

DETERMINANT INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE
 DEFINITE MATRICES VIA BHATIA AND
 KITTANEH-MANASRAH RESULTS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR

Abstract. In this paper we prove among others that, if A and B are positive definite matrices, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Keywords and phrases: Positive definite matrices, Determinants, Inequalities

(2020) Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A63, 26D15, 46C05.

1. INTRODUCTION

We call Heinz means, the mean defined by

$$H_\nu(a, b) := \frac{1}{2} (a^{1-\nu}b^\nu + a^\nu b^{1-\nu})$$

We call *Heron means*, the means defined by

$$F_\alpha(a, b) := (1 - \alpha) \sqrt{ab} + \alpha \frac{a + b}{2},$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In [2], Bhatia obtained the following interesting inequality between the Heinz and Heron means

$$(1.1) \quad H_\nu(a, b) \leq F_{(2\nu-1)^2}(a, b)$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

This inequality can be written as

$$(1.2) \quad (0 \leq) H_\nu(a, b) - \sqrt{ab} \leq (2\nu - 1)^2 \left(\frac{a + b}{2} - \sqrt{ab} \right),$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Kittaneh and Manasrah [3], [4] provided a refinement and an additive reverse for Young inequality as follows:

$$(1.3) \quad r \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq (1 - \nu)a + \nu b - a^{1-\nu}b^\nu \leq R \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$, $r = \min \{1 - \nu, \nu\}$ and $R = \max \{1 - \nu, \nu\}$.

If we replace in (1.3) ν with $1 - \nu$, add the obtained inequalities and divide by 2, then we get

$$(1.4) \quad r \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2 \leq \frac{a + b}{2} - H_\nu(a, b) \leq R \left(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b} \right)^2,$$

where $a, b > 0$, $\nu \in [0, 1]$.

A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is *symmetric* provided $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A real symmetric matrix is said to be *positive definite* provided the quadratic form $Q(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_i x_j$ is positive for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. It is well known that a necessary and sufficient condition for the symmetric matrix A to be positive definite, and we write $A > 0$, is that all determinants

$$\det(A_k) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k; \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

are positive.

It is known that the following integral representation is valid, see [1, pp. 61-62] or [11, pp. 211-212]

(1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(A) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \\ &= \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{[\det(A)]^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

By utilizing the representation (1.5) and Hölder's integral inequality for multiple integrals one can prove the *logarithmic concavity* of the determinant that is due to Ky Fan ([1, p. 63] or [11, p. 212]), namely

$$(1.6) \quad \det((1 - \lambda)A + \lambda B) \geq [\det(A)]^{1-\lambda} [\det(B)]^\lambda$$

for any positive definite matrices A, B and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By mathematical induction we can get a generalization of (1.6) which was obtained by L. Mirsky in [10], see also [11, p. 212]

$$(1.7) \quad \det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j\right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j}, \quad m \geq 2,$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

If we write (1.7) for $A_j = B_j^{-1}$ we get

$$\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j B_j^{-1}\right) \geq \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j^{-1})]^{\lambda_j} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m [\det(B_j)]^{\lambda_j}\right)^{-1},$$

which also gives

$$(1.8) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m [\det(A_j)]^{\lambda_j} \geq \det\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j A_j^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right],$$

where $\lambda_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j = 1$ and $A_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the representation (1.5) one can also prove the result, see [11, p. 212],

$$(1.9) \quad \det(A) = \det(A_{1n}) \leq \det(A_{1k}) \det(A_{(k+1)n}), \quad k = 1, \dots, n;$$

where the determinant $\det(A_{rs})$ is defined by

$$\det(A_{rs}) = \det(a_{ij}), \quad i, j = r, \dots, s.$$

In particular,

$$(1.10) \quad \det(A) \leq a_{11}a_{22}\dots a_{nn}.$$

We recall also the Minkowski's type inequality,

$$(1.11) \quad [\det(A+B)]^{1/n} \geq [\det(A)]^{1/n} + [\det(B)]^{1/n}$$

for A, B positive definite matrices of order n . For other determinant inequalities see Chapter VIII of the classic book [11]. For some recent results see [5]-[9].

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we prove among others that, if A and B are positive definite matrices, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Our first main result is as follows:

Theorem 1. *For any positive definite matrices A, B and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq (2t-1)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

(2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq r \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) - \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} \right] \\
&\leq R \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) - \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min \{1-t, t\}$ and $R = \max \{1-t, t\}$.

Proof. From (1.2) we get for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

(2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) \right) \\
&\quad - \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} x, x \right\rangle \right) \\
&\leq (2t-1)^2 \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) \right) - \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} x, x \right\rangle \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the integral on \mathbb{R}^n in (2.3), then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle) dx \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} x, x \right\rangle \right) dx \\
&\leq (2t-1)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle) dx \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} x, x \right\rangle \right) dx \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and by (1.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [J_n((1-t)A + tB) + J_n(tA + (1-t)B)] - J_n\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\ &\leq (2t-1)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (J_n(A) + J_n(B)) - J_n\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

which by (1.5), we derive (2.1).

From (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &r \left(\frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)) - \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{A+B}{2}x, x\right\rangle\right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle)) \\ &\leq R \left(\frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle)) - \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{A+B}{2}x, x\right\rangle\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By taking the integral on \mathbb{R}^n we deduce the desired result (2.2). ■

Corollary 1. *For any positive definite matrices A, B we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad 0 &\leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad &\frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}) - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Taking the integral over t in (2.1), we get

(2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt + \int_0^1 [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} dt \right] \\
 &\quad - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^1 (2t-1)^2 dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt = \int_0^1 [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} dt$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (2t-1)^2 dt = \frac{1}{3},$$

then by (2.6) we obtain (2.4).

From (2.2) we get

(2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^1 \min\{1-t, t\} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1/2} dt + \int_0^1 [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1/2} dt \right] \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([\det(A)]^{-1/2} + [\det(B)]^{-1/2} \right) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1/2} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^1 \max\{1-t, t\} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 \min \{1-t, t\} dt = \frac{1}{3} \text{ and } \int_0^1 \max \{1-t, t\} dt = \frac{3}{4},$$

hence by (2.7) we get (2.5). ■

If we take the square in the representation (1.5), then we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle x, Ax \rangle) dx \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) dx \right)^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle) \exp(-\langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(2.8) \quad K_n(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(A)}$$

for A a positive definite matrix of order n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2. *For any positive definite matrices A, B and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

(2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1} \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq (2t-1)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad 0 &\leq r \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} [[\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} + [\det(tA + (1-t)B)]^{-1}] \\
 &\leq R \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right]^{-1} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min \{1-t, t\}$ and $R = \max \{1-t, t\}$.

Proof. From (1.2) we get for $a = \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle)$, $b = \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle)$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle - \langle ((1-t)A + tB)y, y \rangle) \\
 &\quad + \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle - \langle (tA + (1-t)B)y, y \rangle)] \\
 &\quad - \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} y, y \right\rangle \right) \\
 &\leq (2t-1)^2 \\
 &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{2} (\exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) + \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \exp \left(- \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2} y, y \right\rangle \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take the double integral on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle ((1-t)A + tB)x, x \rangle - \langle ((1-t)A + tB)y, y \rangle) dx dy \right. \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle (tA + (1-t)B)x, x \rangle - \langle (tA + (1-t)B)y, y \rangle) dx dy \left. \right] \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{A+B}{2}x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2}y, y \right\rangle\right) dx dy \\
 & \leq (2t-1)^2 \\
 & \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ay, y \rangle) dx dy \right. \right. \\
 & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle By, y \rangle) dx dy \left. \right) \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\left\langle \frac{A+B}{2}x, x \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{A+B}{2}y, y \right\rangle\right) dx dy \left. \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By utilising the representation (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & \leq \frac{1}{2} [K_n((1-t)A + tB) + K_n(tA + (1-t)B)] - K_n\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \\
 & \leq (2t-1)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} [K_n(A) + K_n(B)] - K_n\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (2.9).

The inequality (2.10) follows in a similar way from (1.4). ■

Corollary 2. *For any positive definite matrices A, B we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad & 0 \leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)A + tB)]^{-1} dt \\
 & \leq \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(A)]^{-1} + [\det(B)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

3. THE CASE OF HERMITIAN MATRICES

A complex square matrix $H = (h_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ is said to be Hermitian provided $h_{ij} = \overline{h_{ji}}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A Hermitian matrix is said to be positive definite if the Hermitian form $P(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \overline{z_j}$ is positive for all $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

It is known that, see for instance [11, p. 215], for a positive definite Hermitian matrix H , we have

$$(3.1) \quad K_n(H) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\langle \bar{z}, Hz \rangle) dx dy = \frac{\pi^n}{\det(H)},$$

where $z = x + iy$ and dx and dy denote integration over real n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n . Here the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ is understood in the real sense, i.e. $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

On making use of a similar argument to the one in Theorem 2 for the representation $K_n(\cdot)$ we can state the following result as well:

Theorem 3. *For any positive definite Hermitian matrices H , K and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)H + tK)]^{-1} + [\det(tH + (1-t)K)]^{-1} \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\leq (2t-1)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq r \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[[\det((1-t)H + tK)]^{-1} + [\det(tH + (1-t)K)]^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq R \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min\{1-t, t\}$ and $R = \max\{1-t, t\}$.

Also

(3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)H + tK)]^{-1} dt - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) - \int_0^1 [\det((1-t)H + tK)]^{-1} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{4}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2} ([\det(H)]^{-1} + [\det(K)]^{-1}) - \left[\det\left(\frac{H+K}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, **Inequalities**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [2] R. Bhatia, **Interpolating the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality and its operator version**, Lin. Alg. Appl. 413 (2006) 355–363.
- [3] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, **Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix**, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 361 (2010), 262–269.
- [4] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, **Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices**, Lin. Multilin. Alg., 59 (2011), 1031–1037.
- [5] Y. Li, L. Yongtao, Z. Huang Feng and W. Liu, **Inequalities regarding partial trace and partial determinant**. Math. Inequal. Appl. 23 (2020), no. 2, 477–485.
- [6] M. Lin and G. Sinnamon, **Revisiting a sharpened version of Hadamard’s determinant inequality**. Linear Algebra Appl. 606 (2020), 192–200
- [7] J.-T. Liu, Q.-W. Wang and F.-F. Sun, **Determinant inequalities for Hadamard product of positive definite matrices**. Math. Inequal. Appl. 20 (2017), no. 2, 537–542.
- [8] W. Luo, **Further extensions of Hartfiel’s determinant inequality to multiple matrices**. Spec. Matrices 9 (2021), 78–82.
- [9] M. Ito, **Estimations of the weighted power mean by the Heron mean and related inequalities for determinants and traces**. Math. Inequal. Appl. 22 (2019), no. 3, 949–966.
- [10] L. Mirsky, **An inequality for positive definite matrices**, Amer. Math. Monthly, 62 (1955), 428–430.
- [11] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A.M. Fink, **Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis**, Kluwer Acedemi Publishers, 1993

Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science
Victoria University, PO Box 14428
Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia.
sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical
and Statistical Sciences, School of Computer Science
& Applied Mathematics, University of the Witwatersrand,
Private Bag 3, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa
URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>