

"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău  
Faculty of Sciences  
Scientific Studies and Research  
Series Mathematics and Informatics  
Vol. 26(2016), No. 2, 59-66

## RECOGNITION ALGORITHM FOR $P_4$ -TIDY GRAPHS

MIHAI TALMACIU

**Abstract.** In this article we give a characterization of  $P_4$ -tidy graphs. We also give recognition algorithm for  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, comparable to existent ones as execution time. Finally, we determine the combinatorial optimization number in efficient time for  $P_4$ -tidy graphs. We show that for  $P_4$ -tidy graphs clique problem is polynomial time.

*Work presented at "Gheorghe Vrănceanu" Conference on  
Mathematics and Informatics, December 6, 2016,  
"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $G = (V, E)$  is a connected, finite and undirected graph [1], without loops and multiple edges, having  $V = V(G)$  as the vertex set and  $E = E(G)$  as the set of edges.  $\bar{G}$  is the complement of  $G$ . If  $U \subseteq V$ , by  $G(U)$  (or  $[U]_G$ ) we denote the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $U$ . By  $G - X$  we mean the subgraph  $G(V - X)$ , whenever  $X \subseteq V$ , but we simply write  $G - v$ , when  $X = \{v\}$ . If  $e = xy$  is an edge of a graph  $G$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent, while  $x$  and  $e$  are incident, as are  $y$  and  $e$ . If  $xy \in E$ , we also use  $x \sim y$ , and  $x \not\sim y$  whenever  $x, y$  are not adjacent in  $G$ .

---

**Keywords and phrases:**  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, spider graphs, quasi-spider graphs, weak decomposition, recognition algorithm.

**(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C85, 68R10.

If  $A, B \subset V$  are disjoint and  $ab \in E$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we say that  $A, B$  are *totally adjacent* and we denote by  $A \sim B$ , while by  $A \not\sim B$  we mean that no edge of  $G$  joins some vertex of  $A$  to a vertex from  $B$  and, in this case, we say  $A$  and  $B$  are *totally non-adjacent*.

The *neighborhood* of the vertex  $v \in V$  is the set  $N_G(v) = \{u \in V : uv \in E\}$ , while  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ ; we denote  $N(v)$  and  $N[v]$ , when  $G$  appears clearly from the context. The *degree* of  $v$  in  $G$  is  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ . The neighborhood of the vertex  $v$  in the complement of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\overline{N}(v)$ .

The neighborhood of  $S \subset V$  is the set  $N(S) = \cup_{v \in S} N(v) - S$  and  $N[S] = S \cup N(S)$ . A graph is complete if every pair of distinct vertices is adjacent.

By  $P_n, C_n, K_n$  we mean a chordless path on  $n \geq 3$  vertices, a chordless cycle on  $n \geq 3$  vertices, and a complete graph on  $n \geq 1$  vertices, respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote a family of graphs. A graph  $G$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if none of its subgraphs are in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The *Zykov sum* of the graphs  $G_1, G_2$  is the graph  $G = G_1 + G_2$  having:

$$\begin{aligned} V(G) &= V(G_1) \cup V(G_2), \\ E(G) &= E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The *chromatic number* of a graph  $G$  ( $\chi(G)$ ) is the least number of colors it takes to color its vertices so that adjacent vertices have different colors. The *stability number*  $\alpha(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the cardinality of the largest stable set. Recall that a stable set of  $G$  is a subset of the vertices such that no two of them are connected by an edge. The *clique number* of a graph  $G$  is the number of vertices in a maximum clique of  $G$ , denoted  $\omega(G)$ .

A graph  $G$  is said to be *perfect* if, for each induced subgraph  $S$  of  $G$ , the chromatic number of  $S$  is equal to the clique number of  $S$ . A graph  $G$  is a Berge graph if neither  $G$  nor its complement has an odd-length induced cycle of length 5 or more.

## 2. THE WEAK DECOMPOSITION OF A GRAPH

The notion of weak decomposition and the study of its properties allow us to obtain several important it follows such as: characterization of cographs,  $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs,  $\{P_4, C_4\}$ -free and paw-free graphs.

**Definition 1.** [7], [8] *A set  $A \subset V(G)$  is called a weak set of the graph  $G$  if  $N_G(A) \neq V(G) - A$  and  $G(A)$  is connected. If  $A$  is a weak set, maximal with respect to set inclusion, then  $G(A)$  is called a weak*

component. For simplicity, the weak component  $G(A)$  will be denoted with  $A$ .

**Definition 2.** [7], [8] *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected and non-complete graph. If  $A$  is a weak set, then the partition  $\{A, N(A), V - A \cup N(A)\}$  is called a weak decomposition of  $G$  with respect to  $A$ .*

Below we recall a characterization of the weak decomposition of a graph.

The name of weak component is justified by the following result.

**Theorem 1.** [7], [8] *Every connected and non-complete graph  $G=(V,E)$  admits a weak component  $A$  such that  $G(V-A)=G(N(A))+G(\overline{N}(A))$ .*

**Theorem 2.** [3] *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected and non-complete graph and  $A \subset V$ . Then  $A$  is a weak component of  $G$  if and only if  $G(A)$  is connected and  $N(A) \sim \overline{N}(A)$ .*

The next result, that follows from Theorem 2, ensures the existence of a weak decomposition in a connected and non-complete graph.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $G = (V, E)$  is a connected and non-complete graph, then  $V$  admits a weak decomposition  $(A, B, C)$ , such that  $G(A)$  is a weak component and  $G(V - A) = G(B) + G(C)$ .*

Theorem 2 provides an  $O(n + m)$  algorithm for building a weak decomposition for a non-complete and connected graph.

*Algorithm for the weak decomposition of a graph* [7]

*Input:* A connected graph with at least two nonadjacent vertices,  $G = (V, E)$ .

*Output:* A partition  $V = (A, N, R)$  such that  $G(A)$  is connected,  $N = N(A)$ ,  $A \not\sim R = \overline{N}(A)$ .

*begin*

$A :=$  any set of vertices such that  $A \cup N(A) \neq V$

$N := N(A)$

$R := V - A \cup N(A)$

*while*  $(\exists n \in N, \exists r \in R$  such that  $nr \notin E)$  *do*

*begin*

$A := A \cup \{n\}$

$N := (N - \{n\}) \cup (N(n) \cap R)$

$R := R - (N(n) \cap R)$

*end*

*end*

3.  $P_4$ -TIDY GRAPHS

A cograph is a graph that does not contain  $P_4$  as an induced subgraph. Several generalizations of cographs have been defined in the literature, such as  $P_4$ -sparse,  $P_4$ -lite,  $P_4$ -extendible and  $P_4$ -reducible graphs. A graph class generalizing all of them is the class of  $P_4$ -tidy graphs.

We say that a graph is  $P_4$ -tidy if, for every  $P_4$  induced by  $\{u, v, x, y\}$ , there exists at most one vertex  $z$  such that  $\{u, v, x, y, z\}$  induces more than one  $P_4$ .

The  $P_4$ -tidy graphs is defined by Rusu [6].

Giakoumakis and Fouquet in [4] propose linear algorithms for optimization problems on  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, as clique number, stability number and chromatic number.

We shows in [9] (see [2]) that for  $P_4$ -tidy graphs: clique problem is polynomial time.

A *spider* is a graph whose vertex set has a partition  $(T, C, S)$ , where  $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$  and  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  for  $k \geq 2$  are respectively a clique and a stable set;  $s_i$  is adjacent to  $c_j$  if and only if  $i = j$  (a thin spider), or  $s_i$  is adjacent to  $c_j$  if and only if  $i \neq j$  (a thick spider); and every vertex of  $T$  is adjacent to each vertex of  $C$  and non-adjacent to each vertex of  $S$ .

A *quasi-spider* is a graph obtained from a spider  $(T, C, S)$  by replacing at most one vertex from  $C \cup S$  by a  $K_2$  (the complete graph on two vertices) or a  $\overline{K_2}$  (the complement of  $K_2$ ).

A graph *gem* and the next graph written by means of degrees  $(2,2,3,3,4)$  are isomorphic. A graph *bull* and the next graph written by means of degrees  $(1,1,2,3,3)$  are isomorphic.

A graph *P* and the next graph written by means of degrees  $(1,2,2,2,3)$  are isomorphic.

A graph *house* =  $\overline{P_5}$  and a graph *fork* and the next graph written by means of degrees  $(1,1,1,2,3)$  are isomorphic.

**Theorem 3** [5]. *A graph  $G$  is a  $P_4$ -tidy graph if and only if exactly one of the following holds:*

- (a)  $G$  is the union or the join of two  $P_4$ -tidy graphs;
- (b)  $G$  is a quasi-spider  $(T, C, S)$  and  $G[T]$  is a  $P_4$ -tidy graph;
- (c)  $G$  is isomorphic to  $P_5$ ,  $\overline{P_5}$ ,  $C_5$ ,  $K_1$  or  $V(G) = \emptyset$ .

A new characterization of  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, using weak decomposition, is given below.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  a connected, non-complete and  $\{gem, bull\}$ -free graph with  $|V(G)| \geq 5$  and  $(A, N, R)$  a weak decomposition with  $G(A)$  as the weak component.  $G = (V, E)$  is  $P_4$ -tidy if and only if:*

- i)  $A \sim N \sim R$ ;
- ii)  $G(A), G(N), G(R)$  are  $P_4$ -tidy.

*Proof.* I) Let  $G$  be  $P_4$ -tidy. We show that i) and ii) hold. From the propriety of heredity of  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, it follows ii). From the weak decomposition with  $G(A)$  as weak component,  $N \sim R$  hold. We show that  $A \sim N$  hold.

We assume that  $\exists n \in N, \exists b \in A$ , such that  $nb \notin E$ . From  $N = N_G(A)$ , for  $n \in N, \exists a \in A$  such that  $na \in E$ . Because  $G(A)$  is connected, for  $a, b \in A, \exists P_{ab} \subseteq G(A)$ . There exists a first vertex, from  $a$  to  $b, w \in V(P_{ab})$ , with  $nw \notin E$ . Let  $v$  be on  $P_{ab}$ , the vertex before it  $w$ . Then  $nv \in E, vw \in E$ . Let  $\forall r \in R$  be.

*Case1.* We suppose that  $a = v$  and  $b = w$ . Because  $|V(G)| \geq 5$  there is at least a vertex either in  $R (r' \in R)$  (and then there exists either  $\overline{P}$  (if  $rr' \in E$ ) or *fork* (if  $rr' \notin E$ ) as induced subgraph in  $G$ ) or in  $N (n' \in N)$  (and then there exists either  $(P_5$  or  $C_5$  or  $P$  or  $\overline{P}_5$ , if  $nn' \notin E$ ) or  $(\overline{P}$  or *house* or *co-fork* or *gem*, if  $nn' \in E$ ) as induced subgraph in  $G$ ) or in  $A (a' \in A)$  (and then there exists either  $(P_5$  or *fork* or  $\overline{P}$ ) (if  $a'n \notin E$ ) or  $(fork$  or  $P$  or *bull* or *co-fork*) (if  $a'n \in E$ )).

*Case2.* We suppose that  $a = v$  and  $b \neq w$ . Because  $G(A)$  is connected,  $\exists P_{ab} \subseteq G(A)$ , then there is  $P_5$  as induced subgraph in  $G$ .

*Case3.* We suppose that  $a \neq v$  and  $b \neq w$ . Then there is (either  $P_5$  or *fork* or  $\overline{P}$  or *bull*) as induced subgraph in  $G$ .

*Case4.* We suppose that  $a \neq v$  and  $b = w$ . Then there is (either *bull* or *fork*) as induced subgraph in  $G$ .

Other situations not exist.

II) Let conditions i) and ii) be fulfilled. We show that  $G$  is  $P_4$ -sparse. From ii) it follows that  $G(A), G(N), G(R), G(A \cup N)$  and  $G(N \cup R)$  are  $P_4$ -sparse graphs. Because  $G(A \cup R)$  is not connected it follows that  $G(A \cup R)$  is  $P_4$ -sparse.

However, we suppose that there is  $X \subseteq V$  with  $|X| = 5$  so that either  $G(X) = C_5$  or  $G(X) = P$  or  $G(X) = P_5$  or  $G(X) = fork$  or  $G(X) = \overline{P}$  or  $G(X) = \overline{P}_5$  or  $G(X) = co-fork$ .

If  $|X \cap R| = 1$  then  $A \sim N$  not holds.

If  $|X \cap R|=2 (r_1, r_2 \in R)$  then either  $(|X \cap N|=1$  and  $|X \cap A|=2)$  or  $(|X \cap N|=2$  and  $|X \cap A|=1)$ . For  $|X \cap N|=1$  and  $|X \cap A|=2$ ,

$\exists n \in X \cap N$  and  $\exists a_1, a_2 \in A \cap X$  with  $r_1n, r_2n, a_1n, a_2n \in E$ . For  $|X \cap N|=2$  and  $|X \cap A|=1$ ,  $\exists n_1, n_2 \in N \cap X$ ,  $\exists a \in A \cap X$  with  $n_1r_1, n_1r_2, n_2r_1, n_2r_2, n_1a, n_2a \in E$ . The above statements are not possible for  $G(X) = C_5$ ,  $G(X) = P_5$ ,  $G(X) = \overline{P_5}$ , while the above statements are possible for  $G(X) = P$ ,  $G(X) = fork$ ,  $G(X) = \overline{P}$ ,  $G(X) = co - fork$ , and  $A \sim N$  is not hold.

If  $|X \cap R|=3$  then, because  $R \sim N$ ,  $\exists r_1, r_2, r_3 \in X \cap R$  and either  $\exists n \in X \cap N$  with  $r_1n, r_2n, r_3n \in E$  (for  $G(X) = P$ ,  $G(X) = fork$ ,  $G(X) = \overline{P}$  or  $G(X) = \overline{P_5}$  or  $G(X) = co - fork$ , and  $A \sim N$  is not holds) or  $\nexists n \in X \cap N$  with  $r_1n, r_2n, r_3n \in E$  (for  $G(X) = C_5$ ,  $G(X) = P_5$ ).

$|X \cap R| \in \{4, 5\}$  it is not possible, because  $X \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $X \cap N \neq \emptyset$ .

Other situations not exist.

*The recognition algorithm for  $P_4$ -tidy,  $\{gem, bull\}$ -free graphs*

*Input:* A connected, non-complete graph  $G = (V, E)$ .

*Output:* An answer to the question: Is  $G$   $P_4$ -tidy ?

*begin*

1.  $L_G \leftarrow \{G\}$
2. *while*  $L_G \neq \emptyset$  *do*
3.     extracts an element  $H$  from  $L_G$
4.     determine the weak decomposition  $(A, N, R)$  with  $[A]_H$  weak component
5.     *if*  $(\exists a \in A, \exists n \in N$  such that  $an \notin E)$  *then*  
         $G$  is not  $P_4$ -tidy *else*
6.     introduce in  $L_G$  subgraphs  $G(A)$ ,  $G(N)$ ,  $G(R)$  incomplete and of at least order 4
7.     Return:  $G$  is  $P_4$ -tidy
8. *end*

*EndRecognition*

*The complexity of the algorithm*

Because step 4 takes  $O(n+m)$  time, and the other steps of the cycle *while* take less time, it results that the algorithm is executed in an overall time of  $O(n(n+m))$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  a connected and non-complete graph. Let  $(A, N, R)$  be a weak decomposition, with  $G(A)$  as weak component. If  $G = (V, E)$  is  $P_4$ -tidy,  $\{gem, bull\}$ -free then:*

$$\omega(G) = \omega(G(N)) + \max\{\omega(G(A)), \omega(G(R))\};$$

$$\alpha(G) = \max\{\alpha(G(A)) + \alpha(G(R)), \alpha(G(N))\}.$$

We notice that the determination of  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ , takes  $O(n(n + m))$  time.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

We give a characterization of  $P_4$ -tidy graphs using weak decomposition. We also give recognition algorithms for  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, comparable to the existent ones as execution time. Finally, we determine the combinatorial optimization numbers in efficient time.

**Acknowledgment.** The research of Mihai Talmaciu was supported by the project entitled Structural properties and algorithmic complexity of graph theory problems, "AR-FRBCF", a Bilateral Cooperation Research Project, involving Romanian Academy ("Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacau is partner), National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Belarusian Republican Foundation for Fundamental Research.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Berge, C.: Graphs, Nort-Holland, Amsterdam, (1985).
- [2] B. Courcelle, J.A. Makowsky, U. Rotics, Linear time optimization problems on graphs of bounded clique width., Theory of Computing Systems 33 (2000) 125-150.
- [3] Croitoru, C, Olaru, E., Talmaciu, M: Confidentially connected graphs, The annals of the University "Dunarea de Jos" of Galati, Suppliment to Tome XVIII (XXII), Proceedings of the international conference "The risk in contemporary economy", Supplement to Tome XVIII(XXII), 17-18, (2000).
- [4] Fouquet. J-L. and Giakoumakis, V., On semi- $P_4$ -sparse graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 165166, 277300, 1997.
- [5] V. Giakoumakis, F. Roussel, H. Thuillier, On  $P_4$ -tidy graphs, Discrete Math. and Theor. Comp. Sci., 1, 1997, 17-41, ZMath 0930.05073.
- [6] Rusu, I., Private communication.
- [7] Talmaciu, M.: Decomposition Problems in the Graph Theory with Applications in Combinatorial Optimization - Ph. D. Thesis, University "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi, Romania, (2002).
- [8] Talmaciu, M, Nechita, E.: Recognition Algorithm for diamond-free graphs, INFORMATICA, Vol. 18, No. 3, 457-462, (2007).
- [9] <http://www.graphclasses.org/classes/gc-8.html>.

Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Education Sciences,  
Faculty of Sciences,  
"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacau ,  
157 Calea Marasesti, 600115 Bacau , ROMANIA  
E-mail address: mtalmaciu@ub.ro ; mihaitalmaciu@yahoo.com