

“Vasile Alecsandri” University of Bacău  
Faculty of Sciences  
Scientific Studies and Research  
Series Mathematics and Informatics  
Vol. 23 (2013), No. 2, 13 - 22

## $\mathcal{A}$ -EXPANSION CONTINUOUS MAPS AND $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -WEAKLY CONTINUOUS MAPS IN HEREDITARY GENERALIZED TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

A. AL-OMARI, M. RAJAMANI AND R. RAMESH

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce and study  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous maps, closed- $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous maps,  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous maps and closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous maps in hereditary generalized topological spaces. We, also present several results including decompositions of  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}d)$ -weakly continuity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space (GTS) [2]. A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is called a quasi topological space [5] if  $\mu$  is closed under finite intersection. A nonempty family  $\mathcal{H}$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be a *hereditary class* [4], if, from  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $B \subset A$ , it follows that  $B \in \mathcal{H}$ . Given a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  with a hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$ , for each  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = \{x \in X : \text{for every } \mu\text{-open set } V \text{ containing } x, V \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}\}$  and  $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ [4]. We call  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  a hereditary generalized topological space. If there is no confusion, we simply write  $A^*$  instead of  $A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ . A hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mu$ -codense [4] iff  $\mu \cap \mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.1.** [2] A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$  is said to be  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous iff  $U \in \lambda$  implies that  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mu$ .

---

**Keywords and phrases:** generalized topology,  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuity and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuity,  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuity, closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuity.

**(2010)Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54A05.

**Definition 1.2.** [6] A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous (briefly  $w_{(\mu, \lambda)}\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{-}c$ ), if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $\lambda$ -open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$ , there exists a  $\mu$ -open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset c_\lambda^*(V)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** [6] A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous if and only if for each  $\lambda$ -open set  $V \subset Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \subset i_\mu(f^{-1}(c_\lambda^*(V)))$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [6] A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be weak\*  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous (briefly  $w_{(\mu, \lambda)}^*\text{-}\mathcal{H}\text{-}c$ ), if for each  $V \in \lambda$ ,  $f^{-1}(f_r^*(V))$  is  $\mu$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$ , where  $f_r^*(V) = V^* - i_\lambda(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -closed in  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ .

A generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is called a quasi-topological space [3] if  $\mu$  is closed under finite intersections. For  $A \subset X$  we denote by  $i_\mu(A)$  the union of all  $\mu$ -open sets contained in  $A$ , i.e., the largest  $\mu$ -open set contained in  $A$  (see [1], [2], [5]).

**Lemma 1.5.** [5] If  $(X, \mu)$  is a quasi-topological space, then  $i_\mu(A \cap B) = i_\mu(A) \cap i_\mu(B)$  for all subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ .

## 2. EXPANSION OF $\mu$ -OPEN SETS IN HEREDITARY GENERALIZED TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. A map  $\mathcal{A} : \mu \rightarrow 2^X$  is said to be an expansion on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  if  $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}U$  for each  $U \in \mu$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space.

- (1) Define  $cl : \mu \rightarrow 2^X$  by  $clU = c_\mu^*U$  for each  $U \in \mu$ . Then  $cl$  is an expansion on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  because  $U \subseteq c_\mu^*U = clU$  for each  $U \in \mu$ .
- (2) Define  $\mathcal{F} : \mu \rightarrow 2^X$  by  $\mathcal{F}U = (f_r^*U)^c$  for each  $U \in \mu$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is an expansion on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  because  $\mathcal{F}U = (f_r^*U)^c = (U^* - i_\mu U)^c = (U^* - U)^c = (U^* \cap U^c)^c = (U^*)^c \cup U \supseteq U$  for each  $U \in \mu$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. A pair of expansions  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be mutually dual if  $\mathcal{A}U \cap \mathcal{B}U = U$  for each  $U \in \mu$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  be the set of all real numbers,  $\mu = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Q}\}$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, \sqrt{2}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}\emptyset = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{A}\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \sqrt{2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}U = (f_r^*U)^c$  for each  $U \in \mu$ . Now  $\mathcal{F}\emptyset = X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}\mathbb{Q} = (f_r^*\mathbb{Q})^c = (\mathbb{Q}^* - i_\mu\mathbb{Q})^c = (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q})^c = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are expansions on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is mutually dual to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Then the expansions  $cl$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are mutually dual.

*Proof.* Let  $U \in \mu$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} clU \cap \mathcal{F}U &= c_\mu^*U \cap (f_r^*U)^c = c_\mu^*U \cap (U^* - U)^c \\ &= c_\mu^*U \cap (U^* \cap U^c)^c = c_\mu^*U \cap ((U^*)^c \cup U) \\ &= (c_\mu^*U \cap (U^*)^c) \cup (c_\mu^*U \cap U) \\ &= ((U \cup U^*) \cap (U^*)^c) \cup ((U \cup U^*) \cap U) \\ &= ((U \cap (U^*)^c) \cup (U^* \cap (U^*)^c)) \cup ((U \cap U) \cup (U^* \cap U)) \\ &= (U \cap (U^*)^c) \cup U \cup (U^* \cap U) = U. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space. Let  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $Y$ . A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous if  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V))$ , for each  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Remark 2.7.** A weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous map is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous by Theorem 1.3 and 1 of Remark 2.2.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Then a map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous.

*Proof. Necessity:* Since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}V \cap \mathcal{B}V = V$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Let  $V \in \lambda$ , then  $f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V) \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Since  $f$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(V))$ . So,  $f^{-1}(V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V) \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V)) \cap i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  by Lemma 1.5. Thus  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V))$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ , for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous.

**Sufficiency:** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  and  $f$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous and  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous. Then  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V))$ , for each  $V \in \lambda$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ , for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Also  $\mathcal{A}V \cap \mathcal{B}V = V$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V) \cap f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Hence,  $i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) = (i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V)) \cap i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))) \supseteq (f^{-1}(V) \cap f^{-1}(V)) = f^{-1}(V)$ . So,  $i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \supseteq f^{-1}(V)$ . But,  $i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$  always. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(V))$ . This implies that  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mu$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Therefore  $f$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$  is  $\mu$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ .

**Remark 2.10.** A weak\*  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous map is a closed- $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous map.

**Proposition 2.11.** A closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous.

*Proof.* We first prove  $(f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Let  $x \in (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c$ . Then  $x \notin f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$ . Hence  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{B}V)^c$ , so  $f(x) \in \mathcal{B}V$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . So,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)^c)^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Conversely, let  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Then  $f(x) \in \mathcal{B}V$  and  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{B}V)^c$ . Now  $x \notin f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$ , implies  $x \in (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c$ . So,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \subseteq (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c$ . Therefore,  $(f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Since  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is a closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous map,  $f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$  is a  $\mu$ -closed set in  $(X, \mu)$ . This implies  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)^c)^c \in \mu$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \in \mu$  and so  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Also, note that  $V \subseteq \mathcal{B}V$  and this implies  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous.  $\square$

**Example 2.12.** Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $\lambda = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(\{a\}) = \{a, c\}$ . Then the identity map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous but not closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous, because  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\{a\})^c) = f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{b\}$  is not  $\mu$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$ .

**Definition 2.13.** An expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be open if  $\mathcal{A}V \in \mu$  for all  $V \in \mu$ .

**Definition 2.14.** An open expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be idempotent if  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}V) = \mathcal{A}V$  for all  $V \in \mu$ .

**Remark 2.15.** (1) The expansion  $cl=c_\mu^*$  is idempotent .  
 (2) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\mu$ -codense, then the expansion  $\mathcal{F}V = (f_r^*V)^c$  for all  $V \in \mu$  is idempotent. In fact the expansion  $\mathcal{F}$  is open also.

**Proposition 2.16.** Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\lambda$ -codense and  $\mathcal{B}$  be an open and idempotent expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous if and only if closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* The Sufficiency follows from Proposition 2.11.

**Necessity:** Let  $f$  be  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous, where  $\mathcal{B}$  is idempotent and  $V \in \lambda$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}V \in \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}V) = \mathcal{B}V$ , then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}V))) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \in \mu$  and therefore  $f$  is closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.17.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space, where  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\lambda$ -codense. Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is open and idempotent. Then a map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous and closed  $\mathcal{B}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 2.16.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space, where  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\lambda$ -codense. Then a map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous and  $weak^*(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Follows from Remark 2.7 and Remark 2.10.  $\square$

### 3. $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -WEAK CONTINUITY

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{A}$ -open if for each  $x \in A$  there exist  $U \in \mu(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}U \subseteq A$ . A subset  $B$  of  $X$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -closed if its complement  $X - B$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -open.

Note that  $\mathcal{A}$ -open sets are also  $\mu$ -open sets in  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS,  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be expansions on  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  respectively. We say that a map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{A}f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  for every  $V \in \lambda$ .

The expansion  $\mathcal{A}V = V$  denoted by  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}d$  is called the identity expansion. It is clear that the  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}d$ -expansion continuity.

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be expansions on  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  respectively. Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a map.

- (1) Observe that when  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}d$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{I}d$ ,  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous in the usual sense [2].
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}d$  and  $\mathcal{B} = c_\lambda^*$ . Then  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous if and only if  $f$  is weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous in the sense [6].
- (3) Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}d$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be any expansion. Then  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. An expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be subadditive if for every collection of  $\mu$ -open set  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha) \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{A}U_\alpha$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is subadditive. Then for every  $\mathcal{A}$ -open set  $U$  we have  $\mathcal{A}U = U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -open set. Then for every  $x \in U$  there exists a  $\mu$ -open set  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x \subseteq \mathcal{A}U_x \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $\cup U_x \subseteq \cup \mathcal{A}U_x \subseteq U$ , so  $\cup U_x \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\cup U_x) \subseteq U$ . Therefore,  $U \subseteq \mathcal{A}U \subseteq U$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}U = U$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(X, \mu)$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , then a map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}d)$ -weakly continuous if and only if  $f$  is both  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ -weakly continuous.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}d)$ -weakly continuous. Then for every  $V \in \lambda$  we have  $\mathcal{A}f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)) \cap i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^*V))$ . This implies that  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ -weakly continuous. Conversely, Suppose  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous and  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ -weakly continuous. Then for every  $V \in \lambda$  we have  $\mathcal{A}f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  and  $\mathcal{A}f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^*V))$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)) \cap i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^*V)) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V \cap \mathcal{B}^*V))$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , we get that  $\mathcal{A}f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(V))$ , which implies that  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}d)$ -weakly continuous.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** [4] *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous if and only if for each  $V \in \lambda$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(c_\lambda^*(V)))$ .*

*Proof.* According to Remark 3.3(2),  $f$  is weakly  $(\mu, \lambda)$ - $\mathcal{H}$ -continuous if and only if  $(\mathcal{I}d, c_\lambda^*)$ -weakly continuous. Now since the  $\mathcal{I}d$  and  $c_\lambda^*$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a quasi topological space and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  be two mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Then a map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous and  $\mathcal{B}^*$ -expansion continuous.*

*Proof.* According to Remark 3.3(1),  $f$  is  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous if and only if  $(\mathcal{I}d, \mathcal{I}d)$ -weakly continuous. Also, Remark 3.3(3) assures  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous and  $\mathcal{B}^*$ -expansion continuous if and only if  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{I}d, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous and  $(\mathcal{I}d, \mathcal{B}^*)$ -weakly continuous. Now since the  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  are mutually dual expansions on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , then the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

**Definition 3.9.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and  $\mathcal{B}$  be an expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . A map  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -closed in  $(X, \mu)$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ .*

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be expansions on  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  respectively. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is subadditive and monotone expansion then every closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous function is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous and let  $V \in \lambda$ . We first prove  $(f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Let  $x \in (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c$ . Then  $x \notin (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))$ . Hence  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{B}V)^c$ , so  $f(x) \in \mathcal{B}V$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . So,  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)^c)^c \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Conversely, let  $x \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Then  $f(x) \in \mathcal{B}V$  and  $f(x) \notin (\mathcal{B}V)^c$ . Now  $x \notin f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$ , implies  $x \in (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c$ . So,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \subseteq (f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c$ . Therefore,  $(f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c))^c = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$ . Since  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  is a closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous function,  $f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$  is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -closed set

in  $(X, \mu)$ . This implies  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)^c)^c$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -open in  $(X, \mu)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \in \mu$  and so  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Also, note that  $V \subseteq \mathcal{B}V$  and this implies  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is sub-additive  $\mathcal{A}(i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))) = i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is monotone, then  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Hence  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous.  $\square$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all expansion on GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , a partial order " $<$ " can be defined by the relation  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}V < \mathcal{B}V$  for all  $V \in \mu$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{I}d < \mathcal{A}$  for any expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(X, \mu)$ , thus the set  $(\Gamma, <)$  has a minimum element.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be an expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous. Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous for any expansion  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous and  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}V < \mathcal{B}V$  for all  $V \in \mu$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}V))$ , then  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq i_\mu(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuous implies  $\mathcal{B}$ -expansion continuous for any expansion  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ . Then every  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity implies  $\mathcal{A}$ -expansion continuity for any expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}d$ -expansion continuity, the result follows from Theorem 3.11 and the fact that  $\mathcal{I}d < \mathcal{A}$  for any expansion  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any expansion on GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Then the expansion  $\mathcal{B}V = V \cup (\mathcal{A}V)^c$  is the maximal expansion on  $(X, \mu)$  which is mutually dual to  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all expansion on GTS  $(X, \mu)$  which are mutually dual to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}V$ , for any  $V \in \mu$ ,  $\mathcal{A}V$  can be written as  $\mathcal{A}V = V \cup (\mathcal{A}V - V)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}V = V \cup (\mathcal{A}V)^c = (\mathcal{A}V - V)^c$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an expansion on  $(X, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{A}V \cap \mathcal{B}V = V$  for any  $V \in \mu$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{A}$ . Given any expansion  $\mathcal{B}^*$  on  $(X, \mu)$ , write  $\mathcal{B}^*V = V \cup (\mathcal{B}^*V - V)$ . If  $\mathcal{B}^* \in \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{A}$ , then  $(\mathcal{A}V - V) \cap (\mathcal{B}^*V - V) = \emptyset$ ,



thus  $\mathcal{B}^*V - V \subseteq (\mathcal{A}V - V)^c = \mathcal{B}V$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}^*V \subseteq \mathcal{B}V$  and we have that  $\mathcal{B}^* < \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is the maximal element of  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\lambda$ -codense and  $\mathcal{B}$  be an open and idempotent expansion on  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is subadditive and monotone expansion on  $(X, \mu)$ . Then  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous if and only if closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* The Sufficiency follows from Theorem 3.10.

Let  $f$  be  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous, where  $\mathcal{B}$  is open and idempotent, then  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq i_{\mu}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$  for each  $V \in \lambda$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}V \in \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}V) = \mathcal{B}V$ , we have  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)) \subseteq i_{\mu}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}V))) = i_{\mu}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V) \in \mu$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V)$  and hence  $(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}V))^c = f^{-1}((\mathcal{B}V)^c)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -closed therefore  $f$  is closed  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be a hereditary generalized topological space and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be expansions on  $\{f^{-1}(V) : V \in \mu\}$  and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  respectively. Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  be  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -weakly continuous. Then  $f$  is  $(\mathcal{A}^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$ -weakly continuous for any expansions  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$  on  $\{f^{-1}(V) : V \in \mu\}$  and  $(Y, \lambda, \mathcal{H})$  respectively such that  $\mathcal{A}^* < \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B} < \mathcal{B}^*$ .*

*Proof.* This follows easily from Definition 3.2.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank the referee for useful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri, **A unified theory of contra- $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous functions in generalized topological spaces**, Acta Math. Hung. 135(1-2)(2012), 31–41.
- [2] A.Csaszar, **Generalized topology, generalized continuity**, Acta Math. Hung. 96(2002), 351–357.
- [3] Á. Császár, **Generalized open sets in generalized topologies**, Acta Math. Hung. 106(2005), 53–66.
- [4] A.Csaszar, **Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes**, Acta Math. Hung. 115(2007), 29–36.
- [5] A.Csaszar, **Remarks on quasi-topologies**, Acta Math. Hung. 119(2007), 197–200.

- [6] M. Rajamani, V. Inthumathi and R. Ramesh, **A decomposition of  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuity in generalized topological spaces**, Jordan J. Math. Stat. 6(1)(2013), 15–27.
- [7] J. Tong, **Expansion of open sets and decomposition of continuous mappings**, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 43(2)(1994), 303–308.

**A. Al-Omari**

Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, P.O.BOX 130040  
Mafrq, JORDAN, e-mail: omarimutah1@yahoo.com

**M. Rajamani and R. Ramesh**

Department of Mathematics, N G M College, Pollachi - 642 001, Tamil  
Nadu, INDIA, e-mail: rameshwaran141@gmail.com.