

”Vasile Alecsandri” University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Scientific Studies and Research
Series Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 19 (2009), No. 1, 165 - 174

STATIONARY POINTS FOR MULTIFUNCTIONS ON THREE METRIC SPACES

VALERIU POPA

Abstract. In this paper we prove a general unique fixed point theorem for multifunctions on three metric spaces which generalize the main results from [3] and [4].

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $B(X)$ be the set of all nonempty bounded subsets of X .

As in [1] we define the function $\delta(A, B)$ with A and B in $B(X)$ by $\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

If A consists of a single point a we write $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, B)$. If B also consists of a single point b , then $\delta(A, B) = d(a, b)$. It follows immediately that $\delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A) \geq 0$ and $\delta(A, B) \leq \delta(A, C) + \delta(C, B)$ for all sets A, B, C in $B(X)$.

If $\delta(A, B) = 0$, then $A = B = \{a\}$.

Now if $\{A_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is a sequence in $B(X)$, we say that it converges to the set A in $B(X)$ if:

(i) each point $a \in A$ is limit of some convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$, where $a_n \in A_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$;

Keywords and phrases: multifunction, implicit relation, complete metric space, fixed point.

(2000) Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25.

(ii) for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that $A_n \subset A_\varepsilon$ for all $n > N$, where A_ε is the union of all open spheres with centers in A of radius ε .

The following Lemma was proved in [1].

Lemma. *If $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are sequences of bounded subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) , which converge to the bounded subsets A and B , respectively, then the sequence $\{\delta(A_n, B_n)\}$ converges to $\delta(A, B)$.*

If T is a multifunction of X into $B(X)$, a point $z \in X$ is called a *stationary point* of T if $Tz = \{z\}$.

Recently, Jain and Fisher [2] initiated the study of fixed points for multifunctions in three metric spaces. The present author [4] proved a general fixed point theorem for functions on three metric space satisfying implicit relations.

The following theorem is proved in [3].

Theorem 1 [3]. *Let $(X, d_1), (Y, d_2)$ and (Z, d_3) be complete metric spaces and suppose F is a mapping of X into $B(Y)$, G is a mapping of Y into $B(Z)$ and H is a mapping of Z into $B(X)$ satisfying the following inequalities:*

$$\delta_1^2(HGy, HGFx) \leq c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d_1(x, HGy)d_2(y, Fx), \delta_2(y, Fx), \delta_1(x, HGFx), \\ \delta_1(x, HGFx)\delta_3(Gy, GFx), \delta_3(Gy, GFx)d_1(x, HGy) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\delta_2^2(FHz, FHGy) \leq c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d_2(y, FHz)\delta_3(z, Gy), \delta_3(z, Gy)\delta_2(y, FHGy), \\ \delta_2(y, FHGy)\delta_1(Hz, HGy), \delta_1(Hz, HGy)d_2(y, FHz) \end{array} \right\},$$

and

$$\delta_3^2(GFx, GFHz) \leq c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d_3(z, GFx)\delta_1(x, Hz), \delta_1(x, Hz)\delta_3(z, GFHz), \\ \delta_3(z, GFHz)\delta_2(Fx, FHz), \delta_2(Fx, FHz)d_3(z, GFx) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all x in X , y in Y and z in Z , where $0 \leq c < 1$.

If at least one of the mappings F, G, H is continuous, then HGF has a unique fixed point u in X , FHG has a unique fixed point v in Y and GFH has a unique fixed point w in Z . Further, $Fu = \{v\}$, $Gv = \{w\}$ and $Hw = \{u\}$.

In [4], it is denoted by \mathcal{F}_5 the set of all continuous functions $F : R_+^5 \rightarrow R$ such that there exists $h \in [0, 1)$ having the following property: for every $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$ with

$$(a) F(u, v, 0, u, w) \leq 0 \text{ or } (b) F(u, v, u, 0, w) \leq 0,$$

we have $u \leq h \max\{v, w\}$.

Example 1 [4]. $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1 - c \max\{t_2, \dots, t_5\}$, where $c \in [0, 1)$.

Example 2 [4]. $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1^2 - c \max\{t_3t_2, t_2t_4, t_4t_5, t_5t_3\}$, where $c \in [0, 1)$.

Example 3 [4]. $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = t_1^3 + t_1^2 - (at_1t_2 + bt_1t_3 + ct_1t_4 + dt_5^2)$, where $0 \leq a + b + c + d < 1$.

The following theorem is proved in [4].

Theorem 2 [4]. *Let (X, d) , (Y, ρ) and (Z, σ) be complete metric spaces. Assume that T is a mapping of X into Y , S is a mapping of Y into Z and R is a mapping of Z into X , satisfying the inequalities $F(d(RSy, RSTx), \rho(y, Tx), d(x, RSTx), d(x, RSy), \sigma(Sy, STx)) \leq 0$, $F(\rho(TRz, TRSy), \sigma(z, Sy), \rho(y, TRSy), \rho(y, TRz), d(Rz, RSy)) \leq 0$, and*

$$F(\sigma(STx, STRz), d(x, Rz), \sigma(z, STRz), \sigma(z, STx), \rho(Tx, TRz)) \leq 0$$

for all x in X , y in Y , z in Z , where $F \in \mathcal{F}_5$.

If at least one of the mappings R, S, T is continuous, then RST has a unique fixed point u in X , TRS has a unique fixed point v in Y and STR has a unique fixed point w in Z . Further, $Tu = v$, $Sv = w$ and $Rw = u$.

In this paper we prove a generalization of Theorem 1 which extends Theorem 2 to multivalued mappings.

2. Main result

Theorem 3. *Let (X, d_1) , (Y, d_2) and (Z, d_3) be complete metric spaces and suppose that F is a mapping of X into $B(Y)$, G is a mapping of Y into $B(Z)$, and H is a mapping of Z into $B(X)$ satisfying the inequalities*

$$(1) \Phi(\delta_1(HGy, HGFx), \delta_2(y, Fx), d_1(x, HGy), \delta_1(x, HGFx), \delta_3(Gy, GFx)) \leq 0,$$

$$(2) \Phi(\delta_2(FHz, FHGy), \delta_3(z, Gy), d_2(y, FHz), \delta_2(y, FHGy), \delta_1(Hz, HGy)) \leq 0,$$

$$(3) \Phi(\delta_3(GFx, GFHz), \delta_1(x, Hz), d_3(z, GFx), \delta_3(z, GFHz), \delta_2(Fx, FHx)) \leq 0,$$

for all x in X , y in Y and z in Z where $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_5$ and is nonincreasing in each of the variables t_2, \dots, t_5 .

If at least one of the mappings F, G, H is continuous, then HGF has a stationary point u in X , FHG has a stationary point v in Y and GFH has a stationary point w in Z . Further, $Fu = \{v\}$, $Gv = \{w\}$ and $Hw = \{u\}$. If in addition

(c) Φ is increasing in variable t_1 ,

then u is the unique fixed point of HGF , v is the unique fixed point of FHG and w is the unique fixed point of GFH .

Proof. Let $x = x_1$ be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X , $\{y_n\}$ in Y and $\{z_n\}$ in Z , inductively, as follows. Choose a point y_1 in Fx_1 and a point z_1 in Gy_1 . In general, having chosen x_n in X , y_n in Y and z_n in M , choose $x_{n+1} \in Hz_n$, $y_{n+1} \in Fx_{n+1}$, $z_{n+1} \in Gy_{n+1}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Applying the inequality (1) for $y = y_n$ and $x = x_{n+1}$ we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFx_{n+1}), \delta_2(y_n, Fx_{n+1}), d_1(x_{n+1}, HGy_n), \\ \delta_1(x_{n+1}, HGFx_{n+1}), \delta_3(Gy_n, GFx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFx_{n+1}), \delta_2(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}), 0, \\ \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFx_{n+1}), \delta_3(GFx_n, GFx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

which implies by (a)

$$\delta_1(HGy_n, HGFx_{n+1}) \leq h \max\{\delta_2(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}), \delta_3(GFx_n, GFx_{n+1})\}.$$

Since $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \delta_1(HGy_n, HGy_{n+1}) \leq \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFx_{n+1})$, we obtain

$$(4) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq h \max\{\delta_2(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}), \delta_3(GFx_n, GFx_{n+1})\}$$

But, from (2) we have successively for $z = z_{n-1}$ and $y = y_n$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n), \delta_3(z_{n-1}, Gy_n), d_2(y_n, FH z_{n-1}), \\ \delta_2(y_n, FHGy_n), \delta_1(Hz_{n-1}, HGy_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n), \delta_3(Gy_{n-1}, Gy_n), 0, \\ \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FGHy_n), \delta_1(HGy_{n-1}, HGy_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

which implies by (a) that

$$\delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n) \leq h \max\{\delta_3(Gy_{n-1}, Gy_n), \delta_2(HGy_{n-1}, HGy_n)\}.$$

Since $\delta_2(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}) \leq \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n)$ we have

$$(5) \quad \delta_2(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}) \leq h \max\{\delta_3(Gy_{n-1}, Gy_n), \delta_1(HGy_{n-1}, HGy_n)\}.$$

Similarly, from (3) we have successively for $x = x_n$ and $z = z_n$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_3(GFx_n, GFHz_n), \delta_1(x_n, Hz_n), d_3(z_n, GFx_n), \\ \delta_3(z_n, GFHz_n), \delta_2(Fx_n, FH z_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_3(GFx_n, GFHz_n), \delta_1(Hz_{n-1}, HGy_n), 0, \\ \delta_3(GFx_n, GFz_n), \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

which implies by (a) that

$$\delta_3(GFx_n, GFHz_n) \leq h \max\{\delta_1(Hz_{n-1}, HGy_n), \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n)\}.$$

Since $\delta_3(GFx_n, GFx_{n+1}) \leq \delta_3(GFx_n, GFHz_n)$, we have

$$(6) \quad \delta_3(GFx_n, GFx_{n+1}) \leq h \max\{\delta_1(Hz_{n-1}, HGy_n), \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n)\}.$$

On the other hand, by (2) for $z = z_{n-1}$ and $y = y_n$ we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n), \delta_3(z_{n-1}, Gy_n), d_2(y_n, FH z_{n-1}), \\ \delta_2(y_n, FGHy_n), \delta_1(Hz_{n-1}, HGy_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{l} \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n), \delta_3(Gy_{n-1}, Gy_n), 0, \\ \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FHGy_n), \delta_1(HGy_{n-1}, HGy_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0$$

which implies by (a) that

$$(7) \quad \delta_2(FHz_{n-1}, FGy_n) \leq h \max\{\delta_3(Gy_{n-1}, Gy_n), \delta_1(HGy_{n-1}, HGy_n)\}$$

Then by (4),(5),(6) and (7) it follows that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} d_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &\leq \delta_1(HGy_n, HGy_{n+1}) \\ &\leq h^2 \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_{n-1}, HGFx_n), \delta_3(GFH z_{n-2}, GFH z_{n-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(9) \quad d_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq h^2 \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_{n-1}, HGFx_n), \delta_3(GFH z_{n-2}, GFH z_{n-1})\}$$

and

$$(10) \quad d_3(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) \leq h^2 \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_{n-1}, HGFx_n), \delta_3(GFH z_{n-2}, GFH z_{n-1})\}.$$

It now follows easily by induction on using (8), (9) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &\leq \\ h^{2(n-2)} \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_2, HGFx_3), \delta_3(GFH z_1, GFH z_2)\}, \\ d_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) &\leq \\ h^{2(n-2)} \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_2, HGFx_3), \delta_3(GFH z_1, GFH z_2)\}, \\ d_3(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) &\leq \\ h^{2(n-2)} \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_2, HGFx_3), \delta_3(GFH z_1, GFH z_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, we have by (8)

$$(11) \quad d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+r+1}) \leq \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFx_{n+r})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \delta_1(HGy_n, HGy_{n+1}) + \delta_1(HGy_{n+1}, HGy_{n+2}) + \dots + \delta_1(HGy_{n+r-1}, HGFx_{n+r}) \\ &\leq (h^{2(n-2)} + h^{2(n-1)} + \dots + h^{2(n+r-3)}) \max\{\delta_1(HGFx_2, HGFx_3), \delta_3(GFH z_1, GFH z_2)\} \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for n greater than some N , since $0 \leq h < 1$. Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space (X, d_1) and so has a limit u in X . Similarly, the sequences $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are also Cauchy sequences with limits v and w in complete metric spaces (Y, d_2) and (Z, d_3) , respectively. Further, the inequality (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(u, HGFx_n) &\leq d_1(u, x_{m+1}) + \delta_1(x_{m+1}, HGFx_n) \leq \\ d_1(u, x_{m+1}) + \delta_1(HGFx_m, HGFx_n) &< d_1(u, x_{m+1}) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $m, n > N$. Letting m tend to infinity in the above inequality, it follows that $\delta_1(u, GFx_n) \leq \varepsilon$ for $n > N$ and therefore

$$(12) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} HGFx_n = \{u\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} HGy_n,$$

since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. Similarly,

$$(13) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FHGy_n = \{v\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} FHx_n$$

and

$$(14) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GFHz_n = \{w\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GFx_n.$$

Suppose that F is continuous. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = Fu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = v$. Hence, $Fu = \{v\}$.

By (3) for $x = u$ and $z = z_n$ we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_3(GFu, GFHz_n), \delta_1(u, Hz_n), d_3(z_n, GFu), \\ \delta_3(z_n, GFHz_n), \delta_2(Fu, FHx_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_3(Gv, GFHz_n), \delta_1(u, HGy_n), \delta_3(z_n, Gv), \\ \delta_3(z_n, GFHz_n), \delta_2(v, FHx_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Letting n tend to infinity we obtain $\Phi(\delta_3(Gv, w), 0, \delta_3(Gv, w), 0, 0) \leq 0$.

By (b) it follows that $\delta_3(Gv, w) = 0$, which implies $Gv = \{w\}$.

By (1) for $y = v$ and $x = x_n$ we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(HGv, HGFx_n), \delta_2(v, Fx_n), d_1(x_n, HGv), \\ \delta_1(x_n, HGFx_n), \delta_3(Gv, GFx_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(Hw, HGFx_n), \delta_2(v, Fx_n), \delta_1(x_n, Hw), \\ \delta_1(x_n, HGFx_n), \delta_3(Gv, GFx_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Letting n tend to infinity we have $\Phi(\delta_1(Hw, u), 0, \delta_1(u, Hw), 0, 0) \leq 0$, which implies by (b) that $\{u\} = Hw$.

By (1) for $y = y_n$ and $x = u$ we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFu), \delta_2(y_n, Fu), \delta_1(u, HGy_n), \\ \delta_1(u, HGFu), \delta_3(Gy_n, GFu) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(HGy_n, HGFu), \delta_2(y_n, v), \delta_1(u, HGy_n), \\ \delta_1(u, HGFu), \delta_3(GFx_n, Gv) \end{array} \right) \leq 0$$

Letting n tend to infinity we have

$$\Phi(\delta_1(u, HGFu), 0, 0, \delta_1(u, HGFu), 0) \leq 0,$$

which implies by (a) that $HGFu = \{u\}$.

Similarly, by (2) for $z = z_n$ and $y = v$ we obtain $\{v\} = FHGv$.

By (3) for $x = x_n$ and $z = w$ we obtain that $GFHw = \{w\}$.

To prove uniqueness, we will suppose that HGF has a second fixed point u' so that $u' \in HGFu'$. Then there exist $v' \in Fu$ and $u' \in Hw'$. Using inequality (2), we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_2(FHw', FHGv'), \delta_3(w', Gv'), d_2(v', FHw'), \\ \delta_2(v', FHGv'), \delta_1(Hw', HGv') \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_2(FHw', FHGv'), \delta_3(GFu', GFu'), 0, \\ \delta_2(FHw', FHGv'), \delta_1(HGv', HGv') \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

which implies by (a) that

$$\delta_2(FHw', FHGv') \leq h \max\{\delta_3(GFu', GFu'), \delta_1(HGv', HGv')\}.$$

Since $\delta_2(FHw', FHw') \leq \delta_3(FHw', FHGv')$ it follows that

$$(15) \quad \delta_2(FHw', FHw') \leq h \max\{\delta_3(GFu', GFu'), \delta_1(HGv', HGv')\}.$$

Similarly, applying (3), we get

$$(16) \quad \delta_3(GFu', GFu') \leq h \max\{\delta_1(HGv', HGv'), \delta_2(FHw', FHw')\}$$

and using (1), we have

$$(17) \quad \delta_1(HGv', HGv') \leq h \max\{\delta_2(FHw', FHw'), \delta_3(GFu', GFu')\}.$$

From (15) and (16) we obtain

$$(18) \quad \delta_2(FHw', FHw') \leq h \delta_1(HGv', HGv').$$

Similarly, (16) and (17) give

$$(19) \quad \delta_3(GFu', GFu') \leq h \delta_2(FHw', FHw')$$

and (15) and (17) yield

$$(20) \quad \delta_1(HGv', HGv') \leq h \delta_3(GFu', GFu').$$

Now, it follows from (20), (19) and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(HGv', HGv') &\leq h \delta_3(GFu', GFu') \leq \\ &h^2 \delta_2(FHw', FHw') \delta_1(HGv', HGv'), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\delta_1(HGv', HGv') = 0$.

Similarly, $\delta_2(FHw', FHw') = 0$ and $\delta_3(GFu', GFu') = 0$.

Since $\delta_1(u', Hw') \leq \delta_1(HGv', HGv')$, $\delta_2(v', Fu') \leq \delta_2(FHw', FHw')$ and $\delta_3(w', Gv') \leq \delta_3(GFu', GFu')$, it follows that $Fu' = \{v'\}$, $Gv' = \{w'\}$ and $Hw' = \{u'\}$. Further, $HGFu' = HGv' = Hw' = \{u'\}$, $FHGv' = FHw' = Fu' = \{v'\}$, $GFHw' = GFu' = Gv' = \{w'\}$.

Using (1) and (c) we have successively

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta_1(HGv, HGFu'), \delta_2(v, Fu'), d_1(u', HGv), \\ \delta_1(u', HGFu'), \delta_3(Gv, GFu') \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi(d_1(u, u'), d_2(v, v'), d_1(u, u'), 0, d_3(w, w') \leq 0,$$

which implies by (b) that

$$(21) \quad d_1(u, u') \leq h \max\{d_2(v, v'), d_3(w, w')\}.$$

Similarly, applying (2), we have

$$(22) \quad d_2(v, v') \leq h \max\{d_3(w, w'), d_1(u, u')\}$$

and using (3), we obtain

$$(23) \quad d_3(w, w') \leq h \max\{d_1(u, u'), d_2(v, v')\}.$$

Now (21) and (22) yield

$$(24) \quad d_1(u, u') \leq h d_3(w, w').$$

Similarly, (22) and (23) imply

$$(25) \quad d_2(v, v') \leq h d_1(u, u'),$$

while (21) and (23) imply

$$(26) \quad d_3(w, w') \leq h d_2(v, v').$$

From (24), (25) and (26) it follows that

$$d_1(u, u') \leq h^3 d_1(u, u'),$$

hence $d_1(u, u') = 0$, i.e. $u = u'$.

Similarly $d_2(v, v') = 0$, i.e. $v = v'$ and $d_3(w, w') = 0$, i.e. $w = w'$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] B.Fisher, **Common fixed point of mappings and set valued mappings**, Rostok Math.Kolloq, 8(1981), 68-77.
- [2] S.Jain and B.Fisher, **A related fixed point theorem for three metric spaces**, Hacettepe J.Math. Stat. 31(2002), 19-24.
- [3] R.K.Jain and S.Jain, **A related fixed point theorem for set valued mappings on three metric spaces**, to appear.
- [4] V.Popa, **Two general fixed point theorems on three complete metric spaces**, Novi Sad J.Math., 30(2000),43-50.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
Faculty of Sciences,
"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău,
Spiru Haret 8, 600114 Bacău, ROMANIA
vpopa@ub.ro