

“Vasile Alecsandri” University of Bacău
Faculty of Sciences
Scientific Studies and Research
Series Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 35 (2025), No. 2, 81 - 88

ON m -TOPOLOGY AND u -TOPOLOGY OF
SUPERTOPOLOGICAL RINGS

BHASKAR VASHISHTH

Abstract. The aim of the paper is to identify the largest super-topological subrings, endowed with the uniform topology or with the m -topology, of the ring of real functions defined on a Tychonoff space X . Also, some special cases for the space X are investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The theory of topological rings is studied in detail in [1, 6, 7, 10]. For a Tychonoff space X , we denote by \mathbb{R}^X the ring of all real valued functions defined on X . Two of the important subrings \mathbb{R}^X of are $C(X)$, the set of all continuous functions in \mathbb{R}^X , and $C^*(X)$, the set of all bounded and continuous members of \mathbb{R}^X . The algebraic properties of the rings $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ and topological properties of X have been studied extensively in the literature.

Besides studying the algebraic properties of $C(X)$, one can also define many interesting topologies on $C(X)$. Consequently, one may study the interaction between various algebraic structures of $C(X)$ with the corresponding topology on it.

Keywords and phrases: Supertopological ring, D -supercontinuous function, m -topology, u -topology, cb-spaces.

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C30, 54C40, 54H13.

Two commonly studied topologies on $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ are the u -topology (uniform topology) and the m -topology. Both of these topologies can be defined on \mathbb{R}^X . In many aspects, the u -topology is the most relevant for studying $C^*(X)$, while the m -topology is appropriate to study the ring $C(X)$. It is known that $C^*(X)$ equipped with the u -topology forms a topological ring while $C(X)$ need not. However, $C(X)$ equipped with the m -topology is a topological ring. But \mathbb{R}^X with any of these topologies does not form a topological ring in general. There are several interesting subrings that are intermediate between $C^*(X)$ and \mathbb{R}^X , such as the ring of all Baire one functions and the ring of all locally bounded functions.

Kohli and Singh defined D -supercontinuity and studied the properties of D -supercontinuous functions in [8]. We use supertopological rings introduced in [9] by B. Vashishth and D. Singh.

We begin with definitions and remarks which will be used throughout the article.

Definition 1 ([8]). *A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ from topological space X to topological space Y is said to be D -supercontinuous if for each $x \in X$ and each open set $U \subseteq Y$ containing $f(x)$ there exists an open F_σ -set $V \subseteq X$ containing x such that $f(V) \subseteq U$.*

For more theory and equivalent definitions of D -supercontinuous functions, see [8].

Definition 2. *A topological space G that is also a group, with the operation \cdot , where $G \times G$ carries product topology, is a supertopological group if the mappings*

$g_1: G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad (x, y) \mapsto xy, \quad \text{and} \quad g_2: G \rightarrow G, \quad x \mapsto x^{-1}$
are D -supercontinuous.

Definition 3. *A topological space A that is also a ring with operations $+$ and \cdot where $A \times A$ carries product topology, is a supertopological ring if the mappings*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1: A \times A &\rightarrow A, \quad a_1(x, y) = x + y, \\ a_2: A &\rightarrow A, \quad a_2(x) = -x \\ &\text{and} \\ a_3: A \times A &\rightarrow A, \quad a_3(x, y) = x \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

are D -supercontinuous.

Definition 4 ([8]). A set U in a topological space X is said to be d -open if for each $x \in U$, there exists an open F_σ -set H such that $x \in H \subseteq U$. Complement of a d -open set is called d -closed.

Throughout this paper a d -neighborhood of a point x will mean an open F_σ -set containing x .

2. m -TOPOLOGY AND u -TOPOLOGY

Throughout this section X is assumed to be a Tychonoff space. We first define the notions of m -topology and u -topology.

Definition 5. The u -topology or uniform topology is defined on \mathbb{R}^X by taking all sets of the form

$$B_u(f, \varepsilon) = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^X : |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

as a base for the neighbourhood system at $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$.

Definition 6. The m -topology is defined on \mathbb{R}^X by taking all sets of the form

$$B_m(f, \eta) = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^X : |f(x) - g(x)| < \eta(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\} \quad (\eta \in U_+(X))$$

as a base for the neighbourhood system at $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$. Here $U_+(X)$ denotes the set of all positive units of $C(X)$.

Theorem 7. Let τ be the topology on \mathbb{R}^X determined by taking all sets of the form

$$B_u(f, \gamma) = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^X : |f(x) - g(x)| < \gamma(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\} \quad (\gamma \in U_+^*(X))$$

as a base for the neighborhood system at f for each $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$, where $U_+^*(X)$ denotes the set of all positive units in $C^*(X)$. Then $\tau = \tau_u$ on \mathbb{R}^X .

There is a considerable difference between the units of the rings $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$. A function $f \in C(X)$ is a positive unit of $C(X)$ if and only if $f(x) > 0$. But a function $f \in C^*(X)$ is a positive unit of $C^*(X)$ if and only if $f(x) > 0$ and $\frac{1}{f} \in C^*(X)$. Equivalently, $f \in C^*(X)$ is a positive unit of $C^*(X)$ if and only if $\inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} > 0$. So it is clear that every positive unit of $C^*(X)$ is also a positive unit of $C(X)$, but the converse need not be true.

To identify the largest subrings of \mathbb{R}^X , which are topological rings endowed with τ_u and τ_m , respectively, we define two families of functions as follows:

$$B(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : \text{there exists } \xi \in U_+^*(X) \text{ s.t. } |f(x)| < \xi(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\},$$

$$D(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : \text{there exists } \varphi \in U_+(X) \text{ s.t. } |f(x)| < \varphi(x) \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

Note that $B(X)$ and $D(X)$ are subrings of \mathbb{R}^X and $B(X)$ is same as the family of all bounded functions in \mathbb{R}^X . Clearly, $C(X) \subseteq D(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq D(X)$. Also $D(X) = B(X)$ if X is pseudocompact.

Clearly, $D(X) \subset LB(X)$ where we used the notation

$$LB(X) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^X : f \text{ is locally bounded on } X\}.$$

A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *locally bounded* if for each $x \in X$ there exists a neighbourhood U of x and a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|f(y)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } y \in U.$$

Definition 8 ([4]). *A space X is called a cb -space if for each $g \in LB(X)$, there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $|g| \leq f$.*

Theorem 9. *$D(X) = LB(X)$ if X is a cb -space.*

Proof. Since $D(X) \subseteq LB(X)$ always holds, it suffices to show $LB(X) \subseteq D(X)$. Let $g \in LB(X)$. As X is a cb -space, there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that

$$|g| \leq f.$$

Define $\varphi = f + 1$. Then $\varphi \in U_+(X)$ and for all $x \in X$,

$$|g(x)| \leq f(x) < \varphi(x).$$

Hence $g \in D(X)$, and therefore $LB(X) \subseteq D(X)$. This proves

$$D(X) = LB(X).$$

■

It is known that a cb -space is countably paracompact, and that a normal space is a cb -space if and only if it is countably paracompact. Consequently, for a space X which is not countably paracompact, $D(X) \neq LB(X)$.

Theorem 10. *$D(X)$ endowed with τ_m is a supertopological ring.*

Proof. The supercontinuity of $(f, g) \mapsto f + g$ is easy to check.

We prove the supercontinuity of $(f, g) \mapsto fg$.

Let $f, g \in D(X)$. So, there exists $\varphi_f, \varphi_g \in U_+(X)$ such that $|f(x)| < \varphi_f(x)$ and $|g(x)| < \varphi_g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $B_m(fg, \eta)$ be any basic d -neighbourhood of fg in $(D(X), \tau_m)$ for some $\eta \in U_+(X)$. Consider the basic d -neighbourhoods $B_m(f, \eta_1)$ and $B_m(g, \eta_2)$ of f and g , respectively, for

$$\eta_1 = \frac{\eta}{2(1 + \varphi_g)}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{\eta}{2(1 + \varphi_f + \eta_1)}.$$

It is enough to show that for any $h_1 \in B_m(f, \eta_1)$ and $h_2 \in B_m(g, \eta_2)$ we have $h_1 h_2 \in B_m(fg, \eta)$.

This follows, since for all $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} |(fg)(x) - (h_1 h_2)(x)| &\leq |g(x)| |f(x) - h_1(x)| + |h_1(x)| |g(x) - h_2(x)| \\ &< \varphi_g(x) \eta_1(x) + |h_1(x)| \eta_2(x) \\ &< \eta(x). \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 11. *Let $S(X)$ be a subring of \mathbb{R}^X . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $S(X)$ endowed with τ_m is a supertopological ring.
- (2) $S(X) \subseteq D(X)$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) follows from Theorem 2.6. For (1) \Rightarrow (2) we suppose that $S(X)$ is not contained in $D(X)$. We show that the pointwise multiplication $(f, g) \mapsto fg$ is not supercontinuous at point $(0_X, f)$ where 0_X is the constant function zero on X .

Consider the basic d -neighbourhood $B_m(0_X, 1)$ of the function $0_X f = 0_X$ in $(S(X), \tau_m)$. Since $f \notin D(X)$ for every $\eta \in U_+(X)$ there exists a point $x_\eta \in X$ such that $|f(x_\eta)| \geq \frac{2}{\eta(x_\eta)}$, that is,

$$\left| \frac{\eta(x_\eta)}{2} f(x_\eta) \right| \geq 1.$$

Therefore for any $\eta, \mu \in U_+(X)$, we have $\frac{\eta}{2} \in B_m(0_X, \eta)$ and $f \in B_m(f, \mu)$, but $\frac{\eta}{2} f \notin B_m(0_X, 1)$. ■

Corollary 12. *$LB(X)$ equipped with τ_m is a supertopological ring if X is a cb -space.*

Proof. Since X is a cb -space, every locally bounded real-valued function on X is dominated by a strictly positive continuous function. Hence

$$LB(X) \subseteq D(X).$$

Applying Theorem 11 with $S(X) = LB(X)$, τ_m is a supertopological ring. ■

Theorem 13. *For a first countable space the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is discrete.
- (2) $\mathbb{R}^X = D(X)$.
- (3) \mathbb{R}^X endowed with τ_m is a supertopological ring.
- (4) $\mathbb{R}^X = LB(X)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is immediate and (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) follows from Theorem 2.7. For (4) \Rightarrow (1) we see that if we suppose there is a non isolated point $x_0 \in X$, since X is first countable, there exists a sequence (x_n) of distinct points in $X \setminus \{x_0\}$ which converges to x_0 . Define a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x_n) = n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is easy to see that $f \notin LB(X)$. Hence we arrive at a contradiction. ■

Theorem 14. $B(X)$ is the largest subring of \mathbb{R}^X which is a supertopological ring endowed with τ_u .

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorem 10 and Theorem 11. First, one shows that $B(X)$ endowed with τ_u is a supertopological ring. Since every $f \in B(X)$ is bounded by a constant, uniform neighbourhoods control products exactly as in Theorem 10, and hence both addition and multiplication are supercontinuous with respect to τ_u .

Next, let $S(X)$ be any subring of \mathbb{R}^X which is a supertopological ring under τ_u . If $S(X) \not\subseteq B(X)$, then there exists $f \in S(X)$ which is unbounded. Using basic τ_u -neighbourhoods of the zero function, one checks that pointwise multiplication fails to be supercontinuous at $(0_X, f)$, contradicting the assumption.

Therefore $S(X) \subseteq B(X)$, and hence $B(X)$ is the largest subring of \mathbb{R}^X which is a supertopological ring with respect to τ_u . ■

Corollary 15. \mathbb{R}^X equipped with τ_u is a supertopological ring if and only if X is finite.

Corollary 16. *Every subring of \mathbb{R}^X which forms a supertopological ring under τ_u is also a supertopological ring under τ_m .*

Corollary 17. *For a space X the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $LB(X)$ endowed with τ_u is a supertopological ring;
- (2) $B(X) = LB(X)$;
- (3) X is a pseudocompact cb space;
- (4) X is countably compact.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from Theorem 2.10. (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from the fact $D(X) = B(X)$ if and only if X is pseudocompact. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Arnautov, S. Glavatsky and A.A. Mikhalev, **Introduction to the Theory of Topological Rings and Modules**, Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 197, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [2] V. I. Arnautov, **Topological Rings and Modules**, J. Math. Sci. **91** (1998), 3001–3030.
- [3] A. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, **Algebraic and topological properties of function rings**, Topology Appl. **240** (2018), 1–15.
- [4] J. G. Horne, **Countable paracompactness and cb -spaces**, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1959), 629–630.
- [5] V. Kannan and T. Soundararajan, **Rings of locally bounded functions**, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), 2310–2317.
- [6] I. Kaplansky, **Topological rings**, Amer. J. Math. **69** (1947), 153–183.
- [7] I. Kaplansky, **Topological rings**, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 809–826.
- [8] J. K. Kohli and D. Singh, **D -supercontinuous functions**, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32**(2) (2001), 227–235.
- [9] B. Vashishth and D. Singh, **On radical and zero divisors in supertopological rings**, Scientific Studies and Research Series Mathematics and Informatics **30**(1) (2020), 163–174.
- [10] S. Warner, **Topological rings**, North Holland, 1993.

University of Delhi, Department of Mathematics,
110 007, Ne Delhi, INDIA
e-mail address: abelianbhaskar@gmail.com

