis Thivagar, *On extension on semi-preopen in bitopological spaces*, Proc. Nat. Conf. Pure Appl. Math. (2005), 28–32.
- [45] O. Ravi and M. Lellis Thivagar, *A bitopological  $(1, 2)^*$  semi-generalized continuous maps*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), **29(1)** (2006), 79–88.
- [46] M. S. Sarsak, *On quasi-continuous functions*, J. Indian Acad. Math., **27(2)** (2006), 407–414.
- [47] P. Sundran and K. Balachanduri, *Semi generalized locally closed sets in topological spaces*, (preprint).

Takashi NOIRI  
2949-1 SHIOKITA-CHO, HINAGU  
YATSUSHIRO-SHI, KUMAMOTO-KEN,  
869-5142 JAPAN  
e-mail:t.noiri@nifty.com

Valeriu POPA  
"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău  
Faculty of Sciences  
Department of Mathematics and Informatics  
Calea Mărășești 157, Bacău 600115, ROMANIA  
e-mail:vpopa@ub.ro