

"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău  
Faculty of Sciences  
Scientific Studies and Research  
Series Mathematics and Informatics  
Vol. 20 (2010), No. 1, 93 - 102

## ON ALMOST $\lambda$ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

S. JAFARI, S. P. MOSHOKOA, K. R. NAILANA AND T. NOIRI

**Abstract.** In the paper we introduce a new class of functions between topological spaces, namely almost  $\lambda$ -continuous functions and present some properties for these functions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Maki [9] introduced the notion of  $\Lambda$ -sets in topological spaces. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -set if it coincides with its kernel (the intersection of all open supersets of  $A$ ). In [1], Arenas et al. introduced the notions of  $\lambda$ -open sets, and  $\lambda$ -closed sets and presented fundamental results for these sets. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of an almost  $\lambda$ -continuous function and investigate some of the properties for this class of functions.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or  $X$  and  $Y$ ) are always topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. We refer the reader to [[1],[2]] for a basic reference on  $\lambda$ -open sets.

---

**Keywords and phrases:**  $\lambda$ -open,  $\lambda$ -closed,  $\lambda$ -boundary,  $\lambda$ -continuous, strongly  $\lambda$ -normal  
**(2000)Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54C10, 54C08.

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -closed [1] if  $A = B \cap C$ , where  $B$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set and  $C$  is a closed set. The complement of a  $\lambda$ -closed set is called a  $\lambda$ -open set. Let  $x \in X$  and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $x$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -interior point of  $A$  if there exists a  $\lambda$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \subset A$ . The set of all  $\lambda$ -interior points of  $A$  is called the  $\lambda$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $Int_\lambda(A)$  [2]. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be regular open (respectively, regular closed) if  $A = Int(Cl(A))$  (respectively,  $A = Cl(Int(A))$ ). Let  $x \in X$ . Then by  $O(X, x)$  we denote the set of all open sets in  $X$  that contains  $x$ . Furthermore, by  $\lambda O(X, x)$  (resp.  $RO(X, x)$ ), we denote the set of all  $\lambda$ -open (resp. regular open) sets that contain  $x$ . A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous [1] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in  $X$  for every closed set  $V$  in  $Y$ .

Observe that every open set in  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -open, but not conversely. Also every continuous function is  $\lambda$ -continuous but not conversely [1].

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with

**Definition 3.1.** *A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous (briefly, a. $\lambda$ .c.) at  $x \in X$  if for each  $V \in RO(Y, f(x))$ , there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . If  $f$  is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous at every point of  $X$ , then it is called almost  $\lambda$ -continuous.*

Note that every regular open set is open, and thus  $\lambda$ -continuity implies almost  $\lambda$ -continuity. We provide an example of a function which is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous but not continuous.

**Example 3.1** [1]

The classical Dirichelet function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the usual real line with the usual topology:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This function  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -continuous but not continuous.

**Example 3.2**

Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  with topologies  $\tau =$  indiscrete topology and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, X\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = a$  and  $f(b) = b$ . This function is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous but it is neither  $\lambda$ -continuous nor continuous.

**Example 3.3** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topologies  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$  by  $f(x) = x$  for every  $x \in X$ . This function is almost  $\lambda$ -continuous but it is neither  $\lambda$ -continuous nor continuous.

In fact, we have the following implications:

$$\text{continuity} \Rightarrow \lambda\text{-continuity} \Rightarrow \text{almost } \lambda\text{-continuity.}$$

Recall [13] that a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $\delta$ -open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a regular open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subset A$ . The complement of a  $\delta$ -open set is said to be  $\delta$ -closed. The intersection of all  $\delta$ -closed sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\delta$ -closure of  $A$  and it is denoted by  $Cl_\delta(A)$ .

The next two results characterize almost  $\lambda$ -continuous functions.

**Theorem 3.2.** *For a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is a.  $\lambda$ .c.;
- (b) for each  $x \in X$  and each open set  $V$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists  $\lambda$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset \text{Int}(Cl(V))$ ;
- (c)  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in  $X$  for every regular closed set  $F$  in  $Y$ ;
- (d)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -open in  $X$  for every regular open set  $V$  in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* The proof is obvious and thus omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *For a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is a.  $\lambda$ .c.;
- (b)  $f(Cl_\lambda(A)) \subset Cl_\delta(f(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ;
- (c)  $Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_\delta(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;
- (d)  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in  $X$  for every  $\delta$ -closed set  $F$  of  $Y$ ;
- (e)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -open in  $X$  for every  $\delta$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Since  $Cl_\delta(f(A))$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ , it is denoted by  $\cap\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $F_\alpha$  is regular closed in  $Y$ . The set  $f^{-1}(Cl_\delta(f(A)))$  is  $\lambda$ -closed (Theorem 3.2) and contains  $A$ , also  $f^{-1}(Cl_\delta(f(A))) = \cap\{f^{-1}(F_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Hence  $Cl_\lambda\delta(A) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_\delta(f(A)))$ . Therefore we obtain  $f(Cl_\lambda(A)) \subset Cl_\delta(f(A))$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Let  $B$  be a subset of  $Y$ . We have  $f(Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(B))) \subset Cl_\delta(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subset Cl_\delta(B)$  and hence  $Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_\delta(B))$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) Let  $F$  be any  $\delta$ -closed set of  $Y$ . We have  $Cl_\lambda(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl_\delta(F)) = f^{-1}(F)$  and hence  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in  $X$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e) Let  $V$  be any  $\delta$ -open set of  $Y$ . Then  $Y - V$  is  $\delta$ -closed. We have  $f^{-1}(Y - V) = X - f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $X$ .

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Let  $V$  be any regular open set in  $Y$ . Since  $V$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $Y$ , we have  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -open in  $X$  and hence by Theorem 3.2  $f$  is *a.l.c.*

□

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function and  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$  the graph function defined by  $g(x) = (x, f(x))$  for every  $x \in X$ . If  $g$  is *a.l.c.*, then  $f$  is *a.l.c.**

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $V \in RO(Y, f(x))$ . Then  $g(x) = (x, f(x)) \in X \times V$ . Observe that  $X \times V \in RO(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$ . If  $g$  is *a.l.c.*, then there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $g(U) \subset X \times V$ . It follows that  $f(U) \subset V$ , hence  $f$  is *a.l.c.* □

We recall that the space  $X$  is called a  $\lambda$ -space [1] if the set of all  $\lambda$ -open subsets form a topology on  $X$ . Clearly a space  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -space if and only if the intersection of two  $\lambda$ -open sets is  $\lambda$ -open. An example of a  $\lambda$ -space is a  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, where a space  $X$  is called  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$  [5] if every singleton is open or closed .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function and  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$  the graph function defined by  $g(x) = (x, f(x))$  for every  $x \in X$ . If  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -space, then  $g$  is *a.l.c.* if and only if  $f$  is *a.l.c.*.*

*Proof.* We only prove the sufficiency. Let  $x \in X$  and  $W \in RO(X \times Y, g(x))$ . Then there exist regular open sets  $U_1$  and  $V$  in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively such that  $U_1 \times V \subset W$ . If  $f$  is *a.l.c.*, then there exists a  $\lambda$ -open set  $U_2$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U_2$  and  $f(U_2) \subset V$ . Put  $U = (U_1 \cap U_2)$ . Then  $U$  is  $\lambda$ -open and  $g(U) \subset U_1 \times V \subset W$ . Thus  $g$  is *a.l.c.* This together with the proof of Theorem 3.4 completes our proof. □

**Example 3.2** Let  $X$  be the set of non-negative integers with the topology  $\tau$  whose open sets are those that contain 0 and have a finite complement. Let  $Y$  be the set of non-negative reals with the usual topology which we denote by  $\sigma$ . Then  $X$  is a  $\lambda$ -space [2]. If the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *a.l.c.*, then the graph function  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$  is *a.l.c.* In particular Theorem 3.5 holds.

**Definition 3.6.** *A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is pre- $\lambda$ -open if the image of each  $\lambda$ -open set is  $\lambda$ -open.*

**Definition 3.7.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly  $\lambda$ -continuous (briefly,  $w.\lambda.c.$ ) [3] if and only if for each point  $x \in X$  and every  $V \in O(Y, f(x))$  there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset Cl(V)$ .

Observe that  $a.\lambda.c.$  implies  $w.\lambda.c.$  In fact, from Theorem 3.2 we have the following:  $\lambda$ -continuity implies almost  $\lambda$ -continuity and almost  $\lambda$ -continuity implies weak  $\lambda$ -continuity.

**Definition 3.8.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called almost  $\lambda$ -open if the image of a  $\lambda$ -open set is open in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is almost  $\lambda$ -open and  $w.\lambda.c.$ , then  $f$  is  $a.\lambda.c.$   $\square$

**Definition 3.9.** [13] Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter base. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to  $\delta$ -converge to  $x \in X$  if for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $B$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $B \subset Int(Cl(U))$ .

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter base. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to  $\lambda$ -converge to a point  $x \in X$  if for any  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  there exists  $B$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $B \subset U$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $a.\lambda.c.$ , then for each point  $x \in X$  and each filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  that  $\lambda$ -converges to  $x$ , the filter  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is  $\delta$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in X$  and a filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  that  $\lambda$ -converges to  $x$ . Suppose that  $f$  is  $a.\lambda.c.$  Then for each  $V \in RO(Y, f(x))$  there exists  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Then there exists  $B \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $B \subset U$ , hence  $f(B) \subset f(U)$ . It follows that  $f(B) \subset V$ . This shows that  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is  $\delta$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .  $\square$

For a space  $(Y, \sigma)$  we denote by  $\sigma_s$  the semiregular topology of  $\sigma$  generated by regular open sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . For ease of notation we simply denote the semiregularization of  $(Y, \sigma)$  by  $Y_s$ .

**Corollary 3.12.** Let  $X$  be a space such that every  $\lambda$ -open set is open and a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be  $a.\lambda.c.$  Then for each point  $x \in X$  and each filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  we have  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\lambda$ -converging to  $x$ , if and only if the filter  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is  $\delta$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .

**Corollary 3.13.** Let  $X$  be a space such that every  $\lambda$ -open set is open. Then a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $a.\lambda.c.$  if and only if  $f : X \rightarrow Y_s$  is continuous.

Observe that generally  $O(X) \subset \lambda O(X)$ . Thus  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *a.λ.c.* if and only if  $f$  is almost continuous in the sense of Singal, whenever every  $\lambda$ -open set of  $X$  is open. Now let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be functions. If  $X$  is such that every  $\lambda$ -open set is open,  $Y$  is Hausdorff and  $f$  and  $g$  are *a.λ.c.* functions, then the set  $E = \{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$  is closed in  $X$ . This is indeed Theorem 4 in [8].

**Definition 3.14.** [2] *A space  $X$  is said to be  $\lambda - T_2$  if for every pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  there exist disjoint  $\lambda$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ .*

Observe that  $\lambda - T_2$  is equivalent with  $T_0$  (see [7]).

**Theorem 3.15.** *If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an *a.λ.c.* injection and  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $X$  is  $\lambda - T_2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is an almost  $\lambda$ -continuous injection. Note that  $Y_s$  is Hausdorff. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct points in  $X$ , then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Hence there exist disjoint open sets  $V$  and  $W$  of  $Y_s$  such that  $f(x) \in V$  and  $f(y) \in W$ . Therefore we obtain  $f^{-1}(V) \in \lambda O(X, x)$ ,  $f^{-1}(W) \in \lambda O(X, y)$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \cap f^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.16.** *For a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the graph  $G(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}$  is said to be strongly almost  $\lambda$ -closed if for each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y - G(f)$ , there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and  $V \in RO(Y, y)$  such that  $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ .*

**Theorem 3.17.** *A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has the strongly almost  $\lambda$ -closed graph if and only if for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(x) \neq y$ , there exist  $U \in \lambda O(X, x)$  and  $V \in RO(Y, y)$  such that  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* It is an immediate consequence of the above definition.  $\square$

**Definition 3.18.** *A space  $X$  is called  $\lambda$ -compact [2] if every cover of  $X$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets has a finite subcover.*

It should be mentioned that  $\lambda$ -compact is called  $\lambda O$ -compact in [6].

**Definition 3.19.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ , then we say that  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -compact relative to  $X$  if every cover of  $A$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets of  $X$  has a finite subcover.*

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ , we say that  $A$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  [4] if every cover of  $A$  by regular open sets of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

Furthermore,  $X$  is called **nearly compact** [12] if every regular open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover .

**Theorem 3.20.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a. $\lambda$ .c. and  $K$  is  $\lambda$ -compact relative to  $X$ , then  $f(K)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be any cover of  $f(K)$  by regular open sets of  $Y$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(G_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a cover of  $K$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets of  $X$ . Hence there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $K \subset \cup\{f^{-1}(G_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f(K) \subset \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $f(K)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.21.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is an a. $\lambda$ .c. surjection and  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -compact, then  $Y$  is nearly compact.*

**Definition 3.22.** [10] *A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\delta$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and open set  $V$  containing  $f(x)$ , there exists an open set  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U))) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$ .*

**Theorem 3.23.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a. $\lambda$ .c. and  $g$  is  $\delta$ -continuous, then  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a. $\lambda$ .c.*

*Proof.* The proof is obvious and is omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.24.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a pre- $\lambda$ -open surjection and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a function such that  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  is a. $\lambda$ .c., then  $g$  is a. $\lambda$ .c.*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Y$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Let  $G \in \text{RO}(Z, (g \circ f)(x))$ . Then there exists  $U \in \lambda\text{O}(X, x)$  such that  $g(f(U)) \subset G$ . Since  $f$  is pre- $\lambda$ -open in  $Y$ , we have that  $g$  is a. $\lambda$ .c. at  $y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.25.** *The set of all points  $x$  of  $X$  at which the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is not a. $\lambda$ .c. is identical with the union of the  $\lambda$ -boundaries of the inverse of regular open subsets of  $Y$  containing  $f(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is not a. $\lambda$ .c. at  $x \in X$ , then there exists  $V \in \text{RO}(Y, f(x))$  such that for every  $U \in \lambda\text{O}(X, x)$ ,  $f(U) \cap (Y - V) \neq \emptyset$ . This means that for every  $U \in \lambda\text{O}(X, x)$ , we must have  $U \cap (X - f^{-1}(V)) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, it follows that  $x \in \text{Cl}_\lambda(X - f^{-1}(V))$ . But  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and hence  $x \in \text{Cl}_\lambda(f^{-1}(V))$ . Thus  $x \in \text{Fr}_\lambda(f^{-1}(V))$ . Suppose that  $f$  is a. $\lambda$ .c. at  $x$ . Then there exists  $U \in \lambda\text{O}(X, x)$  such that  $f(U) \subset V_1$ . Then , we have:  $x \in U \subset f^{-1}(f(U)) \subset f^{-1}(V_1)$ . This shows that  $x$  is a  $\lambda$ -interior point of  $f^{-1}(V_1)$ . Therefore, we have

$x \notin Cl_\lambda(X - f^{-1}(V_1))$  and  $x \notin Fr_\lambda(f^{-1}(V_1))$ . This is a contradiction. This means that  $f$  is not *a.l.c.*  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is said to be *H-closed* [13] or *quasi-H-closed* relative to  $X$  [11] if for every cover  $\{U_i : i \in \nabla\}$  of  $A$  by open sets of  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $A \subset \cup\{Cl(U_i) : i \in \nabla_0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.26.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly  $\lambda$ -continuous and  $K$  is  $\lambda$ -compact relative to  $X$ , then  $f(K)$  is quasi-H-closed relative to  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.20  $\square$

**Lemma 3.27.** *Let  $X$  be  $\lambda$ -compact. If  $A \subset X$  is  $\lambda$ -closed, then  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -compact relative to  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a cover of  $A$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets of  $X$ . Note that  $(X - A)$  is  $\lambda$ -open and that the set  $(X - A) \cup \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets. Since  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -compact, there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that the set  $(X - A) \cup \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets in  $X$ . Hence  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  is a finite cover of  $A$  by  $\lambda$ -open sets in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.28.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an *a.l.c.* bijection. If  $X$  is  $\lambda$ -compact and  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $f$  is almost  $\lambda$ -open.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $U$  is a  $\lambda$ -open subset of  $X$ . Then  $X - U$  is  $\lambda$ -closed. By Lemma 3.27,  $X - U$  is  $\lambda$ -compact relative to  $X$ . Therefore  $f(X - U)$  is quasi-H-closed relative to  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff,  $Y - f(U)$  is closed in  $Y$ . Hence,  $f(U)$  is open in  $Y$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. G. Arenas, J. Donchev and M. Ganster, **On  $\lambda$ -sets and dual of generalised continuity**, Questions Answers Gen Topology, 15(1997), 3-13.
- [2] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and G. Navalagi, **More on  $\lambda$ -closed sets in topological spaces**, Revista Colombiana de Matematica, 41(2)(2007), 355-369.
- [3] M. Caldas, E. Ekici, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, **Weakly  $\lambda$ -continuous functions**, Novi Sad J. Math. 38(2)(2008)(to appear).
- [4] D. Carnahan, **Locally nearly-compact spaces**, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital (4) 6 (1972), 146-153.
- [5] W. Dunham,  **$T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces**, Kyungpook Math. J., 17 (1977), 161-169.
- [6] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and M. Steiner, **On some very strong compactness conditions**, (submitted).
- [7] M. Ganster, S. Jafari and M. Steiner, **Some observations on  $\lambda$ -closed sets**, (submitted).

- [8] P. E. Long and L. L. Herrington, **Properties of almost-continuous functions**, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4)10(1974), 336-342.
- [9] H. Maki, **Generalized  $\lambda$ -sets and associated closure operator**, The Special Issue in Commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA's Retirement, 1 Oct. 1986, 139-146.
- [10] T. Noiri, **On  $\delta$ -continuous functions**, J. Korean Math. Soc. 16 (1980), 161-166.
- [11] J. Porter and J. Thomas, **On H-closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces**, Trans. Amer. Math.Soc. 138(1969), 159-170.
- [12] M. K. Singal and A. Mathur, **On nearly compact spaces**, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 2 (1969), 702-710.
- [13] N. V. Velicko, **H-closed topological spaces**, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. (2) 78 (1968), 103-119.

College of Vestsjaelland, South Herrestraede, 11 4200 Slagelse,  
Denmark  
Jafari@stofanet.dk

Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of South  
Africa, P. O. Box 392, Pretoria, 0003,  
South Africa  
moshosp@unisa.ac.za

P O BOX 2527, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa  
kwenanailana@phutadichaba.co.za

Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro College of Technology, Yat-  
sushiro, Kumamoto, 866 Japan t.noiri@nifty.com