

"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Scientific Studies and Research
Series Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 27(2017), No. 1, 119-128

PRESIC TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR MAPS IN PARTIAL b -METRIC-LIKE SPACES

K.P.R.RAO , M.IMDAD AND E.T.RAMUDU

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a Presic type fixed point theorem for two pairs of jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible maps in partial b -metric-like spaces. We also give an example to illustrate our main theorem. We obtain three corollaries, for three and two maps respectively, which are variations of theorems from the papers [1, 2] and [8].

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

One of the generalizations of Banach contraction principle, for mappings $f : X^k \rightarrow X$ with X a complete metric space, was given by S.B.Presic [6] in 1965.

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{R}^+ , \mathcal{N} and k denote the set of all non-negative real numbers, the set of all positive integers and a positive integer respectively.

Keywords and phrases: Complete metric spaces, Presic type theorem, Jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible mappings.

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

Theorem 1.1 (6). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X^k \rightarrow X$. Suppose that*

$$d(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k q_i d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

holds for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} \in X$, where $q_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \in [0, 1)$.

Then f has a unique fixed point x^ . Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ converges to x^* .*

Later Ciric and Presic [3] generalized the above theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.2. ([3]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X^k \rightarrow X$. Suppose that*

$$d(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), f(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{d(x_i, x_{i+1})\}$$

holds for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}$ in X , where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$.

Then f has a fixed point $x^ \in X$. Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$ converges to x^* .*

Moreover, if $d(f(u, u, \dots, u), f(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(u, v)$ holds for all $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$, then x^ is the unique fixed point of f .*

Recently Rao et al.[1, 2] obtained some Presic type theorems for two and three maps in metric spaces. Now we give the following definition of [1, 2].

Definition 1.3. *Let X be a non empty set and $T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$. The pair (f, T) is said to be $2k$ -weakly compatible if $f(T(x, x, \dots, x, x)) = T(fx, fx, \dots, fx, fx)$ whenever $x \in X$ such that $fx = T(x, x, \dots, x, x)$.*

Using this definition, Rao et al. [1] proved the following

Theorem 1.4. ([1]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X, f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying*

$$(1.4.1) \quad d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{2k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2k} \{d(fx_i, fx_{i+1})\}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}$ in X ,

$$(1.4.2) \quad d(T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k}), S(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{2k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2k} \{d(fy_i, fy_{i+1})\}$$

for all $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}$ in X , where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

$$(1.4.3) \quad d(S(u, \dots, u), T(v, \dots, v)) < d(fu, fv), \text{ for all } u, v \in X \text{ with}$$

$u \neq v$

(1.4.4) Suppose that $f(X)$ is complete and either (f, S) or (f, T) is a $2k$ - weakly compatible pair.

Then there exists a unique point $p \in X$ such that $fp = p = S(p, \dots, p) = T(p, \dots, p)$.

Very recently Nazir and Abbas [8] obtained the following Presic type theorem for a pair of maps in partial metric spaces as follows

Theorem 1.5. ([8]). Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space. Suppose that $f, g : X^k \rightarrow X$ are two mappings satisfying

$$(1.5.1) \quad p(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), g(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{p(x_i, x_{i+1})\}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}$ in X , where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$.

Then f has a unique fixed point x^* . Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, converges to x^* .

We observed that the conclusion is not clear and the proof given by Nazir and Abbas [8] to the Theorem is not correct. They wrongly used the condition (1.3.1)(Here (1.5.1)) three times in page 53 of [8] (see the line 5 from 4th line, line 12 from line 11 and line 19 from line 18 from the above).

In this paper, we obtain a Presic type theorem for four mappings satisfying a slight different contractive condition in partial b -metric-like spaces. We also give an example and three corollaries to our main theorem. One of our corollary is a probable modification of main theorem of [8](Theorem 1.5 of this section).

Recently Khan et al.[5] introduced partial b -metric-like spaces by combining the concepts of b -metric-like spaces given by Alghamdi [4] and partial metric spaces given by Mathews [7].

Now we recall some basic definitions and remarks which play a crucial role in the theory of partial b -metric-like spaces.

Definition 1.6. ([5]) A partial b -metric-like on a nonempty set X is a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$ and a constant $s \geq 1$ the following are satisfied:

$$(p_1) \quad p(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y,$$

$$(p_2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y),$$

$$(p_3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x),$$

$$(p_4) \quad p(x, y) \leq s[p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)].$$

The triad (X, p, s) is called a partial b -metric-like space .

Definition 1.7. Let (X, p, s) be a partial b -metric-like space.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ if
$$p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n).$$
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence in X if
$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$$
 exists and is finite.
- (iii) X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to a point $x \in X$ such that
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m).$$

Remark 1.8. Let (X, p, s) be a partial b -metric-like space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = 0$. Then

- (i) x is unique,
- (ii) $\frac{1}{s} p(x, y) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, y) \leq s p(x, y)$ for all $y \in X$, whenever the limit exists,
- (iii) $p(x_n, x_0) \leq s p(x_0, x_1) + s^2 p(x_1, x_2) + \dots + s^{n-1} p(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + s^n p(x_{n-1}, x_n).$

Now, we introduce the definition of jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible pairs.

Definition 1.9. Let X be a nonempty set, k a positive integer, $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings. Then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are said to be jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible if $f(S(x, x, \dots, x)) = S(fx, fx, \dots, fx)$ and $g(T(x, x, \dots, x)) = T(gx, gx, \dots, gx)$ whenever there exists $x \in X$ such that $fx = S(x, x, \dots, x)$ and $gx = T(x, x, \dots, x)$.

Remark 1.10. If the two pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are $2k$ -weakly compatible then the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible. But the converse need not be true in view of the following example.

Example 1.11. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $k = 1$. Define $S(x, y) = \frac{3x^2+2y}{72}$, $T(x, y) = \frac{2x+3y^2}{72}$, $fx = \frac{x}{6}$, $gx = \frac{x^2}{4}$ for all $x, y \in X$. The pair (g, T) is not 2-weakly compatible since $T(x, x) = gx$ implies $x = 0, \frac{2}{15}$ and $g(T(\frac{2}{15}, \frac{2}{15})) \neq T(g\frac{2}{15}, g\frac{2}{15})$. But the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are jointly 2-weakly compatible.

Now we present our main result.

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. . Let (X, p, s) be a complete partial b -metric-like space with $s \geq 1$ and $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings

satisfying

$$(2.1.1) \quad S(X^{2k}) \subseteq g(X), \quad T(X^{2k}) \subseteq f(X),$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & p(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})) \\ & \leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(gx_1, fy_1), p(fx_2, gy_2), p(gx_3, fy_3), p(fx_4, gy_4), \dots, \\ p(gx_{2k-1}, fy_{2k-1}), p(fx_{2k}, gy_{2k}) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k} \in X$ and $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^{2k}})$,

(2.1.3) (f, S) and (g, T) are jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible pairs.

(2.1.4) Suppose $z = fu = gu$ for some $u \in X$ whenever there exists a sequence $\{y_{2k+n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2k+n} = z \in X$.

Then z is the unique point in X such that $z = fz = gz = S(z, z, \dots, z) = T(z, z, \dots, z)$.

Proof. Suppose x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} are arbitrary points in X .

From (2.1.1), define

$$\begin{aligned} y_{2k+2n-1} &= S(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-2}) = gx_{2k+2n-1} \\ y_{2k+2n} &= T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-1}) = fx_{2k+2n} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2n} &= p(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), \\ \alpha_{2n-1} &= p(gx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2k}}$ and $\mu = \max\{\frac{\alpha_1}{\theta}, \frac{\alpha_2}{\theta^2}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_{2k}}{\theta^{2k}}\}$.

Then $0 < \theta < 1$ and by the selection of μ we have

(1) $\alpha_n \leq \mu\theta^n$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \alpha_{2k+1} &= p(gx_{2k+1}, fx_{2k+2}) \\ &= p(S(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}), T(x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})) \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(gx_1, fx_2), p(fx_2, gx_3), p(gx_3, fx_4), \\ p(fx_4, gx_5), \dots, p(gx_{2k-1}, fx_{2k}), (fx_{2k}, gx_{2k+1}) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lambda \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_{2k-1}, \alpha_{2k}\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{\mu\theta, \mu\theta^2, \mu\theta^3, \mu\theta^4, \dots, \mu\theta^{2k-1}, \mu\theta^{2k}\} \text{ from (1)} \\ &= \lambda\mu\theta = \theta^{2k}\mu\theta \\ &= \mu\theta^{2k+1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \alpha_{2k+2} &= p(fx_{2k+2}, gx_{2k+3}) \\ &= p(S(x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}), T(x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})) \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(gx_3, fx_2), p(fx_4, gx_3), p(gx_5, fx_4), \\ p(fx_6, gx_5), \dots, p(gx_{2k+1}, fx_{2k}), p(fx_{2k+2}, gx_{2k+1}) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lambda \max\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \dots, \alpha_{2k}, \alpha_{2k+1}\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{\mu\theta^2, \mu\theta^3, \mu\theta^4, \mu\theta^5, \dots, \mu\theta^{2k}, \mu\theta^{2k+1}\} \text{ from (1), (2)} \\ &= \lambda\mu\theta^2 = \theta^{2k}\mu\theta^2 \\ &= \mu\theta^{2k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, by induction, we get

$$(4) \alpha_n \leq \mu\theta^n \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} (5) & p(y_{2k+2n-1}, y_{2k+2n}) \\ &= p(S(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-3}, x_{2k+2n-2}), \\ & T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-2}, x_{2k+2n-1})) \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{p(gx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}), p(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), \dots, p(fx_{2k+2n-2}, gx_{2k+2n-1})\} \\ &= \lambda \max\{\alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}, \alpha_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+2}, \dots, \alpha_{2k+2n-3}, \alpha_{2k+2n-2}\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{\mu\theta^{2n-1}, \mu\theta^{2n}, \mu\theta^{2n+1}, \mu\theta^{2n+2}, \dots, \mu\theta^{2k+2n-3}, \mu\theta^{2k+2n-2}\}, \\ &\text{from (4)} \\ &= \lambda\mu\theta^{2n-1} = \theta^{2k}\mu\theta^{2n-1} \\ &= \mu\theta^{2k+2n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} (6) & p(y_{2k+2n}, y_{2k+2n+1}) = p(S(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-1}, x_{2k+2n}), \\ & T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-2}, x_{2k+2n-1})) \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{p(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}), p(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}), \dots, p(fx_{2k+2n}, gx_{2k+2n-1})\} \\ &= \lambda \max\{\alpha_{2n}, \alpha_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+2}, \alpha_{2n+3}, \dots, \alpha_{2k+2n-2}, \alpha_{2k+2n-1}\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{\mu\theta^{2n}, \mu\theta^{2n+1}, \mu\theta^{2n+2}, \mu\theta^{2n+3}, \dots, \mu\theta^{2k+2n-2}, \mu\theta^{2k+2n-1}\}, \\ &\text{from (4)} \\ &= \lambda\mu\theta^{2n} = \theta^{2k}\mu\theta^{2n} \\ &= \mu\theta^{2k+2n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (5) and (6) we have

$$(7) p(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+n+1}) \leq \mu\theta^{2k+n} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Now from $m > n$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} (8) & p(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+m}) \leq s p(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+n+1}) + s^2 p(y_{2k+n+1}, y_{2k+n+2}) + \dots \\ & + s^{m-n-1} p(y_{2k+m-1}, y_{2k+m}) \\ & \leq s \mu\theta^{2k+n} + s^2 \mu\theta^{2k+n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n-1} \mu\theta^{2k+m-1} \\ & \text{from (7)} \leq \mu[(s\theta)^{2k+n} + (s\theta)^{2k+n+1} + \dots + (s\theta)^{2k+m-1}], \text{ since } s \geq 1 \\ & \leq \mu(s\theta)^{2k} \frac{(s\theta)^n}{1-s\theta}, \text{ since } s\theta = s\lambda^{\frac{1}{2k}} < s\lambda^{\frac{1}{s}} = 1 \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{y_{2k+n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p, s) . Since (X, p, s) is complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$p(z, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{2k+n}, z) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+m}).$$

From (8), we have

$$(9) p(z, z) = 0$$

From (2.1.4), there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$(10) z = fu = gu$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} (11) & p(S(u, u, \dots, u, u), y_{2k+2n}) \\ &= p(S(u, u, \dots, u), T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-3})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \lambda \max\{p(gu, fx_{2n}), p(fu, gx_{2n+1}), \dots, p(gu, fx_{2k+2n-2}), p(fu, gx_{2k+2n-1})\}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (9),(10)and Remark 1.8(ii) ,we get

$$\frac{1}{s} p(S(u, u, \dots, u, u), fu) \leq 0 \text{ so that } fu = S(u, u, \dots, u, u)$$

Similarly we have

$$(12) \quad gu = T(u, u, \dots, u, u)$$

Since (f, S) and (g, T) are jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible pairs, we have

$$(13) \quad fz = f(fu) = f(S(u, u, \dots, u, u)) = S(fu, fu, \dots, fu, fu) = S(z, z, \dots, z, z)$$

and

$$(14) \quad gz = T(z, z, \dots, z, z)$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} p(fz, z) &= p(fz, gu) \\ &= p(S(z, z, z, z, \dots, z, z), T(u, u, u, u, \dots, u, u)), \text{ from (13),(12)} \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{p(gz, fu), p(fz, gu), \dots, p(gz, fu), p(fz, gu)\} \\ &= \lambda \max\{p(gz, z), d(fz, z)\}, \text{ from (10)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $p(gz, z) \leq \lambda \max\{p(gz, z), p(fz, z)\}$.

Thus we have $\max\{p(fz, z), d(gz, z)\} \leq \lambda \max\{p(fz, z), p(gz, z)\}$ which in turn yields that $z = fz = gz$.

From (13) and (14), it follows that

$$(15) \quad z = fz = gz = S(z, z, \dots, z, z) = T(z, z, \dots, z, z)$$

Suppose there exists $z' \in X$ such that

$$z' = fz' = gz' = S(z', z', \dots, z') = T(z', z', \dots, z').$$

Then from (2.1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, z') &= p(S(z, z, \dots, z), T(z', z', \dots, z')) \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{p(gz, fz'), p(fz, gz'), \dots, p(gz, fz'), p(fz, gz')\} = \lambda p(z, z') \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $z' = z$.

Thus z is unique point in X satisfying (15).

Now we give an example to illustrate our main Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$, $p(x, y) = \max\{x^2, y^2\}$ and $k = 1$. Then (X, p, s) is a complete partial b -metric-like space with $s = 2$. Define $S(x, y) = [\frac{3x^2+2y}{72}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $T(x, y) = [\frac{2x+3y^2}{72}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $fx = [\frac{x}{6}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $gx = \frac{x}{2}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p(S(x_1, x_2), T(y_1, y_2)) \\
 &= \max\left\{\frac{3x_1^2+2x_2}{72}, \frac{2y_1+3y_2^2}{72}\right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{72}[\max\{3x_1^2, 2y_1\} + \max\{2x_2, 3y_2^2\}] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{36}\max\{\max\{3x_1^2, 2y_1\}, \max\{2x_2, 3y_2^2\}\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}\max\{\max\{\frac{x_1^2}{4}, \frac{y_1}{6}\}, \max\{\frac{x_2}{6}, \frac{y_2^2}{4}\}\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}\max\{p(gx_1, fy_1), p(fx_2, gy_2)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition (2.1.2) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. One can easily verify the remaining conditions of Theorem 2.1. Clearly, 0 is the unique point in X such that $0 = f0 = g0 = S(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) = T(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$.

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, p, s) be a partial b -metric-like space with $s \geq 1$ and $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(2.3.1) \quad S(X^{2k}) \subseteq f(X), \quad T(X^{2k}) \subseteq f(X),$$

$$(2.3.2) \quad p(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2k} \{p(fx_i, fy_i)\} \text{ for all } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k} \in X$$

$$\text{and } \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^{2k}}),$$

$$(2.3.3) \quad f(X) \text{ is a complete subspace of } X,$$

$$(2.3.4) \quad \text{the pairs } (f, S) \text{ or } (f, T) \text{ is } 2k\text{-weakly compatible.}$$

Then there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z = fz = S(z, z, \dots, z, z) = T(z, z, \dots, z, z)$.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, p, s) be a complete partial b -metric-like space with $s \geq 1$ and $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(2.4.1) \quad p(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k-1}, y_{2k})) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2k} \{p(x_i, y_i)\} \text{ for all } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k} \in X \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^{2k}})$$

Then there exists a unique $u \in X$ such that

$$u = S(u, u, \dots, u, u) = T(u, u, \dots, u, u).$$

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, p, s) be a partial b -metric-like space with $s \geq 1$ and $S : X^k \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping satisfying

$$(2.5.1) \quad S(X^k) \subseteq f(X),$$

$$(2.5.2) \quad p(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k), S(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k)) \leq \lambda \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{p(fx_i, fy_i)\} \text{ for all } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in X$$

$$\text{and } \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^k}),$$

$$(2.5.3) \quad f(X) \text{ is a complete subspace of } X,$$

$$(2.5.4) \quad \text{the pair } (f, S) \text{ is } k\text{-weakly compatible.}$$

Then there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z = fz = S(z, z, \dots, z, z)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.P.R.Rao, G.N.V.Kishore and Md.Mustaq Ali, **Generalization of Banach contraction principle of Presic type for three maps**, Math.Sci. 3(3), 2009, 273 - 280.
- [2] K.P.R.Rao, Md.Mustaq Ali and B.Fisher, **Some Presic type generalization of Banach contraction principle**, Math.Moravica 15(2011), 41 - 47.
- [3] Lj.B.Ciric and S.B.Presic, **On Presic type generalization of Banach contraction mapping principle**, Acta.Math.Univ.Comenianae, LXXVI (2), (2007), 143-147.
- [4] M.Alghamdi, N.Ali Hussain and P.Salimi, **Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on b -metric-like spaces**, J.Inequalities and Applications, 2013, (2013), 402, 25 pages.
- [5] M.S.Khan, K.P.R.Rao and K.V.S.Parvathi, **A unique common coupled fixed point theorem for four maps in partial b -metric-like spaces**, SQU Journal for Science, 19(2), (2015), 55-61.
- [6] S.B.Presic, **Sur une classe d' inequations aux differences finite et sur la convergence de certaines suites**, Pub de l' inst. Math. Belgrade, 5(19), (1965), 75-78.
- [7] S.G.Matthews , **Partial metric topology**, in **Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications** 1994. vol. 728, pp. 183-197, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1994.
- [8] Talat Nazir and Mujahid Abbas, **Common fixed point of Presic type contraction mappings in partial metric spaces**, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and optimization, 5(1), (2014), 49 - 55.

Department of Mathematics,
Acharya Nagarjuna University,
Nagarjuna Nagar -522 510, A.P., India.
email: kpr Rao2004@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics,
Aligarh Muslim University ,
Aligarh-202 002, Uttar Pradesh, India.
email: mhimdad@yahoo.co.in

Department of Science and Humanities,
Nova College of Engineering and Technology,
Jupudi-521 456, Krishna Dt., Andhra Pradesh, India.
email: tarakaramudu32@gmail.com