

”Vasile Alecsandri” University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Scientific Studies and Research
Series Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 28(2018), No. 1, 61-84

SOME FORMS OF (1, 2)-CONTINUITY IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

TAKASHI NOIRI AND VALERIU POPA

Abstract In this paper, by using mg -closed sets [35] and M -continuity [41] in m -spaces, we obtain the unified definitions and properties for $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuity, (1,2)-semi-continuity, (1,2)-precontinuity, (1,2)- α -continuity, and (1,2)-semi-precontinuity in bitopological spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Semi-open sets, preopen sets, α -open sets and β -open sets play an important role in the researching of generalizations of continuity of functions and multifunctions in topological spaces and bitopological spaces. The notions of quasi-open sets [12], [46] or $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets [45] in bitopological spaces are introduced and investigated. The notions of quasi-continuity or $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuity, (1, 2)-semi-open sets and (1, 2)-semi-continuity, (1, 2)-preopen sets and (1, 2)-precontinuity, (1, 2)-semi-preopen sets and (1, 2)-semi-precontinuity or (1, 2)- β -continuity are introduced in [12], [46] [18], [31], [19], [4], [44].

In [41] and [42], the present authors introduced and studied the notions of minimal structures, m -spaces and M -continuity.

Keywords and phrases: m -structure, mg -closed set, M -continuity, $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuity, bitopological space.

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54C08, 54E55.

The concept of generalized closed sets in topological spaces was introduced by Levine [21]. The notion of g -continuity is introduced and studied in [6], [7], [31] and other papers. Quite recently, Noiri [35] has introduced the notion of mg -closed sets. Recently, the present authors [9], [38] reduced the study of $(1,2)^*$ -continuity between bitopological spaces to the study of m -continuity and M -continuity between topological spaces.

In the present paper we reduce the study of $(1, 2)$ -continuity between bitopological spaces to the study of M -continuity between m -spaces. Properties of M -continuity are obtained in [41].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A a subset of X . The closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$, respectively. We recall some generalized open sets in topological spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be

- (1) α -open [34] if $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A)))$,
- (2) semi-open [20] if $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$,
- (3) preopen [26] if $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$,
- (4) β -open [1] or semi-preopen [3] if $A \subset \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$,

The family of all α -open (resp. semi-open, preopen, β -open) sets in (X, τ) is denoted by $\alpha(X)$ (resp. $\text{SO}(X)$, $\text{PO}(X)$, $\beta(X)$ or $\text{SPO}(X)$).

Definition 2.2. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be α -closed [28] (resp. semi-closed [10], preclosed [26], β -closed [1] or semi-preclosed [3]) if the complement of A is α -open (resp. semi-open, preopen, β -open).

Definition 2.3. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A a subset of X . The intersection of all α -closed (resp. semi-closed, preclosed, β -closed) sets of X containing A is called the α -closure [28] (resp. semi-closure [10], preclosure [13], β -closure [2] or semi-preclosure [3]) of A and is denoted by $\alpha\text{Cl}(A)$ (resp. $\text{sCl}(A)$, $\text{pCl}(A)$, $\beta\text{Cl}(A)$ or $\text{spCl}(A)$).

Definition 2.4. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A a subset of X . The union of all α -open (resp. semi-open, preopen, β -open) sets of X contained in A is called the α -interior [28] (resp. semi-interior [10], preinterior [13], β -interior [2] or semi-preinterior [3]) of A and is denoted by $\alpha\text{Int}(A)$ (resp. $\text{sInt}(A)$, $\text{pInt}(A)$, $\beta\text{Int}(A)$ or $\text{spInt}(A)$).

Throughout the present paper, (X, τ) and (Y, σ) always denote topological spaces and (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) always denote bitopological spaces.

3. MINIMAL STRUCTURES AND m -CONTINUITY

Definition 3.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the power set of X . A subfamily m_X of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a *minimal structure* (briefly *m -structure*) on X [41], [42] if $\emptyset \in m_X$ and $X \in m_X$.

By (X, m_X) , we denote a nonempty set X with an m -structure m_X on X and call it an m -space. Each member of m_X is said to be m_X -open (briefly *m -open*) and the complement of an m_X -open set is said to be m_X -closed (briefly *m -closed*).

Remark 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. The families $\alpha(X)$, $\text{SO}(X)$, $\text{PO}(X)$, and $\beta(X)$ are all m -structures on X .

Definition 3.2. Let X be a nonempty set and m_X an m -structure on X . For a subset A of X , the *m -closure* of A and the *m -interior* of A are defined in [25] as follows:

- (1) $\text{mCl}(A) = \cap\{F : A \subset F, X - F \in m_X\}$,
- (2) $\text{mInt}(A) = \cup\{U : U \subset A, U \in m_X\}$.

Remark 3.2. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A a subset of X . If $m_X = \tau$ (resp. $\text{SO}(X)$, $\text{PO}(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, $\beta(X)$), then we have

- (1) $\text{mCl}(A) = \text{Cl}(A)$ (resp. $\text{sCl}(A)$, $\text{pCl}(A)$, $\alpha\text{Cl}(A)$, $\beta\text{Cl}(A)$ or $\text{spCl}(A)$),
- (2) $\text{mInt}(A) = \text{Int}(A)$ (resp. $\text{sInt}(A)$, $\text{pInt}(A)$, $\alpha\text{Int}(A)$, $\beta\text{Int}(A)$ or $\text{spInt}(A)$).

Lemma 3.1. (Maki et al. [25]). *Let X be a nonempty set and m_X a minimal structure on X . For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:*

- (1) $\text{mCl}(X - A) = X - \text{mInt}(A)$ and $\text{mInt}(X - A) = X - \text{mCl}(A)$,
- (2) If $(X - A) \in m_X$, then $\text{mCl}(A) = A$ and if $A \in m_X$, then $\text{mInt}(A) = A$,
- (3) $\text{mCl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\text{mCl}(X) = X$, $\text{mInt}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $\text{mInt}(X) = X$,
- (4) If $A \subset B$, then $\text{mCl}(A) \subset \text{mCl}(B)$ and $\text{mInt}(A) \subset \text{mInt}(B)$,
- (5) $A \subset \text{mCl}(A)$ and $\text{mInt}(A) \subset A$,
- (6) $\text{mCl}(\text{mCl}(A)) = \text{mCl}(A)$ and $\text{mInt}(\text{mInt}(A)) = \text{mInt}(A)$.

Lemma 3.2. (Popa and Noiri [41]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and A a subset of X . Then $x \in \text{mCl}(A)$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in m_X$ containing x .*

Definition 3.3. An m -structure m_X on a nonempty set X is said to have *property \mathcal{B}* [25] if the union of any family of subsets belong to m_X belongs to m_X .

Remark 3.3. If (X, τ) is a topological space, then $\text{SO}(X)$, $\text{PO}(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, and $\beta(X)$ have property \mathcal{B} ,

Lemma 3.3. (Popa and Noiri [43]). *Let X be a nonempty set and m_X an m -structure on X satisfying property \mathcal{B} . For a subset A of X , the following properties hold:*

- (1) $A \in m_X$ if and only if $\text{mInt}(A) = A$,
- (2) A is m_X -closed if and only if $\text{mCl}(A) = A$,
- (3) $\text{mInt}(A) \in m_X$ and $\text{mCl}(A)$ is m_X -closed.

Definition 3.4. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be *quasi-open* [12], [46] or $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open [17] if $A = B \cup C$, where $B \in \tau_1$ and $C \in \tau_2$.

The complement of a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set is said to be $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed. The intersection of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets containing a subset A of X is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A)$. The union of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets contained in A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -interior of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{Int}(A)$. The family of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets of (X, τ_1, τ_2) is denoted by $(1,2)\text{O}(X)$.

Definition 3.5. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. A subset A of X is said to be

- (1) $(1,2)$ -semi-open [17] if $A \subset \tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(\tau_1\text{Int}(A))$,
- (2) $(1,2)$ -preopen [17] if $A \subset \tau_1\text{Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A))$,
- (3) $(1,2)$ - α -open [17] if $A \subset \tau_1\text{Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(\tau_1\text{Int}(A)))$,
- (4) $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen [19], [44] if $A \subset \tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(\tau_1\text{Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A)))$.

The complement of a $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp. $(1,2)$ -preopen, $(1,2)$ - α -open, $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) set is said to be $(1,2)$ -semi-closed (resp. $(1,2)$ -preclosed, $(1,2)$ - α -closed, $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed). The collection of all $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp. $(1,2)$ -preopen, $(1,2)$ - α -open, $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) sets of (X, τ_1, τ_2) is denoted by $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$).

Remark 3.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space.

(1) The families $(1,2)\text{O}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, and $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$ are all minimal structures on X having property \mathcal{B} .

(2) We denote by $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ minimal structures on X determined

by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then, if $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(X)$, $(1,2)PO(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, and $(1,2)SPO(X)$), then we have
 $(1,2)mCl(A) = \tau_1\tau_2Cl(A)$ (resp. $(1,2)sCl(A)$, $(1,2)pCl(A)$, $(1,2)\alpha Cl(A)$, $(1,2)spCl(A)$),
 $(1,2)mInt(A) = \tau_1\tau_2Int(A)$ (resp. $(1,2)sInt(A)$, $(1,2)pInt(A)$, $(1,2)\alpha Int(A)$, $(1,2)spInt(A)$),

By (1) and Lemma 3.3, we have the following properties:

- (i) A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-closed, $(1,2)$ -preclosed, $(1,2)$ - α -closed, $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed) if and only if $A = \tau_1\tau_2Cl(A)$ (resp. $A = (1,2)sCl(A)$, $A = (1,2)pCl(A)$, $A = (1,2)\alpha Cl(A)$, $A = (1,2)spCl(A)$),
- (ii) A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-open, $(1,2)$ -preopen, $(1,2)$ - α -open, $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) if and only if $A = \tau_1\tau_2Int(A)$ (resp. $A = (1,2)sInt(A)$, $A = (1,2)pInt(A)$, $A = (1,2)\alpha Int(A)$, $A = (1,2)spInt(A)$).
- (3) By using Lemma 3.1, we obtain the relations between $(1,2)mCl(A)$ and $(1,2)mInt(A)$.
- (4) By Lemma 3.2, we obtain the result of Lemma 8(iii) of [4].

4. mg -CLOSED SETS IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 4.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be

- (1) g -closed [21] if $Cl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in \tau$,
- (2) $g\alpha$ -closed [24] if $\alpha Cl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in \alpha(X)$,
- (3) sg -closed [5] if $sCl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in SO(X)$,
- (4) pg -closed [36] if $pCl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in PO(X)$,
- (5) spg -closed [35] if $spCl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in SPO(X)$,

Definition 4.2. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. A subset A of X is said to be mg -closed [35] if $mCl(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in m_X$.

The complement of an mg -closed set is said to be mg -open. The collection of mg -open sets in (X, m_X) is denoted by $mGO(X)$. The $mGO(X)$ is a minimal structure on X .

Remark 4.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and m_X an m -structure on X . If $m_X = \tau$ (resp. $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, $SPO(X)$), then, an mg -closed set is a g -closed (resp. sg -closed, pg -closed, $g\alpha$ -closed, spg -closed) set.

Definition 4.3. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. A subset A of X is said to be

- (1) $(1,2)$ - g -closed [19] if $\tau_1\tau_2\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)\text{O}(X)$,
- (2) $(1,2)$ - sg -closed [19] if $(1,2)\text{sCl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)\text{SO}(X)$,
- (3) $(1,2)$ - pg -closed [19] if $(1,2)\text{pCl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)\text{PO}(X)$,
- (4) $(1,2)$ - αg -closed [19] if $(1,2)\alpha\text{Cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)\alpha(X)$,
- (5) $(1,2)$ - spg -closed [19], [33] if $(1,2)\text{spCl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and $U \in (1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$,

Definition 4.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . A subset A of X is said to be $(1,2)$ - mg -closed if A is mg -closed in $(X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X))$.

A subset A of X is said to be $(1,2)$ - mg -open if $X - A$ is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed. The collection of all $(1,2)$ - g -open (resp. $(1,2)$ - sg -open, $(1,2)$ - pg -open, $(1,2)$ - αg -open, $(1,2)$ - spg -open) sets of X is denoted by $(1,2)\text{GO}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{SGO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{PGO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha\text{GO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SPGO}(X)$).

Remark 4.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space.

(1) Let $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$), then an mg -closed set is $(1,2)$ - g -closed (resp. $(1,2)$ - sg -closed, $(1,2)$ - pg -closed, $(1,2)$ - αg -closed, $(1,2)$ - spg -closed).

(2) $(1,2)\text{GO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SGO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{PGO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha\text{GO}(X)$ and $(1,2)\text{SPGO}(X)$ are minimal structures on X . But they do not always satisfy property \mathcal{B} (see Example 22 of [45]).

Lemma 4.1. (Noiri [35]). Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:

- (1) If A is m -closed, then A is mg -closed,
- (2) If m_X has property \mathcal{B} and A is mg -closed and m -open, then A is m -closed,
- (3) If A is mg -closed and $A \subset B \subset m\text{Cl}(A)$, then B is mg -closed.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:

- (1) If A is $(1,2)$ - m -closed, then A is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed,

(2) If $(1,2)mO(X)$ has property \mathcal{B} and A is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed and $(1,2)$ - m -open, then A is $(1,2)$ - m -closed,

(3) If A is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed and $A \subset B \subset (1,2)mCl(A)$, then B is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed.

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 4.1. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. For subsets A, B of X , the following properties hold:*

(1) *If A is $(1,2)$ - sp -closed, then A is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed,*

(2) *If A is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed and $(1,2)$ - sp -open, then A is $(1,2)$ - sp -closed,*

(3) *If A is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed and $A \subset B \subset (1,2)spCl(A)$, then B is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed.*

Lemma 4.2. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. Then for each $x \in X$, either $\{x\}$ is m -closed or $\{x\}$ is mg -open.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then for each $x \in X$, either $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)$ - m -closed or $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)$ - mg -open.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.2.

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then for each $x \in X$, either $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)$ - sp -closed or $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)$ - spg -open.*

Lemma 4.3. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. A subset A of X is mg -open if and only if $F \subset mInt(A)$ whenever $F \subset A$ and F is m -closed.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . A subset A of X is $(1,2)$ - mg -open if and only if $F \subset (1,2)mInt(A)$ whenever $F \subset A$ and F is $(1,2)$ - m -closed.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.3.

Corollary 4.3. *A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - spg -open if and only if $F \subset (1,2)spInt(A)$ whenever $F \subset A$ and F is $(1,2)$ - sp -closed.*

Lemma 4.4. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:*

(1) *If A is m -open, then A is mg -open,*

(2) *If m_X has property \mathcal{B} and A is mg -open and m -closed, then A is m -open,*

(3) *If A is mg -open and $mInt(A) \subset B \subset A$, then B is mg -open.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If A is $(1,2)$ - m -open, then A is $(1,2)$ - mg -open,*
- (2) *If $(1,2)mO(X)$ has property \mathcal{B} and A is $(1,2)$ - mg -open and $(1,2)$ - m -closed, then A is $(1,2)$ - m -open,*
- (3) *If A is $(1,2)$ - mg -open and $(1,2)m\text{Int}(A) \subset B \subset A$, then B is $(1,2)$ - mg -open.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.4.

Corollary 4.4. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If A is $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen, then A is $(1,2)$ - spg -open,*
- (2) *If A is $(1,2)$ - spg -open and $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed, then A is $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen,*
- (3) *If A is $(1,2)$ - spg -open and $(1,2)sp\text{Int}(A) \subset B \subset A$, then B is $(1,2)$ - spg -open.*

Lemma 4.5. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space, where m_X has property \mathcal{B} . Then, for a subset A of X , the following properties are equivalent.*

- (1) *A is mg -closed;*
- (2) *$m\text{Cl}(A) - A$ does not contain any nonempty m -closed set;*
- (3) *$m\text{Cl}(A) - A$ is mg -open.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 having property \mathcal{B} . Then, for a subset A of X , the following properties are equivalent.*

- (1) *A is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed;*
- (2) *$(1,2)m\text{Cl}(A) - A$ does not contain any nonempty $(1,2)$ - m -closed set;*
- (3) *$(1,2)m\text{Cl}(A) - A$ is $(1,2)$ - mg -open.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.

Corollary 4.5. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then, for a subset A of X , the following properties are equivalent.*

- (1) *A is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed;*
- (2) *$(1,2)sp\text{Cl}(A) - A$ does not contain any nonempty $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed set;*
- (3) *$(1,2)sp\text{Cl}(A) - A$ is $(1,2)$ - spg -open.*

Remark 4.3. Corollary 4.5(2) is an improvement of Theorem 4.8 of [19].

Lemma 4.6. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space. A subset A of X is mg -closed if and only if $mCl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$ whenever $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and F is m -closed.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then, a subset A of X is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed if and only if $(1,2)mCl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$ whenever $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and F is $(1,2)$ - m -closed.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.6.

Corollary 4.6. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then, A is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed if and only if $(1,2)spCl(A) \cap F = \emptyset$ whenever $A \cap F = \emptyset$ and F is $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed.*

Lemma 4.7. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space, where m_X have property \mathcal{B} . A subset A of X is mg -closed if and only if $mCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in mCl(A)$.*

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X with property \mathcal{B} determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then, a subset A of X is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed if and only if $(1,2)mCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in (1,2)mCl(A)$.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.7.

Corollary 4.7. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then, a subset A of X is $(1,2)$ - spg -closed if and only if $(1,2)spCl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in (1,2)spCl(A)$.*

Definition 4.5. A subset A of an m -space (X, m_X) is said to be *locally m -closed* [35] if $A = U \cap F$, where $U \in m_X$ and F is m -closed.

Remark 4.4. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $m_X = \tau$ (resp. $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, $SPO(X)$), then a locally m -closed set is locally closed [14] (resp. semi-locally closed [47], locally pre-closed [35], α -locally closed [15], β -locally closed [16]).

Lemma 4.8. *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and m_X have property \mathcal{B} . For a subset A of X , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) A is locally m -closed;
- (2) $A = U \cap mCl(A)$ for some $U \in m_X$;
- (3) $mCl(A) - A$ is m -closed;
- (4) $A \cup (X - mCl(A)) \in m_X$;
- (5) $A \subset mInt[A \cup (X - mCl(A))]$.

Definition 4.6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . A subset A of X is said to be *locally $(1,2)$ - m -closed* if A is locally m -closed in $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$.

Hence A is locally $(1,2)$ - m -closed if $A = U \cap F$, where $U \in (1,2)mO(X)$ and F is $(1,2)$ - m -closed.

Remark 4.5. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(X)$, $(1,2)PO(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)SPO(X)$). If a subset A of X is locally $(1,2)$ - m -closed, then A is locally $(1,2)$ -closed (resp. locally $(1,2)$ -semi-closed, locally $(1,2)$ -preclosed, locally $(1,2)$ - α -closed, locally $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed).

Theorem 4.8. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X with property \mathcal{B} determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then, for a subset A of X , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) A is locally $(1,2)$ - m -closed;
- (2) $A = U \cap (1,2)mCl(A)$ for some $U \in (1,2)mO(X)$;
- (3) $(1,2)mCl(A) - A$ is $(1,2)$ - m -closed;
- (4) $A \cup [X - (1,2)mCl(A)] \in (1,2)mO(X)$;
- (5) $A \subset (1,2)mInt[A \cup (X - (1,2)mCl(A))]$.

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.8.

Corollary 4.8. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. Then, for a subset A of X , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) A is locally $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed;
- (2) $A = U \cap (1,2)spCl(A)$ for some $U \in (1,2)SPO(X)$;
- (3) $(1,2)spCl(A) - A$ is $(1,2)$ -semi-preclosed;
- (4) $A \cup [X - (1,2)spCl(A)] \in (1,2)SPO(X)$;
- (5) $A \subset (1,2)spInt[A \cup (X - (1,2)spCl(A))]$.

Lemma 4.9. (Noiri [35]). *Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and m_X have property \mathcal{B} . Then a subset A of X is m -closed if and only if A is mg -closed and locally m -closed.*

Theorem 4.9. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X with property \mathcal{B} determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then, a subset A of X is $(1,2)$ - m -closed if and only if A is $(1,2)$ - mg -closed and locally $(1,2)$ - m -closed.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 4.4 and Lemma 4.9

Corollary 4.9. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and A a subset of X . Then,*

- (1) A is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed if and only if it is (1,2)- g -closed and locally (1,2)-closed,
- (2) A is (1,2)-semi-closed if and only if it is (1,2)- sg -closed and locally (1,2)-semi-closed,
- (3) A is (1,2)-preclosed if and only if it is (1,2)- pg -closed and locally (1,2)-preclosed,
- (4) A is (1,2)- α -closed if and only if it is (1,2)- αg -closed and locally (1,2)- α -closed,
- (5) A is (1,2)-semi-preclosed if and only if it is (1,2)- spg -closed and locally (1,2)-semi-preclosed.

5. M -CONTINUITY IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 5.1. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to be M -continuous at a point $x \in X$ [41] if for each $V \in m_Y$ containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to be M -continuous if it has this property at each point $x \in X$.

Remark 5.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space.

(1) If $m_X = \tau$ (resp. $SO(X)$, $PO(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, $SPO(X)$) and $m_Y = \sigma$ and f is M -continuous, then $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is continuous (resp. semi-continuous [20], precontinuous [26], α -continuous [28], semi-precontinuous [3] or β -continuous [1]).

(2) If $m_X = SO(X)$ (resp. $PO(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, $SPO(X)$ or $\beta(Y)$) and $m_Y = SO(Y)$ (resp. $PO(Y)$, $\alpha(Y)$, $SPO(Y)$ or $\beta(Y)$) and f is M -continuous, then $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is irresolute [11] (resp. pre-irresolute [27], α -irresolute [23], β -irresolute [29]).

(3) If $m_X = \tau$ and $m_Y = SO(Y)$ (resp. $\alpha(Y)$, $\beta(Y)$) and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is M -continuous, then f is s -continuous [8] (resp. strongly α -irresolute [22], strongly β -irresolute [32]).

(4) If $m_X = SO(X)$ and $m_Y = \alpha(Y)$ and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is M -continuous, then f is strongly semi-continuous [40].

Theorem 5.1. (Noiri and Popa [39]). For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is M -continuous at $x \in X$;
- (2) $x \in m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$ for every $V \in m_Y$ containing $f(x)$;
- (3) $x \in f^{-1}(m\text{Cl}(f(A)))$ for every subset A of X with $x \in m\text{Cl}(A)$;
- (4) $x \in f^{-1}(m\text{Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y with $x \in m\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$;
- (5) $x \in m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y with $x \in$

$f^{-1}(\text{mInt}(B));$

(6) $x \in f^{-1}(K)$ for every m_Y -closed set K of Y such that $x \in \text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K))$.

For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, we define $D_M(f)$ as follows:

$$D_M(f) = \{x \in X : f \text{ is not } M\text{-continuous at } x\}.$$

Theorem 5.2. (Noiri and Popa [39]). *For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, the following properties hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_M(f) &= \bigcup_{G \in m_Y} \{f^{-1}(G) - \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(G))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{f^{-1}(\text{mInt}(B)) - \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(B)) - f^{-1}(\text{mCl}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{\text{mCl}(A) - f^{-1}(\text{mCl}(f(A)))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{F}} \{\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K)) - f^{-1}(K)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{F} is the family of m_Y -closed sets of Y .

Lemma 5.1. (Popa and Noiri [41]). *For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) f is M -continuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) = \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(V))$ for every $V \in m_Y$;
- (3) $f^{-1}(K) = \text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K))$ for every m -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $f(\text{mCl}(A)) \subset \text{mCl}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (5) $\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{mCl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (6) $f^{-1}(\text{mInt}(B)) \subset \text{mInt}(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Corollary 5.1. (Popa and Noiri [41]). *For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, where m_X has property \mathcal{B} , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) f is M -continuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V)$ is m -open for every $V \in m_Y$;
- (3) $f^{-1}(K)$ is m -closed in X for every m -closed set K of Y .

Definition 5.2. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to be M^* -continuous [30] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is m -open in X for each m -open set V of Y .

Remark 5.2. (1) If $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is M^* -continuous, then it is M -continuous. By Example 3.4 of [30], every M -continuous function is not always M^* -continuous.

(2) If m_X has property \mathcal{B} , then M -continuity and M^* -continuity are equivalent.

Definition 5.3. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to be mg -continuous if $f : (X, \text{mGO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is M^* -continuous.

Hence $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is mg -continuous if $f^{-1}(K)$ is mg -closed in X for each m -closed set of Y .

Definition 5.4. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to be *locally mc-continuous* if $f^{-1}(K)$ is locally m -closed in X for each m -closed set K of Y .

Theorem 5.3. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, where m_X has property \mathcal{B} , is M -continuous if and only if f is mg -continuous and locally mc -continuous.

Proof. The proof follows from Definitions 5.3 and 5.4 and Lemma 4.9.

Definition 5.5. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to be *contra M^* -continuous* if $f^{-1}(K)$ is m -closed in X for each m -open set K of Y .

Theorem 5.4. If a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$, where m_X has property \mathcal{B} , is mg -continuous and contra M^* -continuous, then f is M -continuous.

Proof. Let V be any m -open set of Y . Since f is mg -continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is mg -open. Since f is contra M^* -continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is m -closed. By Lemma 4.4, $f^{-1}(V)$ is m -open. Then, by Corollary 5.1 f is M -continuous.

6. M -CONTINUITY IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 6.1. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous [18] or *quasi-continuous* [46] (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous, $(1,2)$ -precontinuous, $(1,2)$ - α -continuous, $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous or $(1,2)$ - β -continuous) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-open, $(1,2)$ -preopen, $(1,2)$ - α -open, $(1,2)$ -semi-preopen) in X for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y .

Definition 6.2. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute [37] (resp. $(1,2)$ -preirresolute [18], [19], $(1,2)$ - α -irresolute [18], $(1,2)$ - β -irresolute [18]) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp. $(1,2)$ -preopen, $(1,2)$ - α -open, $(1,2)$ - β -open) in X for each $(1,2)$ -semi-open (resp. $(1,2)$ -preopen, $(1,2)$ - α -open, $(1,2)$ - β -open) set V of Y .

Definition 6.3. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be $(1,2)$ - M -continuous (resp. $(1,2)$ - M^* -continuous) if f :

$(X, (1, 2)\text{mO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1, 2)\text{mO}(Y))$ is M -continuous (resp. M^* -continuous), where $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$ (resp. $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y)$) is a minimal structure on X (resp. Y) determined by τ_1 and τ_2 (resp. σ_1 and σ_2),

Remark 6.1. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space.

(1) Since $(1, 2)\text{O}(X)$ (resp. $(1, 2)\text{SO}(X)$, $(1, 2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1, 2)\alpha(X)$, $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$) has property \mathcal{B} , if $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X) = (1, 2)\text{O}(X)$ (resp. $(1, 2)\text{SO}(X)$, $(1, 2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1, 2)\alpha(X)$, $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$) and $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1, 2)\text{O}(Y)$, then by Definition 6.3 we obtain Definition 6.1.

(2) If $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X) = (1, 2)\text{SO}(X)$ (resp. $(1, 2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1, 2)\alpha(X)$, $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$) and $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1, 2)\text{SO}(Y)$ (resp. $(1, 2)\text{PO}(Y)$, $(1, 2)\alpha(Y)$, $(1, 2)\text{SPO}(Y)$), then by Definition 6.3 we obtain Definition 6.2.

By Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.1, we obtain the following theorem and corollary.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces and $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$ and $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y)$ m -spaces as in Definition 6.3. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) f is $(1, 2)$ - M -continuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) = (1, 2)\text{mInt}(f^{-1}(V))$ for every $(1, 2)$ - m -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $f^{-1}(K) = (1, 2)\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(K))$ for every $(1, 2)$ - m -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $(1, 2)\text{mCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{mCl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $f((1, 2)\text{mCl}(A)) \subset (1, 2)\text{mCl}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (6) $f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{mInt}(B)) \subset (1, 2)\text{mInt}(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;

Corollary 6.1. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces and $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$ and $(1, 2)\text{mO}(Y)$ m -spaces as in Definition 6.3, where $(1, 2)\text{mO}(X)$ has property \mathcal{B} , then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) f is $(1, 2)$ - M -continuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V)$ is $(1, 2)$ - m -open in X for every $(1, 2)$ - m -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $f^{-1}(K)$ is $(1, 2)$ - m -closed in X for every $(1, 2)$ - m -closed set K of Y .

Theorem 6.2. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is (1,2)-semi-precontinuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) \in (1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$ for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y ;
- (3) $f^{-1}(K)$ is (1,2)-semi-preclosed for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $(1, 2)\text{spCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $f((1, 2)\text{spCl}(A)) \subset \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{Cl}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (6) $f^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{Int}(B)) \subset (1, 2)\text{spInt}(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;

Theorem 6.3. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is (1,2)-semi-preirresolute;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) \in (1, 2)\text{SPO}(X)$ for each (1,2)-semi-preopen set of Y ;
- (3) $f^{-1}(K)$ is (1,2)-semi-preclosed for each (1,2)-semi-preclosed set K of Y ;
- (4) $(1, 2)\text{spCl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{spCl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $f((1, 2)\text{spCl}(A)) \subset (1, 2)\text{spCl}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (6) $f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{spInt}(B)) \subset (1, 2)\text{spInt}(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;

For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, we define $D_{(1,2)M}(f)$ as follows:

$$D_{(1,2)M}(f) = \{x \in X : f \text{ is not } (1,2)\text{-}M\text{-continuous at } x\}.$$

Theorem 6.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces and $(1, 2)mO(X)$ and $(1, 2)mO(Y)$ m -spaces as in Definition 6.3. Then, for a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties hold:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(1,2)M}(f) &= \bigcup_{G \in (1,2)O(Y)} \{f^{-1}(G) - (1, 2)m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(G))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{f^{-1}((1, 2)\text{Int}(B)) - (1, 2)m\text{Int}(f^{-1}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{(1, 2)m\text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B)) - f^{-1}((1, 2)m\text{Cl}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{(1, 2)m\text{Cl}(A) - f^{-1}((1, 2)m\text{Cl}(f(A)))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.4. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be (1,2)- g -continuous (resp. (1,2)- sg -continuous, (1,2)- pg -continuous, (1,2)- αg -continuous, (1,2)- spg -continuous) if the inverse image of a $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed (resp. (1,2)- s -closed, (1,2)- p -closed, (1,2)- α -closed, (1,2)- sp -closed) set of Y is (1,2)- g -closed (resp. (1,2)- sg -closed, (1,2)- pg -closed, (1,2)- αg -closed, (1,2)- spg -closed) set of X .

Definition 6.5. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be *(1,2)-mg-continuous* if $f : (X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)\text{mO}(Y))$ is *(1,2)-mg-continuous*, where $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$) is a minimal structure on X (resp. Y) determined by τ_1 and τ_2 (resp. σ_1 and σ_2) as in Definition 6.3,

Hence a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is *(1,2)-mg-continuous* if $f^{-1}(K)$ is *(1,2)-mg-closed* in X for each *(1,2)-m-closed* set K of Y .

Remark 6.2. If $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$) and $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1,2)\text{O}(Y)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{SO}(Y)$, $(1,2)\text{PO}(Y)$, $(1,2)\alpha(Y)$, $(1,2)\text{SPO}(Y)$), then by Definition 6.5, we obtain Definition 6.4.

Definition 6.6. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be *locally mc-continuous* if $f : (X, (1,2)\text{mO}(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)\text{mO}(Y))$ is locally *mc-continuous*, where $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$) is a minimal structure on X (resp. Y) determined by τ_1 and τ_2 (resp. σ_1 and σ_2) as in Definition 6.3.

Hence a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is locally *(1,2)-mc-continuous* if $f^{-1}(K)$ is locally *(1,2)-m-closed* in X for each *(1,2)-m-closed* set K of Y .

Remark 6.3. If $(1,2)\text{mO}(X) = (1,2)\text{O}(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{SO}(X)$, $(1,2)\text{PO}(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)\text{SPO}(X)$) and $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y) = (1,2)\text{O}(Y)$ (resp. $(1,2)\text{SO}(Y)$, $(1,2)\text{PO}(Y)$, $(1,2)\alpha(Y)$, $(1,2)\text{SPO}(Y)$) and f is locally *(1,2)-m-continuous*, then f is locally *(1,2)-c-continuous* (resp. locally *(1,2)-sc-continuous*, locally *(1,2)-pc-continuous*, locally *(1,2)- α c-continuous*, locally *(1,2)-spc-continuous*).

By Definitions 5.5 and 5.6 and Theorem 4.9, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.5. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces, $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ and $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$ m -spaces as in Definition 6.3 and $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ have property \mathcal{B} . Then a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is *(1,2)-M-continuous* if and only if f is *(1,2)-mg-continuous* and locally *(1,2)-mc-continuous*.*

Corollary 6.2. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces, $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ and $(1,2)\text{mO}(Y)$ m -spaces as in Definition 6.3 and $(1,2)\text{mO}(X)$ have property \mathcal{B} . Then for a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties hold:*

- (1) f is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous if and only if f is *(1,2)-g-continuous* and

locally (1,2)-c-continuous,

(2) f is (1,2)-semi-continuous if and only if f is (1,2)-sg-continuous and locally (1,2)-sc-continuous,

(3) f is (1,2)-precontinuous if and only if f is (1,2)-pg-continuous and locally (1,2)-pc-continuous,

(4) f is (1,2)- α -continuous if and only if f is (1,2)- α g-continuous and locally (1,2)- α c-continuous,

(5) f is (1,2)-sp-continuous if and only if f is (1,2)-spg-continuous and locally (1,2)-spc-continuous.

Definition 6.7. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be bitopological spaces, $(1,2)mO(X)$ and $(1,2)mO(Y)$ m -spaces as in Definition 6.3. Then a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be *contra (1,2)- M^* -continuous* if $f : (X, (1,2)mO(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)mO(Y))$ is contra M^* -continuous.

Hence f is contra (1,2)- M^* -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is (1,2)- m -closed in X for each (1,2)- m -open set V of Y .

Remark 6.4. If $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(X)$, $(1,2)PO(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)SPO(X)$) and $(1,2)mO(Y) = (1,2)O(Y)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(Y)$, $(1,2)PO(Y)$, $(1,2)\alpha(Y)$, $(1,2)SPO(Y)$) and f is contra (1,2)- M^* -continuous, then f is contra $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp. contra (1,2)-semi-continuous, contra (1,2)-precontinuous, contra (1,2)- α -continuous, contra (1,2)-semi-precontinuous).

By Definition 4.7 and Theorem 5.4, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.6. *If a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is (1,2)-mg-continuous and contra (1,2)- M^* -continuous, then it is (1,2)- M -continuous.*

Corollary 6.3. *For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties hold:*

(1) *If f is (1,2)-g-continuous and contra (1,2)-continuous, then it is $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous,*

(2) *If f is (1,2)-sg-continuous and contra (1,2)-semi-continuous, then it is (1,2)-semi-continuous,*

(3) *If f is (1,2)-pg-continuous and contra (1,2)-precontinuous, then it is (1,2)-precontinuous,*

(4) *If f is (1,2)- α g-continuous and contra (1,2)- α -continuous, then it is (1,2)- α -continuous,*

(5) *If f is (1,2)-spg-continuous and contra (1,2)-semi-precontinuous, then it is (1,2)-semi-precontinuous.*

7. PROPERTIES OF M -CONTINUITY IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

We can obtain some properties of $(1,2)$ -continuity by using the results of M -continuity established in [41].

Definition 7.1. An m -space (X, m_X) is said to be mT_2 [41] if for any distinct points x, y of X , there exist $U, V \in m_X$ such that $x \in U, y \in V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition 7.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 . Then the space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(1,2)$ - mT_2 if $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$ is mT_2 .

Remark 7.1. If $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(X)$, $(1,2)PO(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)SPO(X)$) and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - mT_2 , then X is ultra- T_2 (resp. ultra semi- T_2 , ultra pre- T_2 , ultra α - T_2 , ultra semi-pre- T_2 [18]).

Lemma 7.1. (Popa and Noiri [41]). *If $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is an M -continuous injection and (Y, m_Y) is mT_2 , then (X, m_X) is mT_2 .*

Theorem 7.1. *If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)$ - M -continuous injection and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is $(1,2)$ - mT_2 , then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - mT_2 .*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 7.2 and Lemma 7.1.

Corollary 7.1. *If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous, $(1,2)$ -precontinuous, $(1,2)$ - α -continuous, $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous) injection and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is ultra- T_2 , then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is ultra- T_2 (resp. ultra semi- T_2 , ultra pre- T_2 , ultra α - T_2 , ultra semi-pre- T_2).*

Corollary 7.2. *If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute (resp. $(1,2)$ -preirresolute, $(1,2)$ - α -irresolute, $(1,2)$ -semi-preirresolute) injection and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is ultra semi- T_2 (resp. ultra pre- T_2 , ultra α - T_2 , ultra semi-pre- T_2), then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is ultra semi- T_2 (resp. ultra pre- T_2 , ultra α - T_2 , ultra semi-pre- T_2).*

Definition 7.3. Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and K a subset of X .

(1) K is said to be m -compact [41] if every cover of K by sets of m_X has a finite subcover,

(2) (X, m_X) is said to be m -compact [41] if the subset X is m -compact.

Definition 7.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ a minimal structure on X determined by τ_1 and τ_2 .

(1) The space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(1,2)$ - m -compact if $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$ is m -compact,

(2) A subset K is said to be $(1,2)$ - m -compact if K is m -compact in $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$.

Remark 7.2. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. If $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(X)$, $(1,2)PO(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)SPO(X)$) and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - m -compact, then X is $(1,2)$ -compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-compact, $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact).

Lemma 7.2. (Popa and Noiri [41]). *Let $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ be an M -continuous function. then the following properties hold:*

(1) *If K is an m -compact set of X , then $f(K)$ is m -compact in Y ,*

(2) *If f is surjective and (X, m_X) is m -compact, then (Y, m_Y) is m -compact.*

Theorem 7.2. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function and $(1,2)mO(X)$ be defined as in Definition 6.3. If f is a $(1,2)$ - M -continuous, the following properties hold:*

(1) *If K is a $(1,2)$ - m -compact set of (X, τ_1, τ_2) , then $f(K)$ is a $(1,2)$ - m -compact set of (Y, σ_1, σ_2) ,*

(2) *If f is surjective and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - m -compact, then (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is $(1,2)$ - m -compact.*

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 7.4 and Lemma 7.2.

Corollary 7.3. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous, $(1,2)$ -precontinuous, $(1,2)$ - α -continuous, $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous) function. Then the following properties hold:*

(1) *If K is a $(1,2)$ - m -compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-compact, $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact) set of X , then $f(K)$ is a $(1,2)$ -compact set of Y ,*

(2) *If f is surjective and X is $(1,2)$ -compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-compact, $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact), then Y is $(1,2)$ -compact.*

Corollary 7.4. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute (resp. $(1,2)$ -preirresolute, $(1,2)$ - α -irresolute, $(1,2)$ -semi-preirresolute) function. Then the following properties hold:*

(1) If K is a $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact) set of X , then $f(K)$ is a $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact) set of Y ,

(2) If f is surjective and X is $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact), then Y is $(1,2)$ -semi-compact (resp. $(1,2)$ -precompact, $(1,2)$ - α -compact, $(1,2)$ -semi-precompact).

Definition 7.5. An m -space (X, m_X) is said to be m -connected [41] if X cannot be written as the union of two nonempty disjoint m -open sets of X .

Definition 7.6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and $(1,2)mO(X)$ as in Definition 6.3. Then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(1,2)$ - m -connected if $(X, (1,2)mO(X))$ is m -connected.

Remark 7.3. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space. If $(1,2)mO(X) = (1,2)O(X)$ (resp. $(1,2)SO(X)$, $(1,2)PO(X)$, $(1,2)\alpha(X)$, $(1,2)SPO(X)$) and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - m -connected, then X is $(1,2)$ -connected (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-connected, $(1,2)$ -preconnected, $(1,2)$ - α -connected, $(1,2)$ -semi-preconnected).

Lemma 7.3. (Popa and Noiri [41]). Let (X, m_X) be an m -space and m_X have property \mathcal{B} . If $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is an M -continuous surjection and (X, m_X) is m -connected, then (Y, m_Y) is m -connected.

Theorem 7.3. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function and $(1,2)mO(X)$ be defined as in Definition 6.3, where $(1,2)mO(X)$ has property \mathcal{B} . If f is a $(1,2)$ - M -continuous surjection and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ - m -connected, then (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is $(1,2)$ - m -connected.

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 7.6 and Lemma 7.3.

Corollary 7.5. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -continuous (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-continuous, $(1,2)$ -precontinuous, $(1,2)$ - α -continuous, $(1,2)$ -semi-precontinuous) surjection and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ -connected (resp. $(1,2)$ -semi-connected, $(1,2)$ -preconnected, $(1,2)$ - α -connected, $(1,2)$ -semi-preconnected), then (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is $(1,2)$ -connected.

Corollary 7.6. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a $(1,2)$ -semi-irresolute (resp. $(1,2)$ -preirresolute, $(1,2)$ - α -irresolute, $(1,2)$ -semi-preirresolute) surjection and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(1,2)$ -semi-connected (resp. $(1,2)$ -preconnected, $(1,2)$ - α -connected, $(1,2)$ -semi-preconnected), then

(Y, σ_1, σ_2) is (1,2)-semi-connected (resp. (1,2)-preconnected, (1,2)- α -connected, (1,2)-semi-preconnected).

Definition 7.7. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ is said to have a *strongly m-closed graph* (resp. *m-closed graph*) [41] if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$, there exist $U \in m_X$ containing x and $V \in m_Y$ containing y such that $[U \times mCl(V)] \cap G(f) = \emptyset$ (resp. $[U \times V] \cap G(f) = \emptyset$).

Definition 7.8. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function and (1,2)mO(X) and (1,2)mO(Y) be defined as in Definition 6.3. Then f is said to have a *strongly m-closed graph* (resp. *m-closed graph*) if $f : (X, (1,2)mO(X)) \rightarrow (Y, (1,2)mO(Y))$ has a strongly m-closed graph (resp. m-closed graph).

Lemma 7.4. (Popa and Noiri [41]). *Let $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, m_Y)$ be a function. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If f is M-continuous and (Y, m_Y) is mT_2 , then f has a strongly m-closed graph,*
- (2) *If f is a surjective function with a strongly m-closed graph, then (Y, m_Y) is mT_2 ,*
- (3) *If m_X has property \mathcal{B} and f is an M-continuous injection with an m-closed graph, then (X, m_X) is mT_2 .*

Theorem 7.4. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a function and (1,2)mO(X) be defined as in Definition 6.3. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *If f is (1,2)-M-continuous and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is (1,2)- mT_2 , then f has a strongly (1,2)-m-closed graph,*
- (2) *If f is a surjective function with a strongly (1,2)-m-closed graph, then (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is (1,2)- mT_2 ,*
- (3) *If (1,2)mO(X) has property \mathcal{B} and f is a (1,2)-M-continuous injection with a (1,2)-m-closed graph, then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is (1,2)- mT_2 .*

Proof. The proof follows from Definitions 7.7 and 7.8 and Lemma 7.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud, *β -open sets and β -continuous mappings*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77–90.
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, R. A. Mahmoud and E. R. Lashin, *β -closure and β -interior*, J. Fac. Ed. Ain Shams Univ., **10** (1986), 235–245.
- [3] D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **38** (1986), 24–32.

- [4] S. Athisaya Panmani, R. Raja Rajeswari, M. Lellia Thivagar and E. Ekici, *On some characterizations of vividly and blurry $(1, 2)$ - β -irresolute mappings*, Filomat, **21**(2) (2007), 85–98.
- [5] P. Bhattacharyya and B. K. Lahiri, *Semi-generalized closed sets in topology*, Indian J. Math., **29**(3) (1987), 375–382.
- [6] M. Caldas, *On g -closed sets and g -continuous mappings*, Kyungpook Math. J., **33** (1993), 205–209.
- [7] M. Caldas, S. Jafari and T. Noiri, *Notions via g -open sets*, Kochi J. Math., **2** (2007), 45–50.
- [8] D. E. Cameron and G. Woods, *S -continuity and s -open mappings* (preprint).
- [9] G. I. Chae, T. Noiri and V. Popa, *Quasi M -continuous functions in bitopological spaces*, Natur. Sci. Ulsan, **16**(1-2) (2007), 23-33.
- [10] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closure*, Texas J. Sci., **22** (1971), 99–112.
- [11] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math., **74** (1972), 233–254.
- [12] P. C. Dutta, *Contributions to Study of Bitopological Spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Pilan (India), 1971.
- [13] S. F. El-Deeb, I. A. Hasanein, A. S. Mashhour and T. Noiri, *On p -regular spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **27(75)** (1983), 311–315.
- [14] M. Ganster and I. L. Reilly, *Locally closed sets and continuous functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **12** (1989), 417–424.
- [15] Y. Granambal, *Study of Generalized Pre-regular Closed Sets*, Ph. D. Thesis, Bharatial Univ., Coimbatore, 1998.
- [16] Y. Granambal and K. Balachandran, *β -locally closed sets and β -LC-continuous functions*, Memoir Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. Math., **19** (1998), 1–17.
- [17] M. Lellis Thivagar, *Generalizations of pairwise α -continuous functions*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., **33** (1991), 55–63.
- [18] M. Lellis Thivagar and R. Raje Rajeswari, *On bitopological ultra spaces*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **31** (2007), 993–1008.
- [19] M. Lellis Thivagar and R. Raje Rajeswari and E. Ekici, *On extension on semi-preopen sets in bitopological spaces*, Kochi J. Math., **3** (2008), 55–66.
- [20] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [21] N. Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **19** (1970), 89–96.
- [22] G. Lo Faro, *On strongly α -irresolute mappings*, J. Pure Appl. Math., **18** (1987), 146–151.
- [23] S. H. Maheshwari and S. S. Thakur, *On α -irresolute mappings*, Tamkang J. Math., **11** (1980), 209–214.
- [24] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, *Generalized α -closed sets in topology*, Fukuoka Univ. Ed. III, **42** (1993), 13–21.
- [25] H. Maki, K. C. Rao and A. Nagoor Gani, *On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., **49** (1999), 17–29.

- [26] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deep, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47–53.
- [27] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and I. A. Hasanein, *On pretopological spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **28(76)** (1984), 39–45.
- [28] A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein and S. N. El-Deeb, *α -continuous and α -open mappings*, Acta Math. Hungar., **41** (1983), 213–218.
- [29] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, *β -irresolute and β -topological invariants*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., **27** (1990), 285–291.
- [30] W. K. Min and Y. K. Kim, *M^* -continuity and product minimal structure on minimal structures*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **69(3)** (2011), 329–339.
- [31] B. M. Munshi and D. S. Bassan, *g -continuous mappings*, Vidya J. Gujarat Univ. B Sci., **24** (1981), 63–68.
- [32] A. A. Nasef and T. Noiri, *Strongly β -irresolute mappings*, J. Natur. Sci. Math., **36** (1996), 199–206.
- [33] G. I. Navalagi, M. Lellis Thivagar and R. Raja Rajeswari, *Generalized semi-preclosed sets in bitopological spaces*, Math. Forum., **27** (2004/2005), 63–76.
- [34] O. Njåstad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961–970.
- [35] T. Noiri, *A unified theory for modifications of g -closed sets*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **56** (2007), 171–184.
- [36] T. Noiri, H. Maki and J. Umehara, *Generalized preclosed functions*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math., **19** (1998), 13–20.
- [37] T. Noiri and V. Popa, *A new viewpoint in the study of irresoluteness forms in bitopological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory, **1(1)** (2006), 1–9.
- [38] T. Noiri and V. Popa, *A new viewpoint in the study of continuity forms in bitopological spaces*, Kochi J. Math., **2** (2007), 95–106.
- [39] T. Noiri and V. Popa, *A generalization of some forms of g -irresolute functions*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., **2(4)** (2009), 473–493.
- [40] V. Popa, *On characterizations of strongly semi-continuous functions*, Stud. Cerc. St. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău, **7(1997)**, 135–140.
- [41] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *On M -continuous functions*, Anal. Univ. "Dunărea de Jos" Galați, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor. (2), **18(23)** (2000), 31–41.
- [42] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *On the definitions of some generalized forms of continuity under minimal conditions*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math., **22** (2001), 9–18.
- [43] V. Popa and T. Noiri, *A unified theory of weak continuity for functions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **51** (2002), 439–464.
- [44] R. Raja Rajeswari and M. Lellis Thivagar, *On extension on semi-preopen in bitopological spaces*, Proc. Nat. Conf. Pure Appl. Math. (2005), 28–32.
- [45] O. Ravi and M. Lellis Thivagar, *A bitopological $(1, 2)^*$ semi-generalized continuous maps*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), **29(1)** (2006), 79–88.
- [46] M. S. Sarsak, *On quasi-continuous functions*, J. Indian Acad. Math., **27(2)** (2006), 407–414.
- [47] P. Sundran and K. Balachanduri, *Semi generalized locally closed sets in topological spaces*, (preprint).

Takashi NOIRI
2949-1 SHIOKITA-CHO, HINAGU
YATSUSHIRO-SHI, KUMAMOTO-KEN,
869-5142 JAPAN
e-mail:t.noiri@nifty.com

Valeriu POPA
"Vasile Alecsandri" University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Calea Mărășești 157, Bacău 600115, ROMANIA
e-mail:vpopa@ub.ro