

"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Scientific Studies and Research
Series Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 19 (2009), No. 2, 403 - 414

SOME GENERAL FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR
OCCASIONALLY WEAKLY COMPATIBLE D -
MAPPINGS

VALERIU POPA

Abstract. In this paper some general fixed point theorems for owc D -mappings satisfying an implicit relation are proved by generalizing some results in [1], [4] and [6].

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $B(X)$ the set of all nonempty bounded sets of X . As in [7] and [8] we define the functions $\delta(A, B)$ and $D(A, B)$, where $A, B \in B(X)$, by

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

$$D(A, B) = \inf \{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

If A consists of a single point a , we write $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, B)$. If B consists also of a single point b , we write $\delta(A, B) = d(a, b)$.

It follows immediately from the definition of δ that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A) &\geq 0, \forall A, B \in B(X), \\ \delta(A, B) &\leq \delta(A, C) + \delta(C, B), \forall A, B, C \in B(X). \end{aligned}$$

Keywords and phrases: δ -compatible and weakly compatible mappings, D -mappings, occasionally weakly compatible mappings, coincidence points, fixed points

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10

Definition 1.1. A sequence $\{A_n\}$ of nonempty subsets of X is said to be convergent to a set A of X ([7], [8]) if:

- (i) each point $a \in A$ is the limit of a convergent sequence a_n , where $a_n \in A_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (ii) for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that $A_n \subset A_\varepsilon$ for $n > m$, where A_ε denotes the set of all points $x \in X$ for which there exists a point $a \in A$, depending on x , such that $d(x, a) < \varepsilon$.

A is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{A_n\}$.

Lemma 1.1. (Fisher ([7])) If $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are sequences in $B(X)$ converging to A and B respectively, then the sequence $\{\delta(A_n, B_n)\}$ converges to $\delta(A, B)$.

Lemma 1.2. (Fisher and Sessa [8]) Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence in $B(X)$ and $y \in X$ such that $\delta(A_n, y) \rightarrow 0$, then the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges to $\{y\}$ in $B(X)$.

Let A and S be self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) . Jungck [9] defined A and S to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

A point $x \in X$ is a coincidence point of A and S if $Ax = Sx$.

We denote by $C(A, S)$ the set of all coincidence points of A and S . In [14], Pant defined A and S to be pointwise R -weakly commuting mappings if for all $x \in X$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $d(ASx, SAx) \leq Rd(Ax, Sx)$. It has been proved in [15] that pointwise R -weakly commuting is equivalent to commuting at coincidence points.

Definition 1.2. A and S are said to be weakly compatible [10] if $ASu = SAu$ for $u \in C(A, S)$.

Definition 1.3. A and S are said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) [3] if $ASu = SAu$ for some $u \in C(A, S)$.

Remark 1.1. If A and S are weakly compatible and $C(A, S) \neq \emptyset$, then A and S are owc, but the converse is not true (Example, [3]).

Some fixed point theorems for owc mappings have been proved in [13] and other papers.

Definition 1.4. Let $f : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \longrightarrow B(X)$. Then

- (1) a point $x \in X$ is said to be a coincidence point of f and F if $fx \in Fx$. We denote by $C(f, F)$ the set of all coincidence points of f and F .
- (2) a point $x \in X$ is said to be a strict coincidence point of f and F if $\{fx\} = Fx$.
- (3) a point $x \in X$ is a fixed point of F if $x \in Fx$.
- (4) a point $x \in X$ is a strict fixed point of F if $\{x\} = Fx$.

Definition 1.5. The mappings $f : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ are said to be δ -compatible [11] if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(fFx_n, Ffx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $fFx_n \in B(X)$, $fx_n \rightarrow t$, $Fx_n \rightarrow \{t\}$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 1.6. The hybrid pair (f, F) , $f : X \longrightarrow X$, and $F : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ is weakly compatible [12] if for all $x \in C(f, F)$, $fFx = Ffx$.

If the pair (f, F) is δ -compatible, then it is weakly compatible, but the converse is not true in general [12].

Definition 1.7. Let S and T be two single-valued self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) . We say that S and T satisfy property (E.A) [1] if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Remark 1.2. It is clear that two self-mappings S and T of a metric space (X, d) will be noncompatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that either $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n)$ is either nonzero or does not exist. Therefore, two noncompatible self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) satisfy property (E.A).

Recently, Djoudi and Khemis [6] have introduced a generalization of a pair of mappings satisfying property (E.A), named D -mappings.

Definition 1.8. The mappings $f : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ are said to be D -mappings [6] if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = t$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = \{t\}$ for some $t \in X$.

Obviously, two mappings which are not δ -compatible are D -mappings.

Definition 1.9. The hybrid pair $f : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ is owc [2] if there exists $x \in C(f, F)$ such that $fFx = Ffx$.

Remark 1.3. If the pair (f, F) is weakly compatible and $C(f, F) \neq \emptyset$ then the pair (f, F) is owc. owc pairs which are not weakly compatible do exist (Example 1.13 [2]).

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (φ_1) φ is continuous,
- (φ_2) φ is nondecreasing on \mathbb{R}_+ ,
- (φ_3) $0 < \varphi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$.

The following theorem has been proved in [1].

Theorem 1.1. Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) such that

- (1.1) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (1.2) $d(Ax, By) \leq \varphi(\max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, By), d(Ty, By)\})$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (1.3) (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible
- (1.4) (A, S) or (B, T) satisfy property (E.A).

If one of $A(X), B(X), S(X), T(X)$ is a closed set of X , then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

For D -mappings the following theorems have been recently proved:

Theorem 1.2. [6] Let $I, J : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F, G : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ such that

- (1.5) $G(X) \subset I(X)$ and $F(X) \subset J(X)$,
- (1.6) $\delta(Fx, Gy) < \max\{cd(Ix, Jy), c\delta(Ix, Fx), c\delta(Jy, Gy), aD(Ix, Gy) + bD(Jy, Fx)\}$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 \leq c < 1, 0 \leq a + b < 1$, hold whenever the right hand side of (1.6) is positive.

If the pairs of mappings $\{F, I\}$ and $\{G, J\}$ are weakly compatible and D -mappings and either $F(X)$ or $G(X)$ (or $I(X)$ or $J(X)$, respectively) is closed, then F, G, I and J have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 1.3. [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space. and $I, J : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F, G : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1.7) $F(X) \subset J(X)$ and $G(X) \subset I(X)$,

$$(1.8) \quad \delta(Fx, Gy) < \alpha \max \{d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, Fx), \delta(Jy, Gy)\} + (1 - \alpha)[aD(Ix, Gy) + bD(Jy, Fx)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a + b < 1$, whenever the right hand side of (1.8) is positive.

If either

(1.9) F and I are weakly compatible D -mappings, G and J are weakly compatible and $F(X)$ or $I(X)$ is closed, or

(1.9') G and J are weakly compatible D -mappings, F and I are weakly compatible and $G(X)$ or $J(X)$ is closed, then there is a unique common fixed point t in X such that $Ft = Gt = \{t\} = \{It\} = \{Jt\}$.

In [16] and [17], the study of fixed points for mappings satisfying implicit relations was introduced and the study of hybrid pairs of mappings satisfying implicit relations was initiated in [18].

In this paper some general fixed point theorems for owc D -mappings satisfying an implicit relation are proved which generalize the results in Theorems 1.1-1.3.

2. Implicit relations

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{F}_D be the set of all real continuous functions $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) : \mathbb{R}_+^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (ϕ_1) ϕ is nonincreasing in variables t_5 and t_6 ,
- (ϕ_2) $\phi(t, 0, 0, t, t, 0) \leq 0$ or $\phi(t, 0, t, 0, 0, t) \leq 0$ implies $t = 0$,
- (ϕ_3) $\phi(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) \geq 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example 2.1. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \alpha \max \{t_2, t_3, t_4\} - (1 - \alpha)[at_5 + bt_6]$, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a + b < 1$.

- (ϕ_1): Obviously.
- (ϕ_2): $\phi(t, 0, 0, t, t, 0) = (1 - \alpha)(1 - a)t \leq 0$ implies $t = 0$,
 $\phi(t, 0, t, 0, 0, t) = (1 - \alpha)(1 - b)t \leq 0$ implies $t = 0$.
- (ϕ_3): $\phi(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) = t(1 - \alpha)[1 - (a + b)] \geq 0, \forall t > 0$.

Example 2.2. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max \{ct_2, ct_3, ct_4, at_5 + bt_6\}$, where $0 \leq c < 1, a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a + b < 1$.

- (ϕ_1): Obviously.
- (ϕ_2): $\phi(t, 0, 0, t, t, 0) = t(1 - \max \{a, c\}) \leq 0$ implies $t = 0$,
 $\phi(t, 0, t, 0, 0, t) = t(1 - \max \{b, c\}) \leq 0$ implies $t = 0$.

$(\phi_3) : \phi(t, t, 0, 0, t, t) = t(1 - \max\{c, a + b\}) \geq 0, \forall t > 0.$

Example 2.3. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - b(t_3 + t_4) - c(t_5 + t_6)$, where $a \geq 0, b \geq 0, c \geq 0, b + c < 1$ and $a + 2c < 1$.

Example 2.4. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - at_2 - b(t_3 + t_4) - c \min\{t_5, t_6\}$, where $a, b, c \geq 0, b < 1, a + c < 1$.

Example 2.5. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\left\{t_2, \frac{t_3+t_4}{2}, \frac{k(t_5+t_6)}{2}\right\}$, where $0 \leq k < 1$.

Example 2.6. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\left\{k_1 t_2, \frac{k_2(t_3+t_4)}{2}, \frac{t_5+t_6}{2}\right\}$, where $0 \leq k_1 < 1, 1 \leq k_2 < 2$.

Example 2.7. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \max\left\{k_1(t_2 + t_3 + t_4), \frac{k_2(t_5+t_6)}{2}\right\}$ where $0 \leq k_1 < 1, 0 \leq k_2 < 1$.

Example 2.8. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - h \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6\}$, where $0 \leq h < 1$.

Example 2.9. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1^2 - at_2^2 - t_3 t_4 - bt_5^2 - ct_6^2$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ and $a + b + c < 1$.

Example 2.10. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1^3 - k(t_2^3 + t_3^3 + t_4^3 + t_5^3 + t_6^3)$, where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{3}$.

Example 2.11. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1^3 - at_1^2 t_2 - bt_1 t_3 t_4 - ct_5^2 t_6 - dt_5 t_6^2$, where $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and $a + c + d < 1$.

Example 2.12. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1^3 - \frac{t_3^2 t_4 + t_5^2 t_6}{1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4}$.

Example 2.13. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, \frac{1}{2}t_6\})$.

Example 2.14. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi(\max\{t_2, \frac{t_3+t_4}{2}, \frac{t_5+t_6}{2}\})$.

Example 2.15. $\phi(t_1, \dots, t_6) = t_1 - \varphi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \frac{k(t_5+t_6)}{2}\})$, where $0 \leq k < 1$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $I : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be two self-mappings. If I and F have a unique point of strict coincidence $\{z\} = \{Ix\} = Fx$, then z is the unique common fixed point of I and F which is a strict fixed point of F .*

Proof. Since I and F are owc, there exists $x \in X$ such that $\{z\} = \{Ix\} = Fx$ implies $IFx = FIx$. Then $\{Iz\} = \{IIx\} = IFx = FIx = Fz = \{u\}$, hence u is a point of strict coincidence of I and F . By hypothesis $u = z$.

Hence $\{z\} = \{Iz\} = Fz$ and z is a common fixed point of I and F which is a strict fixed point of F . Suppose that $v \neq z$ is another common fixed point of I and F . which is a strict fixed point of F . Hence $\{v\} = \{Iv\} = Fv$.

Therefore v is a point of strict coincidence of I and F and by hypothesis $v = z$. ■

Theorem 3.2. *Let $I, J : (X, d) \longrightarrow (X, d)$ and $F, G : (X, d) \longrightarrow B(X)$ such that the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \phi(\delta(Fx, Gy), d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, Fx), \delta(Jy, Gy), D(Ix, Gy), D(Jy, Fx)) < 0$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$ and ϕ satisfying property (ϕ_3) .

Suppose that there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $\{u\} = \{Ix\} = Fx$ and $\{v\} = \{Jy\} = Gy$. Then u is the unique point of strict coincidence of I and F and v is the unique point of strict coincidence of J and G .

Proof. First we prove that $Ix = Jy$. Suppose $Ix \neq Jy$. Then by (3.1) we obtain

$$\phi(d(Ix, Jy), d(Ix, Jy), 0, 0, d(Ix, Jy), d(Ix, Jy)) < 0,$$

a contradiction of (ϕ_3) , hence $Ix = Jy$. Thus $\{u\} = \{Ix\} = Fx = Gy = \{Jy\}$. Suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{w\} = \{Iz\} = Fz$ with $w \neq u$. Then by (3.1) we have

$$\phi(d(Iz, Jy), d(Iz, Jy), 0, 0, d(Iz, Jy), d(Iz, Jy)) < 0,$$

$$\phi(d(u, w), d(u, w), 0, 0, d(u, w), d(u, w)) < 0,$$

a contradiction of (ϕ_3) , hence $\{w\} = \{Iz\} = \{Jy\} = Gy = Fx = \{Ix\} = \{u\}$. Hence $u = w$ and u is the unique point of strict coincidence of I and F . Similarly, v is the unique point of strict coincidence of J and G . ■

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $I, J : X \longrightarrow X$ and $F, G : X \longrightarrow B(X)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

The inequality (3.1) holds for all $x, y \in X$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_D$.

$$(3.2) \quad F(X) \subset J(X) \text{ and } G(X) \subset I(X)$$

If the pair (F, I) or (G, J) is a D -mapping and $F(X)$ or $G(X)$ (or $J(X)$ or $I(X)$), respectively is a closed set of X then

$$(3.3) \quad F \text{ and } I \text{ have a strict point of coincidence,}$$

$$(3.4) \quad G \text{ and } J \text{ have a strict point of coincidence.}$$

Moreover, if the pairs (I, F) and (J, G) are owc, then I, J, F and G have a unique common fixed point which is a strict fixed point of F and G .

Proof. Since the pair (F, I) is a D -mapping, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ix_n = t$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = \{t\}$ for some $t \in X$. Since $F(X)$ is closed, there exists $u \in X$ such that $t = Ju$. By (3.1) we have

$$\phi \left(\begin{array}{l} \delta(Fx_n, Gu), d(Ix_n, Ju), \delta(Ix_n, Fx_n), \\ \delta(Ju, Gu), D(Ix_n, Gu), D(Ju, Fx_n) \end{array} \right) < 0.$$

Letting n tend to infinity we obtain

$$\phi(\delta(Ju, Gu), 0, 0, \delta(Ju, Gu), \delta(Ju, Gu), 0) \leq 0.$$

By (ϕ_2) it follows that $\delta(Ju, Gu) = 0$, i.e. $\{Ju\} = Gu$ and u is a strict coincidence point of J and G .

Since $G(X) \subset I(X)$, there is a point $v \in X$ such that $\{Iv\} = Gu$. Then, by (3.1) we have successively

$$\phi \left(\begin{array}{l} \delta(Fv, Gu), d(Iv, Ju), \delta(Iv, Fv), \\ \delta(Ju, Gu), D(Iv, Gu), D(Ju, Fv) \end{array} \right) < 0,$$

$$\phi(\delta(Fv, Gu), 0, \delta(Gu, Fv), 0, 0, \delta(Fv, Gu)) < 0.$$

By (ϕ_2) it follows that $\delta(Fv, Gu) = 0$, i.e. $Fv = Gu$. Hence

$$(3.5) \quad \{t\} = \{Ju\} = Gu = Fv = \{Iv\}.$$

Hence v is a strict coincidence point of I and F . By Theorem 3.2, z is the unique point of coincidence of I and F , and also z is the

unique point of strict coincidence of J and G . By Theorem 3.1, z is the unique common fixed point of I and F which is a strict fixed point for F . Similarly z is the unique common fixed point of J and G which is a strict fixed point of G . Hence, z is the unique common fixed point of I, J, F and G which is a strict fixed point for F and G . ■

By Theorem 3.3 and Example 2.2 we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.2:

Corollary 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $I, J : X \rightarrow X$, $F, G : X \rightarrow B(X)$ satisfying the conditions (1.5) and (1.6). If the pairs (F, I) or (G, J) are D -mappings and $F(X)$ or $G(X)$ (or $J(X)$ or $I(X)$), respectively is a closed set of X , then*

- (1) F and I have a strict coincidence point
- (2) G and J have a strict coincidence point.

Moreover, if the pairs (I, F) and (J, G) are owc, then I, J, F and G have a unique common fixed point which is a strict fixed point for F and G .

By Theorem 3.3 and Example 2.1 we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.3:

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $I, J : X \rightarrow X$, $F, G : X \rightarrow B(X)$ satisfying the conditions (1.7) and (1.8). If the pairs (F, I) or (G, J) are D -mappings and $F(X)$ or $G(X)$ (or $J(X)$ or $I(X)$), respectively is a closed set of X , then*

- (1) F and I have a strict coincidence point
- (2) G and J have a strict coincidence point.

Moreover, if the pairs (F, I) and (G, J) are owc, then I, J, F and G have a unique common fixed point which is a strict fixed point for F and G .

Also, for single-valued functions we obtain by Theorem 3.3 the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) such that*

- (1) $A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$,
- (2)

$$\phi \left(\begin{array}{l} d(Ax, By), d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, Ax), \\ d(Ty, By), d(Sx, By), d(Ty, Ax) \end{array} \right) < 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_D$.

If the pairs (A, S) and (G, T) satisfy property (E.A) and if one of $A(X)$, $B(X)$, $S(X)$, $T(X)$ is a closed subset of X , then

- (3) A and S have a coincidence point
- (4) B and T have a coincidence point.

Moreover, if (A, S) and (B, T) are ovc then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Remark 3.1. By Theorem 3.4 and Example 2.13 we obtain a generalization of Theorem 1.1 because by (1.2) we obtain

$$d(A, B) \leq \varphi \left(\max \left\{ d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(Ty, By), d(Sx, By), \frac{1}{2}d(Ty, Ax) \right\} \right).$$

Let $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ and $F : (X, d) \rightarrow B(X)$. We denote $Fix(f) = \{x \in X : x = fx\}$ and $SFix(F) = \{x \in X : \{x\} = Fx\}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $I, J : X \rightarrow X$ and $F, G : X \rightarrow B(X)$ such that the inequality (3.1) holds for all $x, y \in X$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_D$. Then

$$[Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(F) = [Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(G).$$

Proof. Let $u \in [Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(F)$. Then $\{u\} = \{Iu\} = \{Ju\} = Fu$. Then by (3.1) we obtain

$$\phi(\delta(u, Gu), 0, 0, \delta(u, Gu), \delta(u, Gu), 0) < 0$$

which implies by (ϕ_2) that $\delta(u, Gu) = 0$, i.e. $\{u\} = Gu$, hence $u \in SFix(G)$ and $[Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(F) \subset [Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(G)$. Similarly, $[Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(G) \subset [Fix(I) \cap Fix(J)] \cap SFix(F)$.

Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 imply the next one. ■

Theorem 3.6. Let I, J be self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) and $F_n : X \rightarrow B(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ a sequence of set valued mappings such that

- (1) $F_2(X) \subset I(X)$ and $F_1(X) \subset J(X)$.
- (2) either $F_2(X)$ or $F_1(X)$ ($I(X)$ or $J(X)$, respectively) is a closed subset of X .

(3) *The inequality*

$$\phi \left(\begin{array}{l} \delta(F_n x, F_{n+1} y), d(Ix, Jy), \delta(Ix, F_n x), \\ \delta(Jy, F_{n+1} y), D(Ix, F_{n+1} y), D(Jy, F_n x) \end{array} \right) < 0$$

holds for all $x, y \in X, \phi \in \mathcal{F}_D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^$.*

(4) (F_1, I) is a D -mapping and (F_1, I) and (F_2, J) are owc, or (F_2, J) is a D -mapping and (F_1, I) and (F_2, J) are owc.

Then, there exists a unique common fixed point of $I, J, \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^}$ which is a strict fixed point for $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$.*

Remark 3.2. *By Theorem 3.6 and Example 2.1 we obtain a generalization of Theorem 3.5 [4].*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aamri and D. El-Moutawakil, **Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions**, *Math. Anal. Appl.* **270**(2002), 181-188.
- [2] A. Aliouche and V.Popa, **General common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible hybrid mappings and applications** (submitted)
- [3] M.A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad **Generalized I -nonexpansive self-maps and invariant approximations**, *Acta Math. Sinica* **24**(5) (2008) 867-876.
- [4] H. Bouhadjera and A. Djoudi, **Common fixed point theorems for single and set-valued maps without continuity**, *Anal. St.Univ.Ovidius, Constanța* **16**(1) (2008) 49-58.
- [5] H. Bouhadjera and A. Djoudi, **Common fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued D -maps satisfying an integral type contractive condition**, *Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae* **35**(2008) 43-59.
- [6] A. Djoudi and R.Khemis, **Fixed points for set and single-valued maps without continuity**, *Demonstratio Math.* **38**,3(2005), 739-751.
- [7] B. Fisher, **Common fixed points of mappings and set-valued mappings**, *Rostok Math. Kolloq.* **18**(1981), 69-77.
- [8] B. Fisher and S. Sessa, **Two common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting mappings**, *Periodica Math. Hungar.* **20**(3) (1989), 207-218.
- [9] G. Jungck, **Compatible mappings and common fixed points**, *Int. J.Math.Math.Sci.* **9**(1986), 771-779.
- [10] G. Jungck, **Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on non-metric spaces**, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **4**(2) (1996), 199-215.
- [11] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, **Some fixed point theorems for compatible maps**, *Int.J.Math.Math.Sci.* **16**(1993), 417-428.

- [12] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, **Fixed point theorems for set-valued functions without continuity**, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29**(3) (1998), 227-238.
- [13] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, **Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings**, *Fixed Point Theory*, **7**,2 (2006), 287-296.
- [14] R.P. Pant, **Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings**, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **188**(1994), 436-440.
- [15] R.P.Pant, **Common fixed points of four mappings**, *Bull. Cal.Math.Soc.*, **9**(1998), 281-286.
- [16] V. Popa, **Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings**, *Stud. Cerc. Șt. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău* **7**(1997), 127-133.
- [17] V. Popa, **Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation**, *Demonstratio Math.* **32**(1999), 157-163.
- [18] V.Popa, "A general coincidence theorem for compatible multivalued mappings satisfying an implicit relation", *Demonstratio Math.* **33**,1 (2000), 159-164.
- [19] S. Sharma, B. Deshpande and R.Pathak, **Common fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs of mappings with some weaker conditions**, *Fasciculi Mathematici* **39**(2008), 71-86.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics
"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău
Spiru Haret 8,
600114 Bacău, ROMANIA
vpopa@ub.ro