

"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Scientific Studies and Research
Series Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 27(2017), No. 1, 5-20

CONTRA m -CONTINUOUS MULTIFUNCTIONS IN
FUZZY SET THEORY

ANJANA BHATTACHARYYA

Abstract. In this paper, a new type of fuzzy multifunction is introduced between a set having minimal structure [15, 16] and a fuzzy topological space (fts, for short) in the sense of Chang [6] which generalizes fuzzy contra continuous multifunction. It is also shown that the image of an m -compact space [15, 16] under this fuzzy multifunction is fuzzy s -closed [20] under certain conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [15, 16], minimal structure (m -structure, for short) on a non-empty set is introduced and in [14], Papageorgiou introduced fuzzy multifunction between an ordinary topological space and an fts. In this paper, we define a fuzzy multifunction between a non-empty set having minimal structure, called an m -space and an fts.

Keywords and phrases: m -compact space, fuzzy regular space, fuzzy δ -closed set, fuzzy θ -closed set, m -frontier of a set.

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40, 54C99

2. PRELIMINARIES

A fuzzy set [21] A is a mapping from a nonempty set Y into the closed interval $I = [0, 1]$, i.e., $A \in I^Y$. The support of a fuzzy set A in Y will be denoted as $suppA$ [21] and is defined by $suppA = \{y \in Y : A(y) \neq 0\}$. A fuzzy point [18] with the singleton support $y \in Y$ and the value t ($0 < t \leq 1$) at y will be denoted by y_t . 0_Y and 1_Y are the constant fuzzy sets taking respectively the constant values 0 and 1 on Y . The complement of a fuzzy set A in Y will be denoted by $1_Y \setminus A$ [21] and is defined by $(1_Y \setminus A)(y) = 1 - A(y)$, for all $y \in Y$. For two fuzzy sets A and B in Y , we write $A \leq B$ iff $A(y) \leq B(y)$, for each $y \in Y$, while we write AqB to mean A is quasi-coincident (q-coincident, for short) with B [18] if there exists $y \in Y$ such that $A(y) + B(y) > 1$; the negation of AqB is written as A /qB . clA and $intA$ of a set A in X (respectively, a fuzzy set A [21] in Y) respectively stand for the closure and interior of A in X (respectively, in Y). A fuzzy set A in Y is called fuzzy regular open [1] (resp., fuzzy semiopen [1], fuzzy β -open [2], fuzzy α -open [5], fuzzy preopen [13]) if $intclA = A$ (resp., $A \leq clintA$, $A \leq clintclA$, $A \leq intclintA$, $A \leq intclA$). The complement of a fuzzy regular open (resp., fuzzy semiopen, fuzzy β -open, fuzzy α -open, fuzzy preopen) set is called fuzzy regular closed [1] (resp., fuzzy semiclosed [1], fuzzy β -closed [2], fuzzy α -closed [5], fuzzy preclosed [13]). The union of all fuzzy semiopen sets contained in a fuzzy set A in Y is called fuzzy semi-interior of A and is denoted by $sintA$ [1]. The intersection of all fuzzy semiclosed (resp., fuzzy β -closed, fuzzy α -closed, fuzzy preclosed) sets containing a fuzzy set A in Y is called a fuzzy semiclosure [1] (resp., fuzzy β -closure [2], fuzzy α -closure [5], fuzzy preclosure [13]) of A and is denoted by $sclA$ (resp., βclA , αclA , $pclA$). A fuzzy set A is fuzzy semiclosed (resp., fuzzy β -closed, fuzzy α -closed, fuzzy preclosed) if $A = sclA$ [1] (resp., $A = \beta clA$ [2], $A = \alpha clA$ [5], $A = pclA$ [13]).

A fuzzy set B is called a quasi-neighbourhood (q-nbd, for short) [18] of a fuzzy set A if there is a fuzzy open set U in Y such that $AqU \leq B$. If, in addition, B is fuzzy regular open, then B is called a fuzzy regular open q-nbd of A . A fuzzy point x_α is said to be a fuzzy δ -cluster point of a fuzzy set A in an fts Y if every fuzzy regular open q-nbd U of x_α is q-coincident with A [7]. The union of all fuzzy δ -cluster points of A is called the fuzzy δ -closure of A and is denoted by δclA [7]. A fuzzy set A is called fuzzy δ -closed if $A = \delta clA$ [7]. The complement of a fuzzy δ -closed set is called fuzzy δ -open [7]. A fuzzy point y_t is called a fuzzy θ -cluster point of a fuzzy set A in Y if $clUqA$ for every fuzzy

open set U in Y with $y_t q U$ [12]. The union of all fuzzy θ -cluster points of A is called fuzzy θ -closure of A , denoted by $\theta cl A$ [12]. A fuzzy set A is called fuzzy θ -closed if $A = \theta cl A$ [12]. The complement of a fuzzy θ -closed set is called fuzzy θ -open [12].

3. SOME WELL KNOWN DEFINITIONS, LEMMAS AND THEOREMS

In this section, we recall some definitions, lemmas and theorems for ready references.

Definition 3.1 [15, 16]. A subfamily m_X of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a non empty set X is called a minimal structure (m -structure, for short) on X if $\emptyset \in m_X$ and $X \in m_X$. (X, m_X) is called an m -space. The members of m_X are called m_X -open (m -open, for short) and the complement of an m_X -open set is called m_X -closed (m -closed, for short).

Definition 3.2 [10]. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. For a subset A of X , the m_X -closure and m_X -interior of A are defined as follows :

$$mClA = \bigcap \{F : F \supset A, X \setminus F \in m_X\}$$

$$mIntA = \bigcup \{U : U \subset A, U \in m_X\}$$

Remark 3.3. From Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2, it is to be noted that for a subset A of (X, m_X) , $mIntA$ may not be m -open as well as $mClA$ may not be m -closed.

Lemma 3.4 [10]. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. For two subsets A, B of X , the following properties hold :

- (i) $mCl(X \setminus A) = X \setminus mIntA$, $mInt(X \setminus A) = X \setminus mClA$,
- (ii) If $X \setminus A \in m_X$, then $mClA = A$ and if $A \in m_X$, then $mIntA = A$,
- (iii) $mCl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $mInt(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $mCl(X) = X$, $mInt(X) = X$,
- (iv) If $A \subset B$, then $mCl(A) \subset mCl(B)$ and $mInt(A) \subset mInt(B)$,
- (v) $A \subset mCl(A)$ and $mInt(A) \subset A$
- (vi) $mCl(mClA) = mClA$ and $mInt(mIntA) = mIntA$.

Lemma 3.5 [15]. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and A , a subset of X . Then $x \in mClA$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in m_X$ containing x .

Definition 3.6 [10]. An m -structure m_X on a non empty set X is said to have property (\mathcal{B}) if the union of every family of subsets belonging to m_X belongs to m_X .

Lemma 3.7 [17]. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space where m_X satisfying the property (\mathcal{B}) . For a subset A of X , the following properties hold :

- (i) $A \in m_X$ iff $mInt(A) = A$
- (ii) A is m -closed iff $mCl(A) = A$
- (iii) $mInt(A) \in m_X$ and $mCl(A)$ is m -closed.

Definition 3.8 [15, 16]. An m -space (X, m_X) is said to be m -compact if every cover of X by m -open sets has a finite subcover.

Definition 3.9 [6]. Let A be a fuzzy set in an fts (Y, τ) . A collection \mathcal{U} of fuzzy sets of Y is called a fuzzy cover of A if $\sup\{U(x) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = 1$ for each $x \in \text{supp}A$. In particular, if $A = I^Y$, we get the definition of fuzzy cover of Y .

Definition 3.10 [6, 8]. A fuzzy cover \mathcal{U} of a fuzzy set A in an fts (Y, τ) is said to have a finite subcover \mathcal{U}_0 if \mathcal{U}_0 is a finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} such that $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0 \geq A$, i.e., \mathcal{U}_0 is also a fuzzy cover of A . In particular, if $A = 1_Y$, we get $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0 = 1_Y$.

Definition 3.11 [20]. An fts (Y, τ) is said to be fuzzy s -closed if every cover of Y by fuzzy regular closed sets has a finite subcover.

Definition 3.12 [1]. An fts (Y, τ) is said to be fuzzy semi-regular if for each fuzzy open set U in Y and each fuzzy point x_α with $x_\alpha q U$, there exists $V \in \tau$ such that $x_\alpha q V \leq \text{int}(clV) \leq U$.

Theorem 3.13 [1]. An fts (Y, τ) is fuzzy semi-regular iff $\delta clA = clA$, for all $A \in I^Y$.

So in a fuzzy semi-regular space, if $A \in \tau^c$, then $A = \delta clA$. Similarly, if $A \in \tau$, then $A = \delta \text{int}A$.

Definition 3.14 [9]. An fts (Y, τ) is said to be fuzzy regular if every fuzzy open set V can be expressed as union of fuzzy open sets U_α 's such that $clU_\alpha \leq V$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 3.15 [19]. An fts (Y, τ) is fuzzy regular iff $clA = \theta clA$, for every $A \in I^Y$.

Definition 3.16 [14]. Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_Y) be respectively an ordinary topological space and an fts. We say that $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fuzzy multifunction if corresponding to each $x \in X$, $F(x)$ is a unique fuzzy set in Y .

Henceforth by $F : X \rightarrow Y$ we shall mean a fuzzy multifunction in the above sense.

Definition 3.17 [14, 11]. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, the upper inverse F^+ and lower inverse F^- are defined as follows : For any fuzzy set A in Y , $F^+(A) = \{x \in X : F(x) \leq A\}$ and $F^-(A) = \{x \in X : F(x)qA\}$.

There is the following relationship between the upper and the lower inverses of a fuzzy multifunction.

Theorem 3.18 [11]. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, we have $F^-(1_Y \setminus A) = X \setminus F^+(A)$, for any fuzzy set A in Y .

4. FUZZY CONTRA m -CONTINUOUS MULTIFUNCTIONS : SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS

In this section we have introduced fuzzy upper and lower contra m -continuous multifunctions between a set having minimal structure and an fts. Several characterizations are made of these fuzzy multifunctions.

Definition 4.1. A fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is called fuzzy

(i) upper contra m -continuous (f.u.c.m.c., for short) at $x \in X$ if for each fuzzy closed set A in Y with $x \in F^+(A)$, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq A$,

(ii) lower contra m -continuous (f.l.c.m.c., for short) at $x \in X$ if for each fuzzy closed set A in Y with $x \in F^-(A)$, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(u)qA$, for all $u \in U$,

(iii) upper (lower) contra m -continuous on X if F has this property at each point of X .

Theorem 4.2. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$, the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) F is f.u.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^+(K) = mInt(F^+(K))$, for all $K \in \tau^c$,
- (iii) $F^-(V) = mCl(F^-(V))$, for all $V \in \tau$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $K \in \tau^c$ and $x \in F^+(K)$. Then $F(x) \leq K$. By (i), there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(U) \leq K$ implies that $U \subseteq F^+(K)$. Since $mInt(F^+(K)) = \bigcup\{G : G \subseteq F^+(K), G \in m_X\}$ and $U \in m_X$ with $U \subseteq F^+(K)$, $x \in U \subseteq mInt(F^+(K))$ implies that $x \in mInt(F^+(K))$ and so $F^+(K) \subseteq mInt(F^+(K))$. Again by Lemma 3.4(v), $mInt(F^+(K)) \subseteq F^+(K)$. Hence $F^+(K) = mInt(F^+(K))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $V \in \tau$. Then $1_Y \setminus V \in \tau^c$. By (ii), $F^+(1_Y \setminus V) = X \setminus F^-(V) = mInt(F^+(1_Y \setminus V)) = X \setminus mCl(F^-(V))$ and so $F^-(V) = mCl(F^-(V))$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Retracing (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), we get the result.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $x \in X$ and $K \in \tau^c$ with $F(x) \leq K$. Then $x \in F^+(K) = mInt(F^+(K)) = \bigcup\{U : U \subseteq F^+(K), U \in m_X\}$ implies that $x \in U$ where $U \in m_X$ and $U \subseteq F^+(K)$ and so $F(U) \leq K$.

Corollary 4.3. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$, where m_X has the property (\mathcal{B}) , the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) F is f.u.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^+(K)$ is m -open for every $K \in \tau^c$,
- (iii) $F^-(V)$ is m -closed for every $V \in \tau$.

Theorem 4.4. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) F is f.l.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^-(K) = mInt(F^-(K))$, for all $K \in \tau^c$,
- (iii) $F^+(V) = mCl(F^+(V))$, for all $V \in \tau$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x \in F^-(K)$ where $K \in \tau^c$. Then $F(x)qK$. By (i), there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(u)qK$, for all $u \in U$ implies that $U \subseteq F^-(K)$ and so $x \in U = mInt(U) \subseteq mInt(F^-(K))$

hence $x \in mInt(F^-(K))$. Consequently, $F^-(K) = mInt(F^-(K))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $K \in \tau$. Then $1_Y \setminus K \in \tau^c$. By (ii), $F^-(1_Y \setminus K) = X \setminus F^+(K) = mInt(F^-(1_Y \setminus K)) = X \setminus mCl(F^+(K))$ implies that $F^+(K) = mCl(F^+(K))$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Retracing (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), we get the result.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $x \in X$ and $K \in \tau^c$ with $F(x)qK$ implies $x \in F^-(K) = mInt(F^-(K)) = \bigcup\{U : U \subseteq F^-(K), U \in m_X\}$ and so $x \in U, U \in m_X$ and $U \subseteq F^-(K)$ and hence $F(u)qK$, for all $u \in U$.

Corollary 4.5. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ where m_X has the property (\mathcal{B}) , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) F is f.l.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^-(K)$ is m -open for every $K \in \tau^c$,
- (iii) $F^+(V)$ is m -closed for every $V \in \tau$.

Definition 4.6. For any fuzzy set A in an fts (Y, τ) , we define $ker(A) = \bigwedge\{U \in \tau : A \leq U\}$.

Lemma 4.7. For any two fuzzy sets A, B in an fts (Y, τ) , the following statements are true :

- (i) $x_\alpha \in ker(A)$ iff AqF for all $F \in \tau^c$ with $x_\alpha qF$,
- (ii) if $A \in \tau$, then $A = ker(A)$,
- (iii) if $A \leq B$, then $ker(A) \leq ker(B)$.

Proof (i). Let $x_\alpha \in ker(A)$. Let $F \in \tau^c$ with $x_\alpha qF$. Then $\alpha > 1 - F(x) \dots$ (1). We have to show that AqF . If not, then $A \leq 1_Y \setminus F$ where $1_Y \setminus F \in \tau$. Then by definition, $x_\alpha \in 1_Y \setminus F$ which implies that $1 - F(x) > \alpha$, which contradicts (1).

Conversely, let AqF for all $F \in \tau^c$ with $x_\alpha qF$. Let $U \in \tau$ with $A \leq U \dots$ (2). We have to show that $x_\alpha \in U$. If not, then $U(x) < \alpha$ implies that $1 - U(x) > 1 - \alpha$ and so $x_\alpha q(1_Y \setminus U)$ where $1_Y \setminus U \in \tau^c$. By hypothesis, $Aq(1_Y \setminus U)$ which implies that there exists $y \in Y$ such that $A(y) > U(y)$, which contradicts (2).

(ii) and (iii) are obvious.

Theorem 4.8. A fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is f.u.c.m.c. on X if $mCl(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(ker(V))$, for all $V \in I^Y$.

Proof. Let $mCl(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(ker(V))$, for all $V \in I^Y$. Let $V \in \tau$. By Lemma 4.7, $mCl(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(V)$ implies that $F^-(V) = mCl(F^-(V))$. By Theorem 4.2, F is f.u.c.m.c. on X .

Theorem 4.9. A fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is f.l.c.m.c. on X if $mCl(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+(ker(V))$, for all $V \in I^Y$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.7.

Theorem 4.10. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ where m_X has the property (\mathcal{B}) and (Y, τ) is fuzzy semi-regular, then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) F is f.u.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^+(\delta cl B)$ is m -open for all $B \in I^Y$,
- (iii) $F^+(K)$ is m -open for all fuzzy δ -closed set K of Y ,
- (iv) $F^-(V)$ is m -closed for all fuzzy δ -open set V of Y .

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $B \in I^Y$. Then $\delta cl B \in \tau^c$ (by Theorem 3.13). By Corollary 4.3, $F^+(\delta cl B)$ is m -open.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let K be fuzzy δ -closed in Y . Then $K = \delta cl K$. By (ii), $F^+(K) = F^+(\delta cl K)$ is m -open.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let V be any fuzzy δ -open set in Y . Then $1_Y \setminus V$ is fuzzy δ -closed in Y . By (iii), $F^+(1_Y \setminus V) = X \setminus F^-(V)$ is m -open in X and so $F^-(V)$ is m -closed in X .

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $V \in \tau$. By Theorem 3.13, V is fuzzy δ -open in Y . By (iv), $F^-(V)$ is m -closed in X and hence by Corollary 4.3, F is f.u.c.m.c. on X .

Theorem 4.11. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ where m_X has the property (\mathcal{B}) and (Y, τ) is fuzzy semi-regular, then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) F is f.l.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^-(\delta cl B)$ is m -open for all $B \in I^Y$,
- (iii) $F^-(K)$ is m -open for all fuzzy δ -closed set K of Y ,

(iv) $F^+(V)$ is m -closed for all fuzzy δ -open set V of Y .

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $B \in I^Y$. Then $\delta cl B \in \tau^c$ (by Theorem 3.13). By Corollary 4.5, $F^-(\delta cl B)$ is m -open.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let K be fuzzy δ -closed in Y . Then $K = \delta cl K$. By (ii), $F^-(K) = F^-(\delta cl K)$ is m -open.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let V be any fuzzy δ -open set in Y . Then $1_Y \setminus V$ is fuzzy δ -closed in Y . By (iii), $F^-(1_Y \setminus V) = X \setminus F^+(V)$ is m -open in X and so $F^+(V)$ is m -closed in X .

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $V \in \tau$. By Theorem 3.13, V is fuzzy δ -open in Y . By (iv), $F^+(V)$ is m -closed in X and hence by Corollary 4.5, F is f.l.c.m.c. on X .

Theorem 4.12. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ where m_X has the property (\mathcal{B}) and (Y, τ) is fuzzy regular, then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) F is f.u. (l.)c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) $F^+(\theta cl B)$ ($F^-(\theta cl B)$) is m -open, for all $B \in I^Y$,
- (iii) $F^+(K)$ ($F^-(K)$) is m -open for every fuzzy θ -closed set K of Y ,
- (iv) $F^-(V)$ ($F^+(V)$) is m -closed for every fuzzy θ -open set V of Y .

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $B \in I^Y$. By Theorem 3.15, $\theta cl A \in \tau^c$. By (i), $F^+(\theta cl A)$ is m -open in X by Corollary 4.3.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let K be fuzzy θ -closed in Y . Then $K = \theta cl K$. By (ii), $F^+(K) = F^+(\theta cl K)$ is m -open in X .

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let V be any fuzzy θ -open set in Y . Then $1_Y \setminus V$ is fuzzy θ -closed in Y . By (iii), $F^+(1_Y \setminus V) = X \setminus F^-(V)$ is m -open in X and so $F^-(V)$ is m -closed in X .

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii). obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $K \in \tau^c$. By Theorem 3.15, $K = cl K = \theta cl K$. By (iii), $F^+(K)$ is m -open in X . By Corollary 4.3, F is f.u.c.m.c. on X .

Similarly we can prove the theorem for f.l.c.m.c. multifunction.

Definition 4.13. A net $\{S_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ in an m -space (X, m_X) with the directed set (D, \geq) as the domain, is said to m -converge to a point $x \in X$ if for each $U \in m_X$ containing x , there exists $m \in D$ such that $S_n \in U$, for all $n \geq m$ ($n \in D$).

Theorem 4.14. A fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is f.u.c.m.c. on X iff for each point $x \in X$, if $\{x_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ is a net in X , m -converges to x , there exists $V \in \tau^c$ with $x \in F^+(V)$, the net is eventually in $F^+(V)$.

Proof. Let $\{x_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ be a net in X , m -converge to $x \in X$ and $V \in \tau^c$ be such that $x \in F^+(V)$. As F is f.u.c.m.c. at $x \in X$, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $U \subseteq F^+(V)$. Since the net m -converges to x and $U \in m_X$ containing x , there exists $m \in D$ such that $x_n \in U$, for all $n \geq m$ ($n \in D$) $\Rightarrow x_n \in F^+(V)$, for all $n \geq m$. Hence the net is eventually in $F^+(V)$.

Conversely, let the given condition hold, but F be not f.u.c.m.c. at some point $x \in X$. Then there exists $V \in \tau^c$ with $x \in F^+(V)$ such that for each $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(x_U) \not\subseteq V$, for some $x_U \in U$. Let (D, \geq) be the directed set consisting of all pairs (x_U, U) with $(x_U, U) \geq (x_V, V)$ iff $U \subseteq V$ ($U, V \in m_X$ containing x) and consider the net $S(x_U, U) = x_U$ in X . Then the net $\{S_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ m -converges to x , but $F(S_n) \not\subseteq V$, i.e., $S_n \notin F^+(V)$, for each $n \in D$, contradicting our hypothesis.

Theorem 4.15. A fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is f.l.c.m.c. on X iff for each point $x \in X$, if $\{S_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ is a net in an m -space (X, m_X) m -converges to x , then for each $V \in \tau^c$ with $F(x)qV$, there exists $m \in D$ such that $F(S_n)qV$, for all $n \geq m$ ($n \in D$).

Proof. Let $\{S_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ be a net in (X, m_X) m -converge to $x \in X$ and $V \in \tau^c$ with $F(x)qV$. Then as F is f.l.c.m.c. at x , there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $U \subseteq F^-(V)$. Since the net m -converges to x and $U \in m_X$ containing x , by Definition 4.13, there exists $m \in D$ such that $S_n \in U$, for all $n \geq m$ ($n \in D$) and so $S_n \subseteq F^-(V)$, for all $n \geq m$ and hence $F(S_n)qV$, for all $n \geq m$.

Conversely assume that the given condition holds, but F is not

f.l.c.m.c. at some point $x \in X$. Then there exists $V \in \tau^c$ with $F(x)qV$ such that for each $U \in m_X$ containing x we have $F(x_U) \not qV$, for some $x_U \in U$. Let (D, \geq) be the directed set consisting of all pairs (x_U, U) with $(x_U, U) \geq (x_W, W)$ iff $U \subseteq W$ ($U, W \in m_X$ containing x and $F(x_U) \not qU, F(x_W) \not qW$) and consider the net $S(x_U, U) = x_U$ in X . Then evidently the net $\{S_n : n \in (D, \geq)\}$ m -converges to x but $F(S_n) \not qV$, for each $n \in D$ contradicting our hypothesis.

5. APPLICATIONS

Definition 5.1. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, $clF : X \rightarrow Y$ [3] (resp., $sclF : X \rightarrow Y$ [3], $pclF : X \rightarrow Y$ [4], $\alpha clF : X \rightarrow Y$ [4], $\beta clF : X \rightarrow Y$) is defined by $(clF)(x) = clF(x)$ (resp., $(sclF)(x) = sclF(x)$, $(pclF)(x) = pclF(x)$, $(\alpha clF)(x) = \alpha clF(x)$, $(\beta clF)(x) = \beta clF(x)$) for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 5.2. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$, the following statements are true :

- (i) $(clF)^-(U) = F^-(U)$, for all $U \in \tau$,
- (ii) $(clF)^+(U) = F^+(U)$, for all $U \in \tau^c$.

Proof (i). Let $U \in \tau$ and $x \in (clF)^-(U)$. Then $(clF)(x)qU \dots$ (1). We claim that $F(x)qU$. If not, then $F(x) \not qU$ where $U \in \tau$ implies that $(clF)(x) \not qU$, which contradicts (1). Hence $F(x)qU$ and so $x \in F^-(U)$ and then $(clF)^-(U) \subseteq F^-(U)$. Obviously, $F^-(U) \subseteq (clF)^-(U)$. So $F^-(U) = (clF)^-(U)$.

(ii). Let $x \in (clF)^+(U)$ for $U \in \tau^c$. Then $(clF)(x) \leq U$ and so $F(x) \leq U$ implies that $x \in F^+(U)$ which implies that $(clF)^+(U) \subseteq F^+(U)$.

Conversely, let $y \in F^+(U)$. Then $F(y) \leq U$ and then $clF(y) \leq clU = U$ which implies that $y \in (clF)^+(U)$ and hence $F^+(U) \subseteq (clF)^+(U)$. Consequently, $(clF)^+(U) = F^+(U)$, for $U \in \tau^c$.

In a similar manner we can easily state the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$, the following statements are true :

- (i) $(G)^-(U) = F^-(U)$, for each $U \in \tau$,
 - (ii) $(G)^+(U) = F^+(U)$, for each $U \in \tau^c$,
- where G stands for $sclF, pclF, \alpha clF, \beta clF$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be a fuzzy multifunction where m_X has the property (\mathcal{B}) . Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) F is f.u.c.m.c. on X ,
- (ii) G is f.u.c.m.c. on X where G stands for $clF, scF, pclF, \alpha clF, \beta clF$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $K \in \tau^c$. By Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, $G^+(K) = F^+(K)$. By Corollary 4.3, $F^+(K)$ is m -open and so $G^+(K)$ is m -open. Hence G is f.u.c.m.c. on X .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $K \in \tau^c$. By Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, $F^+(K) = G^+(K)$. As G is f.u.c.m.c. on X , by Corollary 4.3, $G^+(K)$ is m -open in X and so $F^+(K)$ is m -open in X . Hence F is f.u.c.m.c. on X .

Theorem 5.5. Let $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be f.u.c.m.c. surjective multifunction and $F(x)$ is fuzzy s -closed for each $x \in X$. If (X, m_X) is m -compact, then (Y, τ) is fuzzy s -closed.

Proof. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a fuzzy cover of Y by fuzzy regular closed sets of Y . Now for each $x \in X$, $F(x)$ is fuzzy s -closed in Y and so there exists a finite subset Λ_x of Λ such that $F(x) \leq \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_x\}$. Let $A_x = \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_x\}$. Then A_x being fuzzy regular closed in Y is fuzzy closed in Y with $F(x) \leq A_x$. As F is f.u.c.m.c. surjective multifunction, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(U_x) \leq A_x$. Then $\{U_x : x \in X\}$ is an m -open cover of X , As X is m -compact, there exist finitely many members x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of X such that $X = \bigcup\{U_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

As F is surjective, $1_Y = F(X) = F(\bigcup\{U_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\})$
 $= \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(U_{x_i}) \leq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{x_i} \leq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{x_i}} A_\alpha$. Hence Y is fuzzy s -closed.

Definition 5.6 [17]. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and A , a subset of X . Then m_X -frontier of A , denoted by $mFr(A)$, is defined by $mFr(A) = mClA \setminus mIntA$.

Theorem 5.7. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and (Y, τ) be an fts and $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be a fuzzy multifunction. Let $A = \{x \in X : F \text{ is not f.u.(l.)c.m.c. at } x\}$, $B = \bigcup\{mFr(F^+(K)) : F(x) \leq K, K \in \tau^c\}$ (resp. $B = \bigcup\{mFr(F^-(K)) : F(x) \geq K, K \in \tau^c\}$). Then $A = B$.

Proof. Let $x \in A$. Then F is not f.u.c.m.c. at x . Then there exists $K \in \tau^c$ with $F(x) \leq K$, but for all $U \in m_X$ containing x , $U \not\subseteq F^+(K)$. Then $X \setminus U \not\subseteq X \setminus F^+(K)$ implies that $U \cap (X \setminus F^+(K)) \neq \emptyset$ and so $x \in mCl(X \setminus F^+(K)) = X \setminus mInt(F^+(K))$ and hence $x \notin mInt(F^+(K))$. Now as $x \in F^+(K)$, $x \in mCl(F^+(K))$ and so $x \in mFr(F^+(K))$, where $F(x) \leq K, K \in \tau^c$ and so $x \in B$ and consequently $A \subseteq B$.

Conversely, let $x \notin A$. Then F is f.u.c.m.c. at x . Then for any $K \in \tau^c$ containing $F(x)$, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $F(U) \leq K$ implies $U \subseteq F^+(K)$. Since $U \in m_X, x \in U = mIntU \subseteq mInt(F^+(K))$ implies that $x \notin mFr(F^+(K))$ and hence $x \notin B$. Contrapositively, $x \in B$ implies that $x \in A$ and so $B \subseteq A$. Combing these two, we get $A = B$. Similarly we can prove the theorem for f.l.c.m.c. multifunction.

Definition 5.8 [3]. For a fuzzy multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, the fuzzy graph multifunction $G_F : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ of F is defined as $G_F(x) =$ the fuzzy set $x_1 \times F(x)$ of $X \times Y$ where x_1 is the fuzzy set in X whose value is 1 at $x \in X$ and 0 at other points of X . We shall write $\{x\} \times F(x)$ for $x_1 \times F(x)$.

Lemma 5.9 [3]. The following hold for a fuzzy multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$:

- (a) $(G_F)^+(A \times B) = A \cap F^+(B)$ and
 (b) $(G_F)^-(A \times B) = A \cap F^-(B)$ for every subset A of X and every $B \in I^Y$.

Theorem 5.10. Let X be product related to Y . Then a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is f.l.c.m.c. on X if $G_F : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ is so.

Proof. Let G_F be f.l.c.m.c. on X . Let $x \in X$ and $V \in \tau^c$ with $F(x)qV$. Then there exists $y \in Y$ such that $[F(x)](y) + V(y) > 1$. Now $1_X \times V$ is closed in $X \times Y$ such that $\{x\} \times F(x)(x, y) + (1_X \times V)(x, y) > 1$ and so $G_F(x)q(1_X \times V)$. By hypothesis there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $U \subseteq (G_F)^-(1_X \times V) = 1_X \cap F^-(V) = F^-(V)$ which shows that F is f.l.c.m.c. on X .

Theorem 5.11. Let X be product related to Y . Then a fuzzy multifunction $F : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is f.u.c.m.c. on X if $G_F : X \rightarrow X \times Y$ is so.

Proof. Let G_F be f.u.c.m.c. on X . Let $x \in X$ and $V \in \tau^c$ with $F(x) \leq V$. Then $G_F(x) \leq 1_X \times V$ and $1_X \times V$ is closed in $X \times Y$. By hypothesis, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $G_F(U) \leq 1_X \times V$. Now for any $z \in U$ and for any $y \in Y$, $[F(z)](y) = [G_F(z)](z, y) \leq (1_X \times V)(z, y) = V(y)$ implies that $[F(z)](y) \leq V(y)$, for all $y \in Y$ and so $F(Z) \leq V$, for all $z \in U$. Hence $F(U) \leq V$ implies that F is f.u.c.m.c. on X .

REFERENCES

- [1] Azad, K.K., On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 14-32.
- [2] Balasubramanian, G., On fuzzy β -compact spaces and fuzzy β -extremally disconnected space, *Kybernetika*, **33** (3) (1997), 271-277.
- [3] Bhattacharyya, Anjana, Concerning almost quasi continuous fuzzy multifunctions, *Stud. Cerc. St. Ser.Mat.Univ.Bacău*, Nr. **11** (2001), 35-48.

- [4] Bhattacharyya, Anjana, Fuzzy γ -continuous multifunction, *Int. Jour. Adv. Res. Sci. Eng.*, **4** (02) (2015), 195-209.
- [5] Bin Shahna, A.S., On fuzzy strong semicontinuity and fuzzy precontinuity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **44** (1991), 303-308.
- [6] Chang, C.L., Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **24** (1968), 182-190.
- [7] Ganguly, S. and Saha, S., A note on δ -continuity and δ -connected sets in fuzzy set theory, *Simon Stevin*, **62** (1988), 127-141.
- [8] Ganguly, S. and Saha, S., A note on compactness in fuzzy setting, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **34** (1990), 117-124.
- [9] Hutton, B. and Reilly, I., Separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **3** (1980), 93-104.
- [10] Maki, H., Rao, K.C. and Gani, A. Nagoor, On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **49** (1999), 17-29.
- [11] Mukherjee, M.N. and Malakar, S., On almost continuous and weakly continuous fuzzy multifunctions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **41** (1991), 113-125.
- [12] Mukherjee, M.N. and Sinha, S.P., Fuzzy θ -closure operator on fuzzy topological spaces, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **14** (2) (1991), 309-314.
- [13] Nanda, S., Strongly compact fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **42** (1991), 259-262.
- [14] Papageorgiou, N.S., Fuzzy topology and fuzzy multifunctions, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **109** (1985), 397-425.
- [15] Popa, V. and Noiri, T., On M -continuous functions, *Annal. Univ. "Dunărea de Jos"*, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec-Teor., Fasc. II, **18** (23) (2000), 31-41.
- [16] Popa, V. and Noiri, T., On m -continuous multifunctions, *Bul. Șt. Univ. Politehn. Timișoara, Ser. Mat. Fiz.*, **46** (60), 2 (2001), 1-12.
- [17] Popa, V. and Noiri, T., A unified theory of weak-continuity for functions, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **51** (2) (2002), 439-464.
- [18] Pu, Pao Ming and Liu, Ying Ming, Fuzzy topology I. Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **76** (1980), 571-599.
- [19] Sinha, S.P., Ph.D. Thesis, *University of Calcutta*, (1990).
- [20] Sinha, S.P. and Malakar, S., On s -closed fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, **2** (1) (1994), 95-103.
- [21] Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy Sets, *Inform. Control*, **8** (1965), 338-353.

Victoria Institution (College),
 Department of Mathematics,
 78B, A.P.C. Road,
 Kolkata-700009, India
 e-mail: anjanabhattacharyya@hotmail.com